

Minerals and Waste in Hampshire Monitoring Report 2019



April 2021



Contents

Foreword.....	3
Executive Summary.....	4
Abbreviations.....	6
1. Introduction	7
1.1 The requirement for a Monitoring Report.....	7
1.2 What is the purpose of this Monitoring Report?.....	8
2. Update on Minerals and Waste Policy and Guidance	10
2.1 Partnership Working	10
2.2 Local Planning Guidance	10
2.3 Regional Planning Policy	10
2.4 National Planning Policy and Guidance	10
3. Progress with the Development Scheme	12
3.1 The Minerals and Waste Development Scheme.....	12
3.2 Review of the Development Scheme.....	12
4. Monitoring the Policies	13
4.1 How do we monitor mineral and waste policies?	13
4.2 Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (2013)	13
4.3 Monitoring Indicators	13
5. Reviewing the Monitoring Targets	26
5.1 Monitoring Review	26
5.2 Policy Review	26
5.3 Previous Policy Review Comments	32
5.4 Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan Review	35
6. Cooperation in plan-making.....	36
6.1 Duty to Cooperate	36
6.2 Duty to Cooperate requests in 2019.....	36
7. Further Information	38

Foreword

- I. This is the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan Monitoring Report 2019.
- II. This report provides information on minerals and waste development in the administrative areas of Hampshire County Council, the unitary authorities of Portsmouth City Council and Southampton City Council, the New Forest National Park Authority and the area of the South Downs National Park Authority within Hampshire (the 'Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA'). The report is used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the policies of the adopted Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (2013).
- III. This Monitoring Report outlines **planning performance for the calendar year period from January 2019 to December 2019**, therefore any changes that have happened after this period are not included in the report. Previously, some monitoring indicators used data based on the financial year. In an attempt to standardise data collection and make all the data comparable, efforts have been made to report data solely on a calendar year basis.

Executive Summary

- I. This Monitoring Report is prepared in accordance with Section 34 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012¹.
- II. The Monitoring Report reviews the effectiveness of the policies in the Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan (HMWP) that was adopted in 2013², using a set of 'monitoring indicators'. The reporting period covers the 2019 calendar year. The report also includes details of the work associated with the monitoring and implementation of the adopted HMWP.
- III. The HMWP includes policies to enable minerals and waste decision-making, as well as minerals and waste allocations (rail depots and wharves, land-won sand and gravel quarries, brick-making clay quarries and landfill).
- IV. The Hampshire Authorities have continued collaborative working on strategic priorities with neighbouring and other planning authorities. The actions and outcomes to fulfil this requirement or 'Duty to Co-operate' are also summarised in this report.
- V. This Minerals and Waste Monitoring Report 2019 continues in a similar format to the 2018 report³. Monitoring reports, dating back to 2012/2013, are available on the Strategic Planning webpages of the Hampshire County Council website⁴.

¹ Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 – Section 34:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/regulation/34/made>

² Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (2013): <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

³ Hampshire Minerals and Waste Monitoring Report 2018: <https://documents.hants.gov.uk/planning-strategic/minerals-waste-sites/2018monitoringreport.pdf>

⁴ Hampshire Minerals and Waste Monitoring Reports (2012 – 2017): <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

Key points from 2019 include:

- **The sales of primary (land-won) aggregates in Hampshire in 2019 were 1.03 million tonnes (Mt) – a reduction of 13% from 1.18 Mt in 2018;**
- **The 'landbank' of sand and gravel reserves in Hampshire, in 2019, was at 7 years – a decrease from 7.9 years in 2018;**
- **The reported sales of recycled and secondary aggregates in 2019 was 0.78 million tonnes – an increase of 8% from 0.72 million tonnes in 2018;**
- **Municipal waste arisings in Hampshire have decreased by 0.4% in 2019 to 796,200 tonnes – from 799,007 tonnes in 2018;**
- **The amount of non-hazardous (household, commercial and industrial) waste sent for recovery in Hampshire in 2019 was estimated to be 53%*;**
- **The amount of non-hazardous waste landfilled in Hampshire in 2019 was 99,811 tonnes – a reduction from 110,113 tonnes in 2018 - of which 59% (65% in 2018) came from within Hampshire; and**
- **The permitted reserves at individual silica sand sites and landbank for brick-making clay in 2019 breached respective thresholds for more than three successive years.**

* The 2019 Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator includes the full dataset of Incinerator Waste Returns for the first time. Therefore, a comparison between previous years has not been made.

Abbreviations

AD	Anaerobic Digestion	MRF	Material Recovery Facilities
AONB	Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty	MSA	Mineral Safeguarding Area
CD&E	Construction, Demolition & Excavation (waste)	MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
CHP	Combined Heat & Power	MWDS	Minerals and Waste Development Scheme
CLU	Certificate of Lawful Use	NE	Natural England
DPD	Development Plan Documents	NFNPA	New Forest National Park Authority
EA	Environment Agency	NP	National Park
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance
EHO	Environmental Health Officer	NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
EFW	Energy from Waste	SAC	Special Area of Conservation
ERF	Energy Recovery Facility	SEEAWP	South East England Aggregate Working Party
HCC	Hampshire County Council	SEWPAG	South East Waste Planning Advisory Group
HMWP	Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan (2013)	SDNPA	South Downs National Park Authority
HWRC	Household Waste Recycling Centre	SEP	South East Plan (Regional Spatial Strategy)
IBAA	Incinerator Bottom Ash Aggregate	SPA	Special Protection Area
ISA	Integrated Sustainability Appraisal	SPD	Supplementary Planning Document
LAA	Local Aggregate Assessment	SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
LACW	Local Authority Collected Waste	TPA	Tonnes Per Annum
LPA	Local Planning Authority	WPA	Waste Planning Authority
HE	Historic England	WEEE	Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment
MPA	Minerals Planning Authority	WWTW	Waste Water Treatment Works
MWPA	Minerals and Waste Planning Authority		
MR	Monitoring Report		

1. Introduction

1.1 The requirement for a Monitoring Report

- 1.1.1 Mineral and Waste Planning Authorities have a duty to produce Development Plan Documents (DPDs) which set out policies and proposals for the future development and management of mineral resources and sustainable waste management.
- 1.1.2 This is the 16th Monitoring Report (MR) produced by Hampshire County Council, Portsmouth City Council, Southampton City Council, the New Forest National Park Authority, and the South Downs National Park Authority (hereafter referred to as 'the Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA') in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004⁵ - 'the 2004 Act' - as amended by the Localism Act 2011⁶ and the Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017⁷.
- 1.1.3 Under Section 35 of the 2004 Act (as amended by the Localism Act 2011 and the Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017), authorities are required to produce a Monitoring Report, containing:
- information on how the preparation of the minerals and waste DPDs are progressing; and
 - the extent to which the policies set out in the associated documents are being implemented.
- 1.1.4 These requirements are detailed in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012⁸ (the 'TCP 2012 Regulations') which also:
- consolidate the existing Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 and the amendments made to them; and
 - make new provision and amendments to take account of the changes made by the Localism Act 2011.
- 1.1.5 The Localism Act 2011 removes the requirement to submit an Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) to the Secretary of State. However, councils are still required to prepare a Monitoring Report in order to be able to routinely monitor and report on the timetable specified in the local planning authority's local development scheme for the preparation of the Local Plan or the effectiveness of policies contained within the (adopted) Local Plan. In order to effectively monitor developments, produce comparable figures year on year and gain information at a timescale that allows for corrective action, the Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA have resolved to continue preparing a Monitoring Report annually and set this out in the Minerals and Waste Development Scheme.

⁵ Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/contents

⁶ Localism Act 2011: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents/enacted

⁷ Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2017/20/contents>

⁸ Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/contents/made>

- 1.1.6 The Localism Act also amended the 2004 Act to require a Local Planning Authority's MR to give details of what action they have taken during the period covered by the report with respect to collaborative working with another Local Planning Authority, County Council, or a body or person.
- 1.1.7 The National Planning Policy Framework⁹ (NPPF) sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. Specific waste policies which are set out in the National Planning Policy for Waste¹⁰ which should be read in conjunction with the NPPF and associated planning practice guidance¹¹. The NPPF places an additional requirement on Local Planning Authorities to prepare an annual Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA).
- 1.1.8 The adopted Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan (2013)¹² (hereafter referred to as 'the Plan' or 'HMWP') also includes a commitment to monitor the implementation of the Plan. This is set out in its Implementation and Monitoring Plan (Appendix C of the HMWP).
- 1.1.9 The proposed approach of the Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA will be to prepare two reports – the MR and an LAA. As a result, this Monitoring Report does not contain detailed reporting on minerals issues as these are considered in the LAA. The Monitoring Report focuses on the reporting of all other policies including the waste policies. The Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA plan to publish the LAA and Monitoring Report each year in December.

1.2 What is the purpose of this Monitoring Report?

- 1.2.1 This report provides information on the progress of minerals and waste development within the Hampshire Authorities' administrative area and the part of the SDNPA within Hampshire, in the 2019 calendar year. The report is divided into three key sections:
- progress with the Minerals and Waste Development Scheme (MWDS) - the timetable;
 - monitoring policy performance (monitoring the policies from the HMWP); and
 - monitoring outcomes and identifying relevant actions (the issues identified and the actions to be taken).
- 1.2.2 The joint planning area that the Hampshire Authorities cover is referred to as the 'Hampshire Plan area' in this report. All references to Hampshire should be taken to mean the Hampshire Plan area and include the area covered by all Hampshire Authorities and the part of the SDNPA within Hampshire, unless otherwise specified.

⁹ National Planning Policy Framework: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

¹⁰ National Planning Policy for Waste (2014): www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-for-waste

¹¹ National Planning Practice Guidance for waste: <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/waste/>

¹² Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (2013): <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

- 1.2.3 The report provides detailed information on the progress of the documents set out in the MWDS, which provides a timetable for the preparation of work associated with the monitoring and implementation of the adopted HMWP. This includes:
- what documents are to be produced; and
 - at which stage each document preparation is at.
- 1.2.4 This report highlights the latest figures on the monitoring indicators for the policies contained within the HMWP and will help to answer a number of questions, which include:
- are the policies and proposals achieving their objectives and, in particular, delivering sustainable development?
 - are the policies having any unintentional consequences?
 - are the assumptions and objectives behind the policies still relevant?
 - are targets being achieved?
- 1.2.5 The questions above are addressed in Section 5 ([Reviewing the Monitoring Targets](#)) of this MR.

2. Update on Minerals and Waste Policy and Guidance

2.1 Partnership Working

2.1.1 Following the adoption of the HMWP, the plan-making partnership between Hampshire County Council, Southampton City Council (SCC), Portsmouth City Council (PCC), the New Forest National Park Authority (NFNPA) and the South Downs National Park Authority (SDNPA) came to an end. A new partnership was established between Hampshire County Council, SCC, PCC and the NFNPA (the 'Hampshire Authorities') to monitor and implement the plan.

2.1.2 A separate Service Level Agreement (SLA) between Hampshire County Council and the SDNPA has been established for the monitoring of the plan only.

2.2 Local Planning Guidance

2.2.1 The Hampshire Authorities have produced two Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) on Oil and Gas Development and Minerals and Waste Safeguarding¹³. These documents provide additional guidance on the associated policies in the adopted HMWP.

2.3 Regional Planning Policy

2.3.1 As reported in previous MRs, the South East Plan (SEP) was revoked in March 2013¹⁴, with the exception of two saved policies. The SEP is therefore no longer a material consideration in plan making in Hampshire with the exception of the saved policy of relevance to the plan area - Policy NRM6: Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area.

2.4 National Planning Policy and Guidance

2.4.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)¹⁵ sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government published a revised NPPF in July 2018, this was updated in February 2019. Specific waste policies, which are set out in the National Planning Policy for Waste¹⁶, should be read in conjunction with the NPPF and associated planning practice guidance¹⁷.

¹³ Hampshire County Council Minerals and Waste Policy webpage: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

¹⁴ The Regional Strategy for the South East Order 2013: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2013/427/pdfs/ukxiem_20130427_en.pdf

¹⁵ National Planning Policy Framework: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

¹⁶ National Planning Policy for Waste (2014): www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-for-waste

¹⁷ National Planning Practice Guidance for waste: <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/waste/>

2.4.2 The Localism Act 2011¹⁸ was enacted on 15 November 2011 and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012¹⁹ were brought into force on 6 April 2012. More recently the Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017²⁰ was enacted on 27 April 2017.

2.4.3 National planning policy for waste is currently contained in a suite of documents and together they form the National Waste Management Plan, including:

- National Planning Policy for Waste²¹ (October 2014);
- Waste Management Plan for England²² (December 2013);
- National Waste Prevention Programme²³ (December 2013);
- National Policy Statements (i.e. on renewable energy²⁴, hazardous waste²⁵ and wastewater²⁶); and
- all Waste Development Plan Documents.

2.4.4 The National Waste Management Plan should be read in conjunction with the NPPF.

¹⁸ Localism Act 2011: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents/enacted

¹⁹ Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/contents/made>

²⁰ Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2017/20/contents>

²¹ National Planning Policy for Waste (2014): www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-for-waste

²² National Waste Management Plan (2013): <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/waste-management-plan-for-england>

²³ National Waste Prevention Programme (2013): <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/waste-prevention-programme-for-england>

²⁴ National Policy Statement for renewable energy (2013): https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/47856/1940-nps-renewable-energy-en3.pdf

²⁵ Hazardous waste national policy statement (2013): <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/hazardous-waste-national-policy-statement>

²⁶ National Policy Statement on waste water (2013): www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69505/pb13709-waste-water-nps.pdf

3. Progress with the Development Scheme

3.1 The Minerals and Waste Development Scheme

3.1.1 The Minerals and Waste Development Scheme (MWDS) is a statutory document that provides a timetable for the preparation of work associated with the monitoring and implementation of the adopted HMWP (2013), as well as any related planning documents. The MR reports on changes to the MWDS itself, as well as how timetables within the current MWDS are being followed.

3.2 Review of the Development Scheme

3.2.1 The MWDS was last updated in 2014. It includes timetables for the delivery of the MR, the LAA as well as the two SPD documents on Oil and Gas development and Minerals and Waste Safeguarding which have since been adopted²⁷.

3.2.2 Any further updates will be posted on the Strategic Planning webpages of HCC²⁸.

3.2.3 The HMWP (2013) was reviewed in 2018 as required by Paragraph 33 of the NPPF, that all Local Plans should be reviewed at least once every five years²⁹. The review concluded that the Plan policies are working effectively to achieve the Vision and there is no requirement to update the HMWP.

3.2.4 It was further concluded, during the 2018 Plan review, that the Plan should be reviewed again 'in the near future'. Paragraph 6.13 outlined that the MWDS would be updated to reflect the commitment to a future review of the HMWP in 2020 and Stakeholder event in 2019.

²⁷ Hampshire County Council website: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan/supplementary-planning-documents>

²⁸ Hampshire County Council website: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

²⁹ National Planning Policy Framework (2019) (Para. 33): https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/810197/NPPF_Feb_2019_revised.pdf

4. Monitoring the Policies

4.1 How do we monitor mineral and waste policies?

4.1.1 Mineral and waste policies contained within Development Plans (or Local Plans) are monitored to check if they are providing adequate management of minerals and waste. This is achieved via a number of 'monitoring indicators' that are set out in an implementation and monitoring plan.

4.2 Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (2013)

4.2.1 The HMWP contains a suite of policies for delivering Hampshire's 'vision and objectives' for minerals and waste development to 2030. The Plan is based upon the principle of ensuring we have the right development to maintain a reliable and timely supply of minerals and excellent management of our waste, whilst protecting the environment and our communities.

4.2.2 The HMWP also considers matters which may arise from exceptional circumstances. By monitoring the indicator for each policy in the Plan, it will be possible to note if the intended outcome ('the Vision') - of land use for minerals and waste development in Hampshire – is the correct 'direction of travel' and on course to meet its objectives.

4.3 Monitoring Indicators

4.3.1 The monitoring framework for this report consists of a number of 'monitoring indicators' for each policy.

4.3.2 The HMWP contains a monitoring indicator for each of its 34 policies, which are listed in Appendix C of the adopted HMWP.

4.3.3 The data for the monitoring indicators for 2019 is presented in the table below. Data from the previous year (2018), where available, is shown in brackets '()', for comparison.

4.3.4 A red / amber / green colouring system has also been added for clarity of indicator status.

4.3.5 2019 Monitoring Indicator Schedule:

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 1: Sustainable minerals & waste development	Percentage of planning applications processed within 13 weeks	>60% of Planning applications processed within 13 weeks (excluding those subject to Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) or a Planning Performance Agreement or other agreed extension of time)	100% (100%)	All relevant planning applications were processed within 13 weeks or within an agreed extension of time.
Policy 2: Climate change - mitigation and adaptation	Percentage of planning permissions granted against Environment Agency (EA) advice	Number of planning permissions granted against EA advice = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions were granted against EA advice.
Policy 3: Protection of habitats and species	Planning permissions granted against Natural England (NE) advice (Planning permissions in designated areas)	Number of planning permissions granted within designated sites (SPA / SAC / Ramsar / SSSI etc.) against NE advice = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions within designated sites were granted against NE advice.
Policy 4: Protection of the designated landscape	Planning permissions granted against Natural England advice (Planning permissions in designated landscape areas)	Number of planning permissions granted within designated landscape areas (NP / AONB) against NE advice = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions were granted in designated landscape areas against NE advice.
Policy 5: Protection of the countryside	Planning permissions granted in the countryside contrary to policy	Number of planning permissions granted in the countryside contrary to policy = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions granted in the countryside contrary to policy.
	Restoration conditions in exceptional developments	For exceptional developments, number of planning permissions granted without restoration conditions = 0	0 (0)	No planning permission (where restoration and aftercare were considered necessary) was granted without such conditions.
Policy 6: South West Hampshire Green Belt	Planning permissions granted in the Green Belt contrary to policy	Number of planning permissions granted in the Green Belt contrary to policy = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions were granted in the Green Belt (in South-West Hampshire) that were contrary to policy.
	Restoration conditions in exceptional developments	For exceptional developments, number of planning permissions without restoration conditions = 0	0 (0)	

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 7: Conserving the historic environment and heritage assets	Planning permissions against Historic England (HE) advice	Number of planning permissions against Historic England (HE) advice = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions were granted against HE advice.
Policy 8: Protection of soils	Number of planning permissions that result in a net loss of Best & Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land in Hampshire Planning permissions against Natural England (NE) advice	Number of planning permissions that result in a net loss of BMV land in Hampshire > 0 Number of planning permissions granted against NE advice = 0	0 (0) 0 (0)	There was no net loss of BMV agricultural land in Hampshire due to planning permissions. BMV is land classified as Grade 1, 2 or 3a. No planning permissions were granted against NE advice.
Policy 9: Restoration of minerals and waste sites	Relevant planning permissions have restoration and aftercare conditions	Number of relevant planning permissions without restoration and aftercare conditions = 0	0 (0)	No planning permission (where restoration and aftercare were considered necessary) was granted without such conditions.
Policy 10: Protecting public health, safety and amenity	Planning permissions against Environment Agency (EA) advice Planning permissions against Environment Health Officer (EHO) advice	Number of planning permissions granted against EA advice = 0 Number of planning permissions granted against EHO advice = 0	0 (0) 0 (0)	No planning permission were granted that were against EA advice. No planning permissions were granted that were against EHO advice.
Policy 11: Flood risk and prevention	Planning permissions granted against Environment Agency (EA) advice	Number of planning permissions against EA advice = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions were granted against EA advice.
Policy 12: Managing traffic	Planning permissions granted contrary to Highway Authority (HA) Advice	Number of planning permissions contrary to Highway Authority (HA) advice = 0	0 (0)	No planning permissions were granted against HA advice.

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 13: High-quality design of minerals and waste development	Planning permissions in the view of MWPA are of satisfactory design	Number of planning permissions without satisfactory design = 0	0 (0)	All planning permissions granted were considered to be of satisfactory design.
Policy 14: Community Benefits	Percentage of major applications with community benefits	Percentage of major applications with community benefits > 50%	0% (0%)	<p>There was no data on major planning applications with community benefits and a number of potential issues with this policy are identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a low number of major applications; • the time needed for agreements relating to community benefits to be put in place; • the policy relates to encouraging, rather than requiring, community benefits; and, • as benefits are arranged outside the planning process this data is not routinely available. <p>Action points from this are to include encouragement of community benefits in the pre-application and application process.</p>
Policy 15: Safeguarding - mineral resources	Area of Mineral Safeguarding Area (MSA) sterilised by non-mineral development granted permission by Local Planning Authority (LPA) against Minerals Planning Authority (MPA) advice	Area of MSA sterilised by non-mineral development granted permission by LPA against MPA advice = 0 hectares	11.34ha (6.0ha)	<p>BDBC – 18/03728/RES – Land South of Manor Farm, Bloswood Lane, Whitchurch. Application approved without reference to minerals and waste.</p> <p>SCC + TVBC – 19/00289/OUT + 19/00374/OUTS – Bargain Farm, Adanac Drive, Southampton. Application approved without reference to minerals and waste.</p>

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 16: Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure	Number of safeguarded sites developed for non-mineral uses by LPA permission against MPA advice	Number of safeguarded sites developed for non-mineral uses by LPA permission against MPA advice = 0	0 (0)	No safeguarded sites were developed against MPA advice. Please note that "sites developed" is measured through planning permissions granted for development, rather than a physical development, as waiting until a site is developed would introduce significant delays to the monitoring process.
Policy 17: Aggregate supply - capacity and source	Reduction in aggregate production capacity	Aggregate production capacity is not reduced by more than 556,000 tonnes per annum (10% of 5.56mtpa) Land-won aggregate sales exceed 1.56 Mtpa	6.21Mt (7.03Mt) (6.56Mt in 2017) 1.03Mt (1.18Mt)	There was an estimated 11.8% (830,000 tonnes) reduction in the amount of aggregate production capacity in 2019. Meanwhile, land-won aggregate sales did not reach the monitoring target in 2019. This is the fourth year that capacity was provided by the operators, although the Coronavirus pandemic has caused significant delays to the release of the National Aggregate Monitoring Survey results. Due to the lack of accurate 2019 data estimates have been drawn from the 2018 data. Data from Local Aggregate Assessment Dashboard 2020.

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 18: Recycled and secondary aggregates development	Production of high quality recycled and secondary aggregate	Year on year decrease in the (capacity for) production of high quality recycled and secondary aggregates	2.14Mt (2.37 Mt)	<p>There was a small decrease in capacity from 2018, a decrease has been seen for over two successive years.</p> <p>Data from Local Aggregate Assessment Dashboard 2020.</p> <p>The 2018 figure has been corrected to represent the capacity for the production of recycled and secondary aggregates.</p>
Policy 19: Aggregate wharves and rail depots	<p>Rail depot capacity</p> <p>Wharf capacity</p>	<p>Rail depot capacity reduced by more than 130,000 tonnes per annum (10% of 1.3mtpa)</p> <p>Wharf capacity reduced by more than 256,000 tonnes per annum (10% of 2.56mtpa)</p>	<p>1.30 Mtpa (1.20Mtpa)</p> <p>1.55 Mtpa (1.55 Mtpa)</p>	<p>There have been no rail depots or wharves lost in 2019. Rail depot capacity estimates increased by 8.3%.</p> <p>There is limited data on wharf capacity due to effect of the Coronavirus pandemic on the release of the National Aggregate Monitoring Survey results. The data is based upon extrapolated sales data, and so does not necessarily reflect total potential capacity. Investigation into this is ongoing.</p> <p>Data from Local Aggregate Assessment Dashboard 2020.</p>

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 20: Local land-won aggregates	Landbank for Aggregate supply	Landbank falls below 7 years' worth of aggregate supply <i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i>	5.81 years (5.81 years)	Due to the effect of the Coronavirus pandemic on the release of the National Aggregate Monitoring Survey results, permitted reserves for 2019 have been taken as the same as 2018, therefore the landbank based upon the Local Requirement is the same. Data from Local Aggregate Assessment Dashboard 2020. The 2018 figure has been corrected to represent the Landbank based upon the Local Requirement.
Policy 21: Silica sand development	Permitted reserve at individual silica sand sites	Permitted reserve falls below 10 years at individual silica sand sites <i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i>	2.5 (2.5) (2.9 in 2017)	Due to the effect of the Coronavirus pandemic on the release of the National Aggregate Monitoring Survey results, the 2019 permitted reserves have been carried over from 2018. Data estimated using the Local Aggregate Assessment 2019. Reserves has been below 10 years for over two successive years. It should be noted that an application for the extraction of 1.00MT of
Policy 22: Brick-making clay	Landbank for brick-making clay	Landbank falls below 25 years' worth of brick-making clay supply <i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i>	20 years (20 years) (20 years in 2017)	The 2018 figure was corrected based upon correspondence with the allocated Michelmersh site.

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 23: Chalk development	Amount of chalk extracted in tonnes per annum (tpa)	Amount of chalk extracted in tonnes per annum (tpa) < 25,000tpa	22,092 Tonnes (22,910 Tonnes)	<p>The figures presented only represent Manor Farm Quarry at Monks Sherborne.</p> <p>The 2019 figure has been estimated based on the past 3 years of sales due to lack of data.</p>
Policy 24: Oil and gas development	Planning permissions granted in the countryside contrary to policy	Number of planning permissions granted in the countryside contrary to policy = 0	0 (0)	<p>No oil and gas planning permissions granted in 2019.</p>
Policy 25: Sustainable waste management	Amount / percentage of non-hazardous waste recycled	Recycling not reaching 60% by 2020	54% (44%) (49% in 2017)	<p>The 2019 Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator shows that of all household, commercial and industrial 'waste removed' from sites in Hampshire – 50% (39%) was sent for 'recovery' while 4% (5%) was treated. In addition, 16% was sent for incineration. Please note these figures will include some waste arisings which did not originate in Hampshire.</p> <p>Based on data from Waste Data Flow, MSW waste arisings in 2019 were 796,200 (799,007) tonnes. The treatment of this waste was as follows:</p> <p>Recycled 26% (25%) Composted 13% (13%) Recovered 56% (57%) Landfill 5% (5%)</p>

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 26: Safeguarding - waste infrastructure	Number of safeguarded sites developed for non-waste uses by Local Planning Authority (LPA) permission, against Waste Planning Authority (WPA) advice	Number of safeguarded sites developed for non-waste uses by LPA permission, against WPA advice = 0	0 (0)	<p>No safeguarded sites were developed against WPA advice.</p> <p>Please note that "sites developed" is measured through planning permissions granted for development, rather than a physical development, as waiting until a site is developed would introduce significant delays to the monitoring process.</p>
Policy 27: Capacity for waste management development	Capacity and operational status of waste management facilities	<p>Provision of additional recycling and recovery capacity:</p> <p>2011-2015 = 370,000 tonnes 2016-2020 = 205,000 tonnes 2021-2030 = 102,000 tonnes</p> <p>No net loss of waste management capacity from closure of sites and/or no new recycling or recovery capacity proposals</p> <p><i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i></p>	<p>27,000 tonnes per annum</p> <p>Cumulative to 2019: 704,670</p> <p>No net loss</p> <p>(91,770 tonnes per annum</p> <p>Cumulative to 2018: 677,670 tonnes</p> <p>No net loss)</p>	<p>New waste management capacity was permitted for:</p> <p>27,000 tonnes non-hazardous waste recycling (19/00200/HCS – North Winchester Farm, Kings Worthy)</p> <p>No loss of waste management capacity was found to have occurred during 2019, based upon a review of the Environment Agency's permitting database.</p> <p>Please note: Waste capacity will be reviewed as part of the 2020 Review of the HMWP (2013) using an updated methodology.</p>

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 28: Energy recovery development	Number of facilities and amount of renewable energy produced	Decrease in number of facilities and/or amount of renewable energy produced <i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i>	19 sites 395,399 MWh (20 sites 407,406 MWh in 2018) (22 sites - 402,259 MWh in 2017)	8 landfill gas sites, 3 energy recovery facilities, 3 sewage sludge, 3 biomass (CHP) and 2 Anaerobic Digestion (AD) facilities with a total installed capacity of 70.54MW (72.00MW). 1 landfill gas site was lost in 2019 – Somerley Generation Station, is currently awaiting decommission, while still having installed capacity, any landfill gas is being diverted to a different facility so Somerley is not counted as an active site. Both the total installed capacity and energy generated fell in 2019, by 2% and 2.9% respectively. Data is based on operator information.
Policy 29: Locations and sites for waste management	Planning permissions in Accordance with Policy 29	Planning permissions not in accordance with Policy 29	0 (0)	No applications were granted not in accordance will Policy 29.

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 30: Construction, demolition and excavation waste development	Amount of high quality recycled and secondary aggregate production	Once 1mtpa production reached, production of high quality recycled and secondary aggregate production decreases below 1mtpa <i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i>	Recycled: 0.78Mt (0.72Mt) Recovered: 402kt (338 thousand tonnes (kt)) Reclamation: 0kt (0kt) Construction: 0kt (0kt)	This indicator shows the four broad classifications for beneficial uses of inert waste. Overall, the amount of inert waste put to beneficial uses has increased by 11.5% from 1.06mt in 2018 to 1.18mt in 2019. Production of recycled and secondary aggregate has not decreased below 1mtpa however 2019 has seen the first increase in production in five years having previously decreased every year since 2014 - 2015. Recycled is the figure as recorded in the 2020 Local Aggregate Assessment Dashboard. Recovered, reclaimed and construction figures are taken from the Environment Agency 2019 Waste Management in South East England data tables.
Policy 31: Liquid waste and waste water management	Number of and capacity of Waste Water Treatment Works (WWTW) with co-disposal of liquid wastes and/or biogas recovery	Decrease in number of WWTW and/or capacity for co-disposal of liquid wastes and/or biogas recovery <i>(Breach of benchmark over two successive years)</i>	3 sites, 5.45MW (3 sites, 5.45MW)	Figure only records capacity of those WWTW with co-disposal capability. Please note that this capacity is also included in the capacity reported for the Policy 28 monitoring indicator. Data is based on operator information.

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 32: Non-hazardous waste landfill	Lifetime of Landfill capacity void	Lifetime of Landfill capacity void drops below 4 years	3.1 years* (3.7 years) (2.4 years in 2017)	<p>Landfill capacity as of 31.12.19 based upon averaged annual inputs as recorded by the Environment Agency and voids as reported by the operators.</p> <p>Based on data from the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator, the amount of non-hazardous waste received at Hampshire's only operating non-hazardous landfill (Blue Haze) was 99,811 (110,113) tonnes, of which 59% (65%) came from Hampshire.</p> <p>Around 146,561(168,036) tonnes of household, industrial and commercial waste received in non-Hampshire landfills came from Hampshire.</p> <p>At the same time, around 40,575 (38,197) tonnes of waste from other authorities was received at landfills in Hampshire.</p> <p>*Please note: Waste capacity will be reviewed as part of the 2020 Review of the HMWP (2013) using an updated methodology.</p>

Policy Title & Number	Monitoring Indicator	Monitoring Indicator target	Outcome in 2019 (2018)	Commentary
Policy 33: Hazardous and low-level waste development	Amount of hazardous waste management arisings and capacity	Hazardous waste management capacity is higher than estimated arisings	Arisings: 159,755 (146,302) Deposits: 115,615 (110,386) Capacity*: 232,000 (232,000)	Existing capacity is more than the estimated waste arisings. Data on arisings from Hampshire and deposits in Hampshire is from the Environment Agency's Hazardous Waste Data Interrogator. *Please note: Waste capacity will be reviewed as part of the 2020 Review of the HMWP (2013) using an updated methodology.
Policy 34: Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure	Planning permissions granted contrary to advice of the Minerals Planning Authority (MPA) / Waste Planning Authority (WPA)	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to advice of the MPA/WPA = 0	0 (0)	No safeguarded sites were developed against WPA advice.

5. Reviewing the Monitoring Targets

5.1 Monitoring Review

5.1.1 The review of the output indicator outcomes and commentary shows that the majority of output indicator targets (62% of policies had a green rating) were achieved during 2019. Where targets were not achieved, it may be due to a variety of factors:

- inappropriate indicator / target;
- external factors, such as in government policy; and,
- changes in market conditions or behaviour from the general public.

5.1.2 Where indicators have been breached, or are close to breaching in subsequent years, the Hampshire Authorities and SDNPA monitor the situation closely and take account of the identified need in their decision making. The Hampshire Authorities and SDNPA also engage with operators and landowners in order to support the submission of sustainable planning applications in accordance with minerals and waste planning policy. Should it be noted that certain indicators have been breached in successive years, these areas will be noted for particular attention during any upcoming reviews of planning policy.

5.1.3 Indicators associated with silica sand and brick-making clay are prone to considerable variations and subject to confidentiality issues due to the small number of sites and operators. The Hampshire Authorities and SDNPA are actively engaged with the operators running these sites, though it will ultimately be an operator decision whether to apply for further planning permissions in order to increase reserves.

5.2 Policy Review

5.2.1 A summary of issues which have arisen during the application of the HMWP policies to date, by Hampshire Authorities and SDNPA officers, is included here. These comments will help in the monitoring of the HMWP, as well as in shaping any future planning policy.

5.2.2 The downward trend for the indicator for *Policy 25* seen between 2016 and 2018 (a drop in recycling from 49% to 47% and then 44%) may simply be due to varying commercial circumstances, as the figure has risen to 54% in 2019. This fluctuation highlights that waste trends are affected by factors outside of an LPAs control (e.g. drops in profitability for recycled materials). This indicator will be closely monitored and further exploration of the causes and potential remedies, to reduced recycling rates, within the LPAs control will be required.

5.2.3 The general downward trend for landfill capacity (indicator for *Policy 32*) is the subject of current investigation, though it should be noted that the Plan provides for the expansion of one of the existing landfills, a landfill allocation and a landfill criteria-based policy which should enable all operators to pursue further landfill opportunities.

- 5.2.4 It should also be noted that *Policy 21 (Permitted reserve at individual silica sand sites)* and *Policy 22 (Landbank from brick-making clay)* have breached their threshold for more than two successive years.
- 5.2.5 It is particularly worth noting the issue with getting reliable data for the mineral policies due to the impacts of the Coronavirus pandemic on data collection. This may have caused a number of mineral policies to be recorded as red and this will need to be reviewed in subsequent years.
- 5.2.6 A number of comments have been received from the Development Management team that focus on the implementation of the policies. Key themes are the need for clearly defined terminology, issues around restoration (such as how any extensions of time should be handled and how to balance the need for restoration with the desire to use existing sites for further activities) and consideration of the increased importance of climate change prevention, mitigation and adaptation.

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 1 (Sustainable mineral and waste development):</i>	<p>A reference to the Circular Economy principles needs to be worked in, especially with regards to waste.</p> <p>The vision in Section 2 could better reflect sustainability in a current policy and strategic context.</p>
<i>Policy 2 (Climate Change):</i>	<p>Consider placing more emphasis on requirement for Greenhouse Gas and Health assessments.</p> <p>There is a need to require developments to be flexible in order to change into the future. This will allow for reductions in carbon emissions towards net zero carbon.</p> <p>Remove 'help' from point a. – developments should be designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.</p> <p>Reword point b. to define '<i>energy recovery</i>' to reflect current and future situations. Also, include circular economy instead of energy from waste, whilst being for anaerobic digestion and similar technologies in support of the Environment Bill and Waste Strategy.</p> <p>While no development has yet been refused using this policy, the policy needs to be stronger to be in line with the emerging focus on climate change. Comparison with new emerging Plans in Neighbouring Authorities could provide insight.</p> <p>Additions could be made to Paragraph 4.7 now that new technologies and new evidence are available.</p> <p>The provisions of the Environment Bill need to be worked into the policy.</p>

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 3 (Habitats and species):</i>	<p>Biodiversity Net Gain is likely to be an issue within this policy. A net gain percentage may be necessary, e.g. 10% gain after restoration.</p> <p>The issue of nutrient neutrality should be considered as part of this policy.</p> <p>Define '<i>where possible</i>' and '<i>local</i>'.</p> <p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of protecting habitats.</p> <p>The provisions of the Environment Bill may need to be considered within this policy.</p>
<i>Policies 4 (Designated Landscape):</i>	<p>Define '<i>where appropriate</i>'.</p> <p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of protecting designated landscapes.</p> <p>The provisions of the Environment Bill may need to be considered within this policy.</p>
<i>Policy 5 (Protection of the countryside):</i>	<p>Consultee's have noted that the policy is not strong enough to allow them to object to proposals.</p> <p>Further clarification is required for part c. More definitions are needed and possibly a linkage with Policy 29.</p> <p>Define '<i>Highest standards of restoration and design</i>' clarity is sort over the parameters of this issue.</p> <p>The inclusion of National Landscape Character Areas could be considered.</p> <p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of protecting the countryside.</p>
<i>Policy 6 (South West Hampshire Green Belt):</i>	<p>The special circumstances and beneficial uses of the green belt need clearly defining.</p> <p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of protecting Hampshire's green belt.</p> <p>It is important to be complimentary of National Policy rather than repeating it.</p> <p>This policy needs strengthening and/or enforcing with regards to the use of the highest standards of design, operation, and restoration.</p> <p>Define '<i>as far as possible</i>' and '<i>highest standards</i>'.</p>
<i>Policy 7 (Heritage assets):</i>	<p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of protecting heritage assets.</p> <p>Any upcoming changes to the NPPF, with regard to the historic environment, will need to be considered.</p>
<i>Policy 8 (Soils):</i>	<p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of protecting soils.</p> <p>More information is required with regards to the use of Grade 3a/3b agricultural land.</p> <p>A linkage is required with Policy 9 in regard to the enhancement of soils as part of restoration works.</p>

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 9 (Restoration of minerals and waste developments):</i>	<p>More reasoning is needed in the supporting text on the importance of restoring sites effectively.</p> <p>Paragraph 4.74 needs to be stronger in its promotion of enhancements, opportunities and benefits, and needs to be linked to overall net gain.</p> <p>The policy needs to be flexible to allow the most effective and up-to-date restoration achievable, for when it is delivered.</p> <p>A restoration Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) could be a useful tool for achieving the best restorations.</p> <p>There could be scope to merge some elements of Policy 14 (Community Benefits) with this policy, looking at restoration as a community benefit.</p>
<i>Policy 10 (Protecting public health and safety):</i>	<p>The policy needs to be reviewed to make sure it does not repeat the requirements of the NPPF.</p> <p>Recent applications have shown that local communities are concerned with the sense of community and enjoyment of their area.</p> <p>Consideration of putting the aviation and safeguarding elements into a separate policy, as well as cumulative impacts into a separate policy.</p>
<i>Policy 12 (Managing traffic):</i>	<p>This policy could be linked with Policy 2 (Climate Change). The policy could be strengthened with regards to emissions, vehicle types and traffic movements through the national parks.</p> <p>Define 'where possible'.</p>
<i>Policy 13 (High-quality design of minerals and waste development):</i>	<p>The policy needs updating to be in line with Policy 1 (Sustainable minerals and waste development) and Policy 2 (Climate Change).</p> <p>The requirement for developments to 'use high quality recycled and secondary source building materials where appropriate' should be enforced as well as the need to 'minimise the use of primary aggregates' in developments.</p>
<i>Policy 14 (Community benefits):</i>	<p>This policy needs to be removed or reviewed to make it applicable to the current and future positions surrounding community benefits.</p>
<i>Policy 15 (Safeguarding – minerals resources):</i>	<p>This policy could be stronger and needs to consider the environmental net balance of developments.</p> <p>There has been much misinterpretation of the supporting text of this policy by applicants and stakeholders, especially Paragraph 5.15. The supporting text should be reviewed.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to reviewing the Safeguarding SPD.</p>
<i>Policy 16 (Safeguarding – minerals infrastructure):</i>	<p>This policy could be linked to inert recycling facilities and incinerator bottom ash recycling facilities.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to reviewing the Safeguarding SPD.</p> <p>The policy could provide more protection to smaller sites, some of which have been lost/redeveloped recently.</p>
<i>Policy 17 (Aggregate supply – capacity and source):</i>	<p>Clarification is required that the apportionment of aggregate requirements is a minimum rather than a ceiling figure.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to circular economy policies when this policy is reviewed.</p>

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 18 (Recycled and secondary aggregates development):</i>	<p>The policy comes across as a position statement and could be developed and strengthened.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to circular economy policies when this policy is reviewed. Especially with regards to applying the Waste Hierarchy by requiring the consideration of recycled and secondary aggregates to fulfil market need.</p>
<i>Policy 19 (Aggregate wharves and rail depots):</i>	<p>Consideration should be given to strengthening this policy with regard to prioritising wharf space for marine aggregate importation over land-based sources.</p>
<i>Policy 20 (Local land-won aggregate):</i>	<p>The site list for this policy needs updating.</p> <p>Consideration may need to be made for sites that will provide for other needs, such as inert void space.</p> <p>The policy could be updated to emphasise the requirement of land-won aggregates as a last resort over recycled and secondary aggregates.</p> <p>Consideration should be made for making allocations more flexible/adaptable to ensure developments are of good enough quality when they come forward, based upon the requirements in the future.</p>
<i>Policy 21 (Silica sand development):</i>	<p>Silica for non-specialist uses may need to be considered through a review of this policy.</p>
<i>Policy 22 (Brick-making clay):</i>	<p>Selborne Brick works is no longer a deliverable site and so needs to be removed from the policy.</p> <p>The policy needs to be updated to allow for importation of material onto site for brick making.</p>
<i>Policy 23 (Chalk):</i>	<p>This policy needs to be reviewed based upon the current markets and uses for chalk, current sites are showing changes that the policy needs to address.</p> <p>Clearer definitions need to be made within the supporting text.</p> <p>The supporting text around dormant sites could be stronger.</p>
<i>Policy 24 (Oil and gas development):</i>	<p>Consideration should be made to update part 2 of the policy to include restoration and aftercare of sites.</p> <p>This policy should be reviewed in line with the climate change strategy, including the use of fossil fuels and whether commercial development should be supported.</p> <p>Clarification could be required on issues surrounding Hydraulic Fracturing.</p> <p>The SPD could be reviewed alongside the policy.</p> <p>The supporting text could be updated to include the potential uses of old sites.</p>

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 25 (Sustainable waste management):</i>	<p>There is confusion surrounding who holds the best data for this policy, with both the Environment Agency and monitoring information available.</p> <p>The circular economy package should be included in this policy in line with the NPPF.</p> <p>The 2020 recycling targets are in need of updating.</p> <p>Consideration should be made on how much residual landfill capacity is apportioned to out of area waste.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to strengthening the policy to encourage the processing of waste indoors to reduce the social impact of sites</p> <p>Consideration could be given to the requirement for applicants to demonstrate that their proposals are sustainable.</p>
<i>Policy 26 (Safeguarding - waste infrastructure):</i>	<p>The supporting text needs to be strengthened with regard to changes of use.</p> <p>Definitions could be included of the smaller energy recovery facilities such as Anaerobic Digestion and Pyrolysis.</p> <p>The sites list does not include inert recycling/secondary aggregate facilities.</p>
<i>Policy 27 (Capacity for waste management development):</i>	<p>There is confusion surrounding who holds the best data for this policy, with both the Environment Agency and monitoring information available.</p> <p>Paragraph 6.181 needs to be reviewed and should be updated in line with recent local plans in neighbouring authorities.</p>
<i>Policy 28 (Energy recovery development):</i>	<p>Consideration should be given to requiring operators to prove they have explored other options with regard to the waste hierarchy.</p> <p>Concerns have been raised regarding the practicalities of part b of the policy. It is often not possible for the facilities provide heat energy to areas due to the sites positioning away from development.</p> <p>Consideration should be made to linking the policy with those for sustainable waste management, metals and/or incinerator bottom ash.</p> <p>The policy needs to be strengthened with regard to Anaerobic Digestion in line with Government strategies.</p>
<i>Policy 29 (Locations and sites for waste management):</i>	<p>Define 'areas along strategic road corridors'.</p> <p>The policy needs to be made clearer with regard to differences between existing and new developments.</p> <p>Linkages should be made within the policy to Policy 27.</p> <p>Clarification is required with regard to supporting special need and what this is. Paragraph 6.209 sets out exceptional circumstance, but the policy does not.</p> <p>Linkages with Policy 5 need to be considered.</p>
<i>Policy 30 (Construction, demolition and excavation waste development):</i>	<p>More explanation is required on what a 'beneficial outcome' is. Could this link to Biodiversity Net Gain or Climate Change.</p> <p>The British Standards for Concrete have been updated, this policy should be updated in line with these.</p>
<i>Policy 31 (Liquid waste and waste water management):</i>	<p>The issue of nitrates needs to be explored within this policy.</p>

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 32 (Non-hazardous waste landfill):</i>	<p>Additional landfill capacity will be an issue for this policy. All new proposals will need to demonstrate that all alternatives are not possible.</p> <p>Part b could be updated to '<i>adversely affect</i>'.</p> <p>Clarity is needed on the Environment Agency's sensitive receptors buffer.</p> <p>Part e of the policy should refer to net gain.</p> <p>The Environment Bill, Waste Strategy and/or Circular Economy Package could make it hard to demonstrate need for a new landfill proposal.</p> <p>The shift towards regional landfill modelling needs to be considered and potentially included in a review of this policy.</p>
<i>Policy 34 (Hazardous and low-level radioactive waste development):</i>	Issues concerning drainage need to be included in this policy.

5.2.7 The aforementioned areas in particular should be given particular attention during any upcoming reviews of planning policy.

5.3 Previous Policy Review Comments

5.3.1 Comments from previous Monitoring Reports are included below in order to maintain a comprehensive overview.

5.3.2 Previous policy review comments:

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 1 (Sustainable mineral and waste development):</i>	Needs reviewing in light of the climate emergency declaration by Hampshire County Council.
<i>Policy 2 (Climate Change):</i>	<p>It may help if text or policy could refer to the consideration of self-sustaining sites (in terms of energy) to achieve more sustainable outcomes. Items such as solar panels on roofs and banks, wind power and building orientation may provide opportunities. The use of green roofs may also increase biodiversity, if appropriate, if there are no other possibilities to enhance within the site boundary.</p> <p>Needs strengthening, particularly in consideration for the impacts on the causes of climate change.</p>
<i>Policy 3 (Habitats and species):</i>	<p>Although the policy is about protection from adverse impacts as well as mitigation and compensation for loss, it may be useful to proactively encourage biodiversity enhancement through design, layout and management.</p> <p>Needs to consider climate change and biodiversity net gain.</p>
<i>Policies 4 (Designated Landscape) and 5 (Countryside):</i>	Could benefit from more clarity with regard to what kind of restoration is appropriate if a business was to fail (go into liquidation).
<i>Policy 7 (Heritage assets):</i>	A better definition of a locally recognised asset would be helpful.

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 8 (Soils):</i>	When soils are restored to agriculture they will not be of best and most versatile agricultural land quality until some time has passed so they need to be monitored until soil structure shows what final quality is attained. Need for an increased understanding of soil protection, retention and restoration.
<i>Policy 9 (Restoration of minerals and waste developments):</i>	It may be beneficial to include the words 'public water supply/storage' and 'recreation/access etc.' in the actual policy as opposed to the supporting text. Issues with restoration completing on time; consideration required to allow for the delivery of improved restoration schemes if extensions of time are requested. Need to consider new policy areas of climate change and net gain.
<i>Policy 10 (Protecting public health and safety):</i>	May need to review the criterion 'g' and 'i' as the formatting can confuse the reader. Criterion 'j' could also be improved, as its cumulative impact of the development on the locality which may be in conjunction with existing or allocated development, or in conjunction with land use (e.g. internationally designated sites, landscape character areas etc.).
<i>Policy 11 (Flood risk and prevention):</i>	May be better if re-titled 'Flood risk and groundwater protection / drainage'. Need for consistent decision making and guidance from EA.
<i>Policy 12 (Managing traffic):</i>	Questions regarding the relative weighting of potential traffic impacts and need for development.
<i>Policy 13 (High-quality design of minerals and waste development)</i>	Need for implementation guidance in order to enable further implementation of policy.
<i>Policy 14 (Community Benefits):</i>	It may be necessary to give more clarity with regard to the form of land use/enhancement with recreational and other benefits. The supporting text for <i>Policy 5 (Protection of the countryside)</i> refers to the restoration of mineral and waste developments that can lead to enhanced public access and additional recreational uses, providing benefits to rural communities and this may be better placed under). This policy does not relate directly to work done by the Minerals or Waste Planning Authority, as it refers to bilateral agreements that do not include the Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. It is also difficult to monitor on an annual basis as such agreements, as the policy encourages, can take time to be established and implemented. There is also no obligation for such agreements to be reported to the Mineral and Waste Planning Authority.
<i>Policy 15 (Safeguarding – mineral resources):</i>	Historic England noted that “Malmstone is not safeguarded in Hampshire for the reasons set out in paragraphs 6.16 and 6.17 of the HMWP, i.e. that a resource has not been identified or worked for over half a century and there is no evidence that it is sourced in Hampshire other than recycling from old buildings. Historic England is part-funding a Strategic Stone Study of England on a county by county basis. Unfortunately, Hampshire has yet to be studied but when it is, should a source of Malmstone be identified, we hope that the County Council will consider safeguarding it for conservation works.”
<i>Policy 24 (Oil and gas development):</i>	Consideration of updated fracking and climate change policies is required.
<i>Policy 25 (Sustainable waste management):</i>	Need to consider the balance between transfer and processing facilities within Hampshire.

HMWP Policy	Comment
<i>Policy 26 (Safeguarding - waste infrastructure):</i>	Need to strengthen the potential relocation of capacity.
<i>Policy 27 (Capacity for waste management):</i>	<p>A comment was made that “Particularly in waste policies, [there is] no provision for non-new sites and for changes that occur on a site that would not change the substantive function or introduce new capacity.” While this is covered in <i>Policy 27 (Capacity for waste management development)</i> there may be a possibility in the future to clarify the policy position on ancillary developments on waste sites.</p> <p>Consider the role of facilities higher up the waste hierarchy.</p> <p>More details needed on past performance requirements.</p>
<i>Policy 28 (Energy recovery development):</i>	<p>Need to consider strengthening and enforcing issues around the policy, e.g. on driving waste up the hierarchy and providing combined heat and power.</p> <p>AD plants may need a different approach.</p>
<i>Policy 29 (Location of waste sites):</i>	Part 1 uses Roman numerals while parts 2 and 3 letters of the alphabet and the layout may be better if labelled part 'A' (currently parts 1 and 2) and part 'B' (currently part 3).
<i>Policy 30 (Construction, demolition and excavation waste development):</i>	Potential conflict with Policy 9, as there is tension between restoration and reuse of developed land.
<i>Policy 31 (Liquid waste and wastewater management):</i>	<p>Thames Water commented on the need for a specific wastewater treatment policy: "as fundamentally, wastewater treatment has different geographical and technical requirements from other forms of waste management or waste treatment that form the majority of waste proposals that the HMWP is intended to provide policy guidance for. For example, wastewater treatment plants are constrained by the location of the sewerage network and need to be located close to where the sewerage network terminates (which is generally low lying ground to enable flows to gravitate and avoid high energy consumption associated with unnecessary pumping) and need to be located close to a suitable receiving watercourse into which the treated effluent can be discharged. In relation to existing wastewater treatment plants the existing discharge point can often be a critical issue as effluent discharges can form a significant proportion of river flows which are required to be maintained by the Environment Agency. Hence, these are reasons why a specific wastewater policy is required." The adopted HMWP includes a policy on wastewater treatment (Policy 31), however these comments may inform any future review.</p>
<i>Policy 32 (Non-hazardous waste landfill):</i>	Policy needs updating with most up-to-date sites.
<i>Safeguarding policies (Policies 15, 16, 26, 34):</i>	The indicators relate to development, however annual monitoring can most directly be done on granted permissions. Additionally, a need for improved guidance and processes in this area were identified, leading to the development of the Minerals and Waste Safeguarding Supplementary Planning Guidance.

HMWP Policy	Comment
CLUs	Granting Certificates of Lawful Use (CLUs) has highlighted a discrepancy that exists in how these decisions are made to those of a planning application submitted before a development takes place. Due to the fact that in CLUs the development already exists and is simply certified as lawful by the Local Planning Authority, rather than permitted per se, there is little opportunity to ensure it is in accordance with the adopted Plan. A review of the Plan would need to consider whether there is a way of affecting this through new policy or if the current legal framework prevents this issue from being addressed.

5.4 Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan Review

5.4.1 In 2018, The Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan was reviewed in accordance with national planning guidance³⁰ regarding the requirement for a 5-yearly review of plans³¹.

5.4.2 The Review, which has been published online³², concluded that, in 2018 (5 years since adoption), the policies were working effectively to achieve the Vision and there was no requirement to update the HMWP.

5.4.3 It was concluded that there were limitations to the 2018 review, including:

- The monitoring indicators and triggers may not have been defined sufficiently;
- There were a number of uncertainties which would have an impact on future capacity requirements such as Brexit; and
- The Government's drive to boost the housing market would have an impact on construction aggregates but the timescales and quantities were difficult to define.

5.4.4 To determine the effectiveness of the policies and whether there is a need to amend site allocations, it was concluded that the HMWP would be reviewed again in 2020, with a stakeholder event taking place in 2019.

5.4.5 A HMWP Review Workshop, attended by a wide range of Stakeholders, was undertaken in September 2019 to investigate the issues raised within the 2018 Review and how trends in minerals supply and sustainable waste management provision are developing.

³⁰ Planning Practice Guidance (Paragraph: 051 Reference ID: 61-051-20180913) (Revision date: 13 09 2018) - <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/plan-making>

³¹ National Planning Policy Framework (2018) (Para. 33) - https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/740441/National_Planning_Policy_Framework_web_accessible_version.pdf

³² Hampshire County Council website: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

6. Cooperation in plan-making

6.1 Duty to Cooperate

- 6.1.1 The 'duty to cooperate' was created by the Localism Act 2011³³, and amends the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004³⁴. It places a legal duty on local planning authorities, County Councils in England and public bodies to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis to maximise the effectiveness of Local and Marine Plan preparation relating to strategic cross boundary matters.
- 6.1.2 The Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA have a duty to cooperate on planning issues that cross administrative boundaries, particularly those which relate to the strategic priorities. This includes the provision of waste management infrastructure, minerals and energy.
- 6.1.3 Cooperation should be a continuous process of engagement and councils are encouraged to work collaboratively with other bodies to ensure that strategic priorities across local boundaries are properly coordinated and clearly reflected in individual Local Plans.
- 6.1.4 The Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA take this duty seriously, have produced the HMWP in partnership, and continue to work together on minerals and waste issues.
- 6.1.5 To satisfy this requirement for ongoing collaboration, Hampshire County Council is actively engaged in the sub-regional working group SEWPAG (South East Waste Planning Advisory Group), on behalf of the Hampshire Authorities. SEWPAG has adopted a 'manifesto' which includes the following statement to define the purpose of the group: 'To help waste planning authorities in the area to fulfil the Duty to Co-operate on strategic issues enshrined in the Localism Bill, and specifically to give effect to the Government's stated intention to place the responsibilities of the former Regional Technical Advisory Bodies with local authority grouping'.
- 6.1.6 Hampshire County Council is also a member of SEEAWP (South East England Aggregates Working Party), a technical group with the role of advising government, Mineral Planning Authorities and industry on aggregates. Like with SEWPAG, Hampshire County Council represents the Hampshire Authorities on this working party.

6.2 Duty to Cooperate requests in 2019

- 6.2.1 The Hampshire Authorities and SDNPA are often contacted by other mineral / waste planning authorities in order to discuss plan-making issues. Lists of those authorities that have made formal duty to cooperate requests during 2019 are shown below. Additionally, the Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA regularly respond to

³³ Localism Act 2011: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents>

³⁴ Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/5/contents

consultations on minerals and waste plan preparation for neighbouring and other authorities.

6.2.2 All requests were responded to positively by the Hampshire Authorities and the SDNPA.

6.2.3 2019 Duty to Cooperate requests:

Date	Planning Authority	Information Request
08/01/2019	Hertfordshire	Waste
15/01/2019	Surrey	Waste
29/01/2019	West Berkshire	Waste
13/02/2019	Hertfordshire	Waste
28/02/2019	West Sussex	Minerals
30/04/2019	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough	Waste
31/10/2019	London Borough of Sutton	Waste

7. Further Information

7.1 Additional published data and information on the Hampshire County Council website's Strategic Planning section³⁵ allows for a more dynamic approach to supplying the information on permitted minerals and waste sites and planning applications. This method allows more detailed and up-to-date reporting of what is happening throughout the Hampshire Plan area with updates of the Hampshire County Council planning applications (those granted, refused, withdrawn etc.) throughout the year rather than at a single point in time. The following information is available online:

7.2 Daily updates

- Details of all planning applications made for mineral or waste activities in Hampshire³⁶;
- List of all applications open for consultation³⁷;
- List of all applications recently determined³⁸; and
- Details of all appeals to planning permission refusal³⁹.

7.3 Annual updates

- All permitted mineral and waste sites, including noting which sites are safeguarded and for which sites chargeable site visits are undertaken by the Monitoring and Enforcement team; and
- Further information on the work carried out by the Policy, Development Management and Monitoring and Enforcement teams within the Strategic Planning section⁴⁰.

³⁵ Hampshire County Council Strategic Planning webpage: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

³⁶ Search and view planning applications: <https://planning.hants.gov.uk/>

³⁷ Applications open for consultation: <https://planning.hants.gov.uk/SearchResults.aspx?OpenForConsultation=True>

³⁸ Recent decisions: <https://planning.hants.gov.uk/SearchResults.aspx?RecentDecisions=True>

³⁹ Search and view planning applications: <https://planning.hants.gov.uk/>

⁴⁰ Hampshire County Council Strategic Planning webpage: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning/hampshire-minerals-waste-plan>

This document can be made available in large print, on audio media, in Braille or in some other languages.

For further information, please contact Minerals and Waste Policy in the Strategic Planning group:

Telephone: 0300 555 1389

Email: planning.policy@hants.gov.uk

Write to:

Minerals and Waste Policy

Strategic Planning

Economy, Transport & Environment Department

Hampshire County Council

Floor 1 Elizabeth II Court West

Winchester SO23 8UD

Website: <https://www.hants.gov.uk/landplanningandenvironment/strategic-planning>

