



## Central and Eastern Berkshire Area

**Q10 Do you agree that the general trends for the Berkshire wide level of mineral demand are also likely to apply in Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

**Q11 Do you agree that there is sufficient information to support a minerals plan for Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

Yes

**Q 12**

No

**Q13 Do you think potential and practicable rail and water con...**

Yes

**Q14 Do you know of any such sites within Central and East...**

No

**Q15 If existing rail depots in neighbouring authorities c...**

Yes

**Q16**

Borough Local Plan

**Q17 Do you agree that the demand information suggests tha...**

Yes

**Q18**

Yes

**Q19 If not, what information do you have that would suppo...**

**Q20 Do you agree that the trend for increasing consumptio...**

Yes

**Q21**

No

**Q22 Do you agree with the assumption that Central and Eastern Berkshire is exporting some of its construction and demolition waste outside of The Plan area, potentially to West Berkshire, for processing?**

Yes

**Q23 Do you agree that Central and Eastern Berkshire should be more self sufficient in its processing of construction and demolition waste within The Plan area?**

Yes

**Q24 Do you agree with the assumption that the crushed rock supplied to Central and Eastern Berkshire is sourced from Somerset via the rail depots at Theale?**

Don't Know

**Q25 Do you agree that the consumption of crushed rock within the Berkshire area demonstrates the dependence of Central and Eastern Berkshire on the rail depots in neighbouring areas as sources of supply?**

Don't Know

**Q26 Do you agree with the assumption that the marine won**

Don't Know

**sand and gravel forms a small but important part of the aggregate supply to Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

**Q27 Do you agree with the assumption that marine won sand and gravel from Hampshire is being transported by road and via rail from London's wharves?**

Don't Know

**Q28 Do you agree that the import of marine aggregates to Central and Eastern Berkshire justifies support for safeguarding wharves in supply locations such as Hampshire and London?**

Don't Know

**Q29**

Don't know

**Q30 Do you agree that the supply of soft sand to Central and Eastern Berkshire is being sourced from outside The Plan area?**

Yes

**Q31 Are you aware of any reasons for soft sand proposals not coming forward?**

Don't Know

**Q32 Are you aware of any potential soft sand sites?**

No

**Q33 Do you agree with the assumption that Central and Eastern Berkshire is likely to be supplying Slough with aggregate?**

Yes

**Q34 Are you aware of any factors which may affect the estimated seven million tonnes of reserves at operational sites within Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

No

**Q35 Do you agree that potential resources of sand and gravel and soft sand remain within Windsor & Maidenhead and Wokingham Boroughs'?**

Don't Know

**Q36 Do you think the resources in Preferred Areas in Slough should be taken account of when considering potential resources supply to Central and Eastern Berkshire?**

Yes

**Q37 Do you agree that the main supplies of sand and gravel used in the area are from within Berkshire**

Don't Know

and Hampshire?

Q38 If not, do you have any evidence to support this? No

Q39 Do you agree with the assumption that a decline in exports reflects the development demand pressures within the area? Don't Know

Q40 Do you agree with the assumption that imports and exports of sand and gravel are transported by road? No

Q41 NO

Q42 Yes

Q43 If not, what level of demand do you think is appropriate...  
Soft sand Issue: there is currently no soft sand produced...

Q45 Do you think that Central and Eastern Berkshire should... No

Q46 If not, what measures can be used to encourage soft s...

Q 47 Yes

Q48 If not, what factors or information influence your po...

Q49 Do you agree that the Central and Eastern Berkshire Authorities should plan for an additional requirement of 9 million tonnes of sand and gravel? Yes

Q50 If not, what is the evidence to support this?

Q51 Do you agree that all the remaining Preferred Areas are reconsidered for inclusion in the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan? Yes

Q52 Do you have any information regarding the remaining Preferred Areas which may impact their inclusion? No

Q53 Are you aware of any sand and gravel sites that could be proposed for extraction? No

Q54 No

Q55 If not, what other minerals should be included and why? OTHER SOURCES OF SUSTAINABLE MATERIAL

Q56 Do you agree that it is not necessary to safeguard clay resources because current industrial demand by brick and tiles works is low in this area? Yes

Q57 If not, what evidence do you have to support this?



waste chapter of th...

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Q71 Do you agree that the Environment Agency's Waste and ...</b>  | Don't Know |
| <b>Q72 Do you agree that the figures in Table 4 give an appr...</b>  | Yes        |
| <b>Q73 Do you agree with the use of waste data, where the so...</b>  | Yes        |
| <b>Q74 Do you agree with the use of waste received at facili...</b>  | Yes        |
| <b>Q75 Are there other waste streams and waste data sources ...</b>  | DON'T KNOW |
| <b>Q76 Do you agree with the methodology for estimateing capacity proposed in Table 5?</b>   | Don't Know |
| <b>Q77 Are there any other sources of capacity data that you...</b>  | DON'T KNOW |
| <b>Q78 Is there another methodology for estimating waste cap...</b>  | DON'T KNOW |
| <b>Q79 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator data, in combination with Waste Data Flow where required?</b>   | Yes        |
| <b>Q80 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using Waste Data Flow and Commercial &amp; Industrial arisings models?</b>   | Yes        |
| <b>Q81 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using a combination of the above?</b>  | Yes        |
| <b>Q82 Do you think that non hazardous waste arisings should be estimated using another method?</b>  | No         |
| <b>If so, please specify what method and where the data shou...</b>  |            |
| <b>Q83 Do you agree that the Colnbrook Energy from Waste facility is a vital strategic waste management facility for Central and Eastern Berkshire and Slough and so a replacement of the capacity within the area should be strongly supported?</b> | Yes        |
| <b>Q84 Do you agree that landfill is becoming a regional level waste</b>   | Yes        |

**management facility and that it is not always appropriate to seek to allocate local sites?**

**Q85 Which of these approaches do you consider is the most...**

**Q86 Which of the following approaches do you think is the...**

**For other, please specify what method and where the data ...**

**Q87 Do you agree that inert landfill is significantly different to non hazardous landfill?**

**Q88 Do you agree that there might be benefits to inert landfill beyond those operations that are classed as recovery?**

**Q89**

**Q90 Can you suggest robust sources of data on hazardous w...**

**Q91 Can you suggest stakeholders that would have a partic...**

**Q92**

**Q93 Are there any other types of hazardous or specialist ...**

**Q94 Where else could we look for data on other types of h...**

**Q95 Are there particular types of hazardous and specialis...**

**Q96 Should we use waste management changes in the past as a basis for predicting waste arisings in the future?**

**Q97 If yes, are trends over the past 10 years a good period of time to use?**

**Q98 Should we weight waste arising predictions to take account of population and business growth predicted in the constituent authorities' emerging local plans?**

**Q99 Should we use a range of scenarios including introducing a buffer of 15% above our estimates and 15% below our estimates to demonstrate the unpredictability of future waste arisings?**

D – continue to use the existing waste management facilities network, however, seek to make greater provision for facilities higher up the waste hierarchy and provide for net self sufficiency for waste

B - complement Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator with aggregate recycling monitoring data

Yes

Yes

D – seek to provide greater capacity and greater diversity of hazardous waste management facilities, aiming for net self sufficiency in the hazardous waste stream

NO

NO

Yes

DON'T KNOW

DON'T KNOW

THERE MUST BE SOME

No

Yes

Yes

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Q100 Do you agree with the assumptions recommended for use in waste forecasting in the Planning Practice Guidance for waste?</b>                  | Yes  |
| <b>Q101 What other assumptions do you think we should use?</b>   | DON'T KNOW   |
| <b>Q102 Do you agree with the use of low, medium and high wa...</b>  | YES  |
| <b>Q103 Do you have suggestions about what range of waste gr...</b>  | NO   |
| <b>Q104 Do you agree that we should use waste scenarios to explore waste management planning options?</b>  | Yes  |
| <b>Q105 Do you agree with the four scenarios discussed in paragraph 10.26 of the Consultation Paper and that they cover the majority of options?</b> | Yes  |
| <b>Q106 If not, what scenarios would you suggest?</b>  |  |
| <b>Q107</b>  | Don't Know   |
| <b>Q108 If not, what are your suggestions and why?</b>   |  |
| <b>Q109 Do you have any comments on the particular planning ...</b>  |  |
| <b>Q110 Do you agree with the assumption that all waste is c...</b>  | No   |
| <b>Q111 Do you agree that it is unlikely that waste will be ...</b>  | Yes  |
| <b>Q112 If you disagree, please state where the transfer doc...</b>  |  |
| <b>Q113 Do you agree that transportation of waste by rail sh...</b>  | Yes  |
| <b>Q114 If you agree, please state where the rail depot faci...</b>  | A new duty to cooperate was introduced by the Localism Act and regulations Act 2011. In order to encourage local planning authorities to recognise that Minerals and Waste issues require a strategic cross-Boundary approach. Common sense and cooperation is essential.  |
| <b>Q115 What comments do you have on the: Minerals Backgroun...</b>  | The Waste side of this document effects us all. Our Children will have to live with our Mistakes. This Document shows a positive sensible approach , coupled with the new sciences it is in our opinion a good start. The only other answer, which in our opinion is unacceptable, is to continue with a fractured disjointed approach that leads to dumping it on someone else. |
| <b>Q116 What comments do you have on the: Waste Background S...</b>  |  |
| <b>Q117 What comments do you have on the Site Methodology As...</b>  | NONE   |
| <b>Q118 What comments do you have</b>  | The South East Plan was partially revoked on   |



**on the other Methodologies...**

25/3/2013. This deals with the Thames Basin Heaths special protection area. Our concern is this has a chipping away effect on natural habitats ,the green belt, and an unknown effect on flooding ,this type of land can store copious amounts of water. The sites referred to must be protected as such within the Thames basin. The movement of minerals will always impact on road and rail networks.That will in turn,affect us all.

**Q119 What comments do you have on the Equalities Impact A...**

NONE