

<b>First name</b>	David
<b>Surname</b>	Payne
<b>Your address</b>	MPA, Gillingham House, Gillingham Street, London SW1V 1HU
<b>Your email address</b>	
<b>Your organisation (if applicable)</b>	Mineral Products Association
<b>Respondent capacity</b>	Minerals and Waste Industry
<b>Are you happy for us to contact you following this survey...</b>	Yes
<b>Q1 Do you agree with the proposed Plan period up to 2036?</b>	Yes
<b>Q2 If not, what period do you suggest and why?</b>	
<b>Q3 Do you agree with the proposed Vision?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4 If not, what changes would you suggest?</b>	The Vision needs to be focused on 'ensuring the maintenance of a steady and adequate supply of minerals' while aiming to maximise the contribution that minerals development can bring to local communities, the economy and the natural environment'
<b>Q5 Do you agree with the proposed Strategic Plan Objectives?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6 If not, what changes would you suggest?</b>	Replace 'demand for' with 'supply of' as it is the steady and adequate supply of minerals from Central and Eastern Berkshire that needs to be planned for and balanced with other factors, reflected in Objective 7.
<b>Q7 Do you agree with the proposed Spatial Strategy Content?</b>	vii should be 'in locations that are acceptable' as the 'community' whose needs are met may be wider than the locality of a particular site.
<b>Q8 If not, what changes would you suggest?</b>	Minerals data
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Transportation of minerals
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Aggregate demand
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Aggregate supply
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Recycled and secondary aggregate
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Crushed rock
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Marine won sand and gravel
<b>There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...</b>	Sand and gravel markets
<b>There are 20 identified issues to</b>	Extraction locations

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Sand and gravel resources

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Sand and gravel imports / exports

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Past sand and gravel sales

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Soft sand

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Landbank

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Future sand and gravel provision

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to** Mineral safeguarding

**the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...**

**There are 20 identified issues to the minerals chapter of...**

**Q9 Can you suggest any other sources of minerals data for the Central and Eastern Berkshire Area**

**Q10 Do you agree that the general trends for the Berkshire wide level of mineral demand are also likely to apply in Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

Yes

**Q11 Do you agree that there is sufficient information to support a minerals plan for Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

Yes

**Q 12**

**Q13 Do you think potential and practicable rail and water con...**

Yes

**Q14 Do you know of any such sites within Central and East...**

**Q15 If existing rail depots in neighbouring authorities c...**

Yes

Not 'local' but the MPA has produced scenarios of aggregates demand and supply to 2030 at GB level, reflecting the drivers and issues referred to in the JMWP document. It is difficult to break these down further by region or planning authority area, but it is likely that the general trend would be applicable to the wider South East of England. At local plan level, a qualitative as well as a quantitative approach would seem the most sensible –so while local 'modelling' will be difficult and may

**Q16**

**Q17 Do you agree that the**

Yes

**demand information suggests  
tha...**

**Q18**

Yes

**Q19 If not, what information do  
you have that would suppo...**

But beware that this could be self-fulfilling if planned  
provision for land-won aggregate is reduced

**Q20 Do you agree that the trend  
for increasing consumptio...**

Yes

**Q21**

**Q22 Do you agree with the  
assumption that Central and  
Eastern Berkshire is exporting  
some of its construction and  
demolition waste outside of The  
Plan area, potentially to West  
Berkshire, for processing?**

Yes

**Q23 Do you agree that Central  
and Eastern Berkshire should be  
more self sufficient in its  
processing of construction and  
demolition waste within The Plan  
area?**

Yes

**Q24 Do you agree with the  
assumption that the crushed rock  
supplied to Central and Eastern  
Berkshire is sourced from  
Somerset via the rail depots at  
Theale?**

Yes

**Q25 Do you agree that the  
consumption of crushed rock  
within the Berkshire area  
demonstrates the dependence of  
Central and Eastern Berkshire on  
the rail depots in neighbouring  
areas as sources of supply?**

Yes

**Q26 Do you agree with the  
assumption that the marine won  
sand and gravel forms a small but  
important part of the aggregate  
supply to Central and Eastern  
Berkshire?**

Yes

**Q27 Do you agree with the  
assumption that marine won  
sand and gravel from Hampshire  
is being transported by road and  
via rail from London's wharves?**

Yes

**Q28 Do you agree that the  
import of marine aggregates to**

Yes

**Central and Eastern Berkshire justifies support for safeguarding wharves in supply locations such as Hampshire and London?**

**Q29** Yes

**Q30 Do you agree that the supply of soft sand to Central and Eastern Berkshire is being sourced from outside The Plan area?**

Yes

**Q31 Are you aware of any reasons for soft sand proposals not coming forward?**

No

**Q32 Are you aware of any potential soft sand sites?**

No

**Q33 Do you agree with the assumption that Central and Eastern Berkshire is likely to be supplying Slough with aggregate?**

Yes

**Q34 Are you aware of any factors which may affect the estimated seven million tonnes of reserves at operational sites within Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

**Q35 Do you agree that potential resources of sand and gravel and soft sand remain within Windsor & Maidenhead and Wokingham Boroughs'?**

Yes

**Q36 Do you think the resources in Preferred Areas in Slough should be taken account of when considering potential resources supply to Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

Yes

**Q37 Do you agree that the main supplies of sand and gravel used in the area are from within Berkshire and Hampshire?**

Yes

**Q38 If not, do you have any evidence to support this?**

**Q39 Do you agree with the assumption that a decline in exports reflects the development demand pressures within the area?**

Yes

**Q40 Do you agree with the assumption that imports and exports of sand and gravel are transported by road?**

Yes

**Q41**

- Q42 Yes
- Q43 If not, what level of demand do you think is appropriate?  
Soft sand Issue: there is currently no soft sand produced...
- Q45 Do you think that Central and Eastern Berkshire should... No  
Consider sites put forward in Calls for Sites. Identify Preferred Area or AoS for soft sand to provide flexibility for sites to come forward over the Plan period
- Q46 If not, what measures can be used to encourage soft sand... Consider sites put forward in Calls for Sites. Identify Preferred Area or AoS for soft sand to provide flexibility for sites to come forward over the Plan period
- Q 47 Yes
- Q48 If not, what factors or information influence your position?
- Q49 Do you agree that the Central and Eastern Berkshire Authorities should plan for an additional requirement of 9 million tonnes of sand and gravel? Yes
- Q50 If not, what is the evidence to support this?
- Q51 Do you agree that all the remaining Preferred Areas are reconsidered for inclusion in the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan? Yes
- Q52 Do you have any information regarding the remaining Preferred Areas which may impact their inclusion? No
- Q53 Are you aware of any sand and gravel sites that could be proposed for extraction? No
- Q54 Yes
- Q55 If not, what other minerals should be included and why?
- Q56 Do you agree that it is not necessary to safeguard clay resources because current industrial demand by brick and tiles works is low in this area?
- Q57 If not, what evidence do you have to support this?
- Q58 Do you agree that it is not necessary to allocate clay extraction sites?
- Q59 If not, what evidence do you have to support this?
- Q60 Do you agree that future clay proposals can be judged against a criteria based policy?
- Q61 Do you agree that it is not necessary to safeguard chalk resources?
- Q62 If not, what evidence do you have to support this?
- Q63 Do you agree that it is not necessary to allocate chalk extraction sites?
- Q64 If not, what evidence do you have to support this?
- Q65 Do you agree that future chalk proposals can be judged against a criteria based policy?
- Q66 Do you agree there are currently no known commercially viable resources of oil and gas in Central and Eastern Berkshire?
- Q67 Do you agree that the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan should contain a policy to

**judge future oil and gas proposals should the situation change?**

**Q68 Do you agree that a criteria based policy should be used to judge any future oil and gas proposals?**

**Q69 Coal**

**Q70 If not, what evidence do you have to support this?**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**There are 12 identified issues to the waste chapter of th...**

**Q71 Do you agree that the Environment Agency's Waste and ...**

**Q72 Do you agree that the figures in Table 4 give an appr...**

**Q73 Do you agree with the use of waste data, where the so...**

**Q74 Do you agree with the use of waste received at facili...**

**Q75 Are there other waste streams and waste data sources ...**

**Q76 Do you agree with the methodology for estimateing capacity proposed in Table 5?**

**Q77 Are there any other sources of capacity data that you...**

**Q78 Is there another methodology for estimating waste cap...**

**Q79 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator data, in combination with Waste Data Flow where required?**

**Q80 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using Waste Data Flow and Commercial & Industrial arisings models?**

**Q81 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using a combination of the above?**

**Q82 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using another method?**

**If so, please specify what method and where the data shou...**

**Q83 Do you agree that the Colnbrook Energy from Waste facility is a vital strategic waste management facility for Central and Eastern Berkshire and Slough and so a replacement of the capacity within the area should be strongly supported?**

**Q84 Do you agree that landfill is becoming a regional level waste management facility and that it is not always appropriate to seek to allocate local sites?**

**Q85 Which of these approaches do you consider is the most...**

**Q86 Which of the following approaches do you think is the...**

**For other, please specify what method and where the data ...**

**Q87 Do you agree that inert landfill is significantly different to non hazardous landfill?**

**Q88 Do you agree that there might be benefits to inert landfill beyond those operations that are classed as recovery?**

**Q89**

**Q90 Can you suggest robust sources of data on hazardous w...**

**Q91 Can you suggest stakeholders that would have a partic...**

**Q92**

**Q93 Are there any other types of hazardous or specialist ...**

**Q94 Where else could we look for data on other types of h...**

**Q95 Are there particular types of hazardous and specialis...**

**Q96 Should we use waste management changes in the past as a basis for predicting waste arisings in the future?**

**Q97 If yes, are trends over the past 10 years a good period of time to use?**

**Q98 Should we weight waste arising predictions to take account of population and business growth predicted in the constituent authorities' emerging local plans?**

**Q99 Should we use a range of scenarios including introducing a buffer of 15% above our estimates and 15% below our estimates to demonstrate the unpredictability of future waste arisings?**

**Q100 Do you agree with the assumptions recommended for use in waste forecasting in the Planning Practice Guidance for waste?**

**Q101 What other assumptions do you think we should use?**

**Q102 Do you agree with the use of low, medium and high wa...**

**Q103 Do you have suggestions about what range of waste gr...**

**Q104 Do you agree that we should use waste scenarios to explore waste management planning options?**

**Q105 Do you agree with the four scenarios discussed in paragraph 10.26 of the Consultation Paper and that they cover the majority of options?**

**Q106 If not, what scenarios would you suggest?**

**Q107**

**Q108 If not, what are your suggestions and why?**

**Q109 Do you have any comments on the particular planning ...**

**Q110 Do you agree with the assumption that all waste is c...**

**Q111 Do you agree that it is unlikely that waste will be ...**

**Q112 If you disagree, please state where the transfer doc...**

**Q113 Do you agree that transportation of waste by rail sh...**

**Q114 If you agree, please state where the rail depot faci...**

**Q115 What comments do you have on the: Minerals Backgroun...**

**Q116 What comments do you have on the: Waste Background S...**

**Q117 What comments do you have on the Site Methodology As...**

**Q118 What comments do you have on the other Methodologies...**

**Q119 What comments do you have on the Equalities Impact A...**