

HMWP Partial Update Proposed Modifications Consultation Response

Main, Additional, and/or Policies Map Modification(s) that you are responding to:

Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan: Partial Update - Submission Plan

Hampshire Mineral and Waste Plan 2024 – Regulation 24 Consultation

1. Do you consider this/these modification(s):

Legally compliant (prepared in accordance with legislation) Yes No

Sound (fit for purpose) Yes No

2. If you do or do not consider the above modification(s) to be legally compliant and/or sound, please explain your reasons for this:

I do not believe that the modification(s) are legally compliant or sound for the following reasons:

- Policy 13: Managing traffic** – HCC does not take into consideration the impacts of traffic from mineral extraction. HGVs are not carbon neutral! There are no changes in this policy and no plans to mitigate that for residents and communities.
- Policy 14: High-quality design of minerals and waste development**, the changes in **5.54** once again minimise the impact on recreational use of the land. This has been changed to benefit the mineral extraction companies, not residents.
- Point 6.77** under **Policy 20: Local land-won aggregates** states that Midgham Farm is to be from 2026+ - but Hamer Warren/Bleak Hill Quarry must be fully restored prior to any commencement of extraction works on the Midgham Farm site.
- Policy 2: Climate change – mitigation and adaptation** HCC does not have the mechanisms in place to adhere to this. The UK government is legally committed to achieving net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050, as established by the Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019.
- Policy 9:** Protection of soils is supposed to provide soil management which can be restored to the environment. This does not appear to be the case in many of the extraction sites within Hampshire and other counties. In fact, point 4.94 states “Minerals and waste development should not result in the needless loss of BMV agricultural land or other quality soil resources.” This plan does not address the issues we are all aware of in these sites. Midgham Farm is Best and Most Versatile (Grade 3a and 3b) soil.

3. Please set out the changes you think are needed to make this modification(s) legally compliant and sound, in respect to the issues you have raised in Question 2. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording. Please be as precise as possible.

I think the whole policy should be re assessed and evidence provided of real tangible evidence where Quarrying has not had an adverse impact on the nearby landscape and communities!

About You

Is this your own personal response or on behalf of an organisation or group?

- This is a personal response
 This response is on behalf of an organisation or group

If this is a personal response, your name and postal address must be provided for your comments to be accepted. Please include these below.

Please note: anonymous or confidential representations cannot be accepted.

Your full name (required)	Lindsey Christine Ratcliffe
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Your full postal address (required)	██████████ ██████████████████ ██████████████████
Your full postcode (required)	██████████
Contact email address (optional)	██████████████████ ██████████████████

If this response is on behalf of an organisation or group, please tell us a little more about yourself and the organisation you are responding on behalf of:

Please note: anonymous or confidential representations cannot be accepted.

The full name of your organisation or group (required)	
The full address of your organisation or group (required)	
Your full name (required)	
Your position in the organisation or group (required)	
Contact email address (optional)	

Do you wish to be informed:

When the examination recommendations are published Yes No

When the Plan has been adopted Yes No

Of future minerals and waste policy work Yes No