

Is this your own personal response, or are you responding on behalf of an organisation?	This response is on behalf of an organisation or group
The full name of your organisation or group	Worldham Parish Council
The full address of your organisation or group	██
Your full name	██████████
Your position in the organisation or group	Parish Clerk
Contact email address	clerk@worldhamparishcouncil.gov.uk
Main Modification 24 comments	<p>Kingsley is not the only source of silica sand in Hampshire, and the Plan places disproportionate emphasis on Kingsley Quarry and Frith End Quarry. Other active silica sand sites — including Hythe Quarry (Forest Lodge Farm) and Fawley Quarry (Badminton Farm) — are not properly reflected in Policy 21 or its supporting text. In addition, other soft sand deposits in south and south-west Hampshire have properties suitable for agriculture, horticulture and leisure (AH&amp;L) uses, even if they are not currently marketed as silica sand. The Plan also fails to acknowledge that sand for AH&amp;L uses in Hampshire is processed in broadly the same way as building sand and does not require the high levels of specialist plant associated with industrial silica. Relevant contextual information included in earlier Background Study versions should be reinstated. Kingsley Quarry is currently dormant, and references to active extraction are inaccurate. While it retains permitted reserves, it is not uniquely critical to maintaining a silica sand landbank when other producing and potential sources exist. The Vision commits to reducing emissions and supporting net zero; however, the Plan does not properly assess whether concentrating extraction at fewer or more strategically located sites would better align with that objective. The proposed expansion of Kingsley Quarry into Rookery Farm would result in the loss of the former Bordon–Bentley railway corridor, undermining potential future rail connectivity for a significantly expanded Bordon population. Alternative resources at Whitehill &amp; Bordon (including the Oakhanger Road site) are closer to major development areas and could potentially be worked alongside construction activity, thereby reducing transport emissions. The Plan does not adequately consider these comparative sustainability benefits.</p>

	<p>Finally, the Plan should recognise the availability of recycled soft sand and recycled glass as partial substitutes for primary silica sand, and amend mapping inconsistencies relating to the Whitehill &amp; Bordon Green Town boundary. Overall, the Plan should be revised to reflect the broader silica sand resource base in Hampshire, correct factual inaccuracies regarding Kingsley Quarry, and properly assess the sustainability and net-zero implications of site selection.</p>
Main Modification 27 comments	<p>We strongly oppose any expansion of the Williams of Bordon site within the Minerals and Waste Plan. There must be no additional allocation or increase in activity at this location unless and until robust assessments demonstrate that environmental and community impacts can be fully mitigated. In addition, current waste sites must be properly monitored and enforced to prevent illegal tipping and accumulation of spoil and waste materials. These problems need to be addressed through clear policy text requiring effective site management, monitoring and enforcement, alongside any planning decisions affecting this site.</p>