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Summary

A comparison between the 2011 Census results and 2011 Small Area Population Forecasts (SAPF) shows:

- In Hampshire overall, the SAPF estimates were 1.2% different to the 2011 Census results.
- In Hampshire County the difference was smaller at 1.1%.
- SAPF underestimated the total population of Hampshire in 2011 by 22,000 people.
- Most variation between the 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF was in the young adult and older age groups.
- SAPF estimated there to be a larger number of people aged 85 and over in all of Hampshire’s districts than the 2011 Census found there were.
Introduction

The aim of this report is to highlight the similarities and differences between the 2011 Census results and Hampshire County Council’s 2011-based Small Area Population Forecasts (SAPF).

The Census is conducted every ten years. In-between censuses it is necessary to rely on other data sources for annual estimates of the population. The release of the 2011 Census results provides an opportunity to assess the accuracy of these estimates.

This report compares the first release 2011 Census county and district results with Hampshire County Council’s 2011-based Small Area Population Forecasts. The forecasts provide estimates of the population of Hampshire from 2011-2018 and are available for all districts down to ward level broken down by age and gender.

The first release of the 2011 Census results can be found at the following location:

www.hants.gov.uk/census2011

SAPF data can be found at the following location:

http://www3.hants.gov.uk/planning/factsandfigures/population-statistics/small-area-pop-stats.htm

The first release of the 2011 Census results are limited to population estimates by five year age bands for local authorities, together with household estimates and estimates of short term migrants. More detailed results for lower geographic areas are expected in further releases, from November 2012. Once more detailed 2011 Census results are published, they will be compared with SAPF at lower geographic areas, to try to further understand the differences and similarities.

The findings of this report will be used to validate the quality of the SAPF model and to explore potential improvements, once more detailed 2011 Census data is released.
## Population Totals

### Table 1: Population Totals, Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>2011 Census Population Totals</th>
<th>2011 SAPF Population Totals</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>205,100</td>
<td>199,644</td>
<td>-5,456</td>
<td>-2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southampton</td>
<td>236,900</td>
<td>235,329</td>
<td>-1,571</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southampton (Alternative SAPF)</td>
<td>236,900</td>
<td>238,763</td>
<td>1,863</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basingstoke and Deane</td>
<td>167,800</td>
<td>167,291</td>
<td>-509</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Hampshire</td>
<td>115,600</td>
<td>113,166</td>
<td>-2,434</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastleigh</td>
<td>125,200</td>
<td>123,447</td>
<td>-1,753</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fareham</td>
<td>111,600</td>
<td>111,253</td>
<td>-347</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gosport</td>
<td>82,600</td>
<td>80,128</td>
<td>-2,472</td>
<td>-2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>88,692</td>
<td>-2,308</td>
<td>-2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havant</td>
<td>120,700</td>
<td>116,700</td>
<td>-4,000</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Forest</td>
<td>176,500</td>
<td>174,372</td>
<td>-2,128</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushmoor</td>
<td>93,800</td>
<td>96,745</td>
<td>2,945</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Valley</td>
<td>116,400</td>
<td>114,058</td>
<td>-2,342</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>116,600</td>
<td>117,134</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampshire County</td>
<td>1,317,800</td>
<td>1,302,986</td>
<td>-14,814</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampshire including Portsmouth and Southampton</td>
<td>1,759,800</td>
<td>1,737,959</td>
<td>-21,841</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAPF estimated there to be fewer people in Hampshire and Hampshire County than the 2011 Census found there were with a percentage difference of just over 1% between the two sources.

At district level, the largest number difference is 5,460 in Portsmouth, whilst the smallest is 350 in Fareham. Percentage wise, for four districts the difference between 2011 Census and SAPF is marginal at less than 1%. A slightly larger difference is seen in Havant and Rushmoor (over 3%).

Alternative SAPF figures were carried out for Southampton that incorporate different input data to that used in the main set of SAPF estimates. The main Southampton SAPF figure and the Alternative figure fall either side of the 2011 Census figure.

The following sections analyse the differences and similarities for Hampshire, Hampshire County, and each district.
### Hampshire (including Portsmouth and Southampton)

#### Table 2: Hampshire including Portsmouth and Southampton: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>106,200</td>
<td>107,957</td>
<td>1,757</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>96,100</td>
<td>98,051</td>
<td>1,951</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>101,300</td>
<td>99,734</td>
<td>-1,566</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>113,900</td>
<td>114,338</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>123,500</td>
<td>123,712</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>109,900</td>
<td>105,509</td>
<td>-4,391</td>
<td>-4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>106,500</td>
<td>101,846</td>
<td>-4,654</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>115,700</td>
<td>113,252</td>
<td>-2,448</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>127,400</td>
<td>125,865</td>
<td>-1,535</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>132,500</td>
<td>127,701</td>
<td>-4,799</td>
<td>-3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>116,300</td>
<td>112,470</td>
<td>-3,830</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>99,800</td>
<td>98,263</td>
<td>-1,537</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>108,800</td>
<td>104,851</td>
<td>-3,949</td>
<td>-3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>86,900</td>
<td>84,056</td>
<td>-2,844</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>69,300</td>
<td>68,055</td>
<td>-1,245</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>57,600</td>
<td>57,376</td>
<td>-224</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>44,500</td>
<td>45,777</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>43,300</td>
<td>49,142</td>
<td>5,842</td>
<td>13.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Population</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,759,800</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,737,959</strong></td>
<td><strong>-21,841</strong></td>
<td><strong>-1.24</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 and Figures 1a and b show that SAPF estimated there to be a larger number of males and females in the youngest and oldest age groups (0-9 and 80 and over). In the
majority of other age groups, the 2011 Census contained a larger number of people. The figures for females aged in their 20s and 30s have the largest percentage difference where SAPF estimated fewer numbers (25-29 age group has 6.5 % fewer females in SAPF than Census), whilst males aged 85 and over has the largest percentage difference where SAPF estimated higher numbers (16.5 %).

**Hampshire County**

*Table 3: Hampshire County: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>77,300</td>
<td>78,485</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>73,300</td>
<td>75,315</td>
<td>2,015</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>78,900</td>
<td>78,210</td>
<td>-690</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>83,176</td>
<td>3,176</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>71,300</td>
<td>74,317</td>
<td>3,017</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>71,100</td>
<td>71,988</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>72,294</td>
<td>-1,706</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>86,600</td>
<td>84,412</td>
<td>-2,188</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>98,500</td>
<td>97,184</td>
<td>-1,316</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>103,900</td>
<td>99,995</td>
<td>-3,905</td>
<td>-3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>91,700</td>
<td>88,773</td>
<td>-2,927</td>
<td>-3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>79,700</td>
<td>77,704</td>
<td>-1,996</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>88,100</td>
<td>83,047</td>
<td>-5,053</td>
<td>-5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>70,600</td>
<td>65,988</td>
<td>-4,612</td>
<td>-6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>56,300</td>
<td>53,409</td>
<td>-2,891</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>46,500</td>
<td>45,020</td>
<td>-1,480</td>
<td>-3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>35,700</td>
<td>35,649</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>34,200</td>
<td>38,017</td>
<td>3,817</td>
<td>11.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>1,317,800</td>
<td>1,302,986</td>
<td>-14,814</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 and Figures 2a and b show that SAPF estimated there to be a larger number of males and females in the majority of age groups up to 29 years and in the oldest age groups.
group (85 and over). In the majority of other age groups, the 2011 Census contained a larger number of people. The figures for males aged in their 60s and 70s have the largest percentage difference where SAPF estimated fewer numbers (65-69 age group has 6.8% fewer females in SAPF than Census), whilst males aged 85 and over has the largest percentage difference where SAPF estimated higher numbers (14%).

**Portsmouth**

*Table 4: Portsmouth: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>13,316</td>
<td>-184</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>10,659</td>
<td>-341</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>10,299</td>
<td>-601</td>
<td>-5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>14,009</td>
<td>-1,991</td>
<td>-12.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>22,800</td>
<td>19,151</td>
<td>-3,649</td>
<td>-16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>14,902</td>
<td>-2,098</td>
<td>-12.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td>13,946</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>13,400</td>
<td>13,823</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>14,300</td>
<td>13,828</td>
<td>-472</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>13,267</td>
<td>-533</td>
<td>-3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>11,900</td>
<td>11,200</td>
<td>-700</td>
<td>-5.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>9,340</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td>10,172</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>8,518</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>10.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>7,032</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>5,819</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>11.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,740</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>18.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>5,623</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>27.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population: 205,100 - 199,644 = 5,456 - 2.66
Table 4 and Figure 3 shows that the largest number variation between 2011 Census and SAPF is for those aged 20-24 (3,650 people), whilst the largest percentage difference is for those aged 85 and over (28%).
**Southampton**

*Table 5: Southampton: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>16,156</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>11,800</td>
<td>12,077</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>11,225</td>
<td>-275</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>17,153</td>
<td>-747</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>29,400</td>
<td>30,244</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>21,800</td>
<td>18,619</td>
<td>-3,181</td>
<td>-14.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>18,600</td>
<td>15,606</td>
<td>-2,994</td>
<td>-16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>15,017</td>
<td>-683</td>
<td>-4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>14,600</td>
<td>14,853</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>14,800</td>
<td>14,439</td>
<td>-361</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td>12,497</td>
<td>-203</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>10,800</td>
<td>11,219</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>11,632</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>9,550</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>11.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>7,614</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>11.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>6,537</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>5,388</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>5,502</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>17.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Population</strong></td>
<td><strong>236,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>235,329</strong></td>
<td><strong>-1,571</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.66</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 4: Population in Five Year Age Groups, 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF, Southampton*
Table 5 and Figure 4 show that the most number variation between the 2011 Census and SAPF occurs in the 25-29 age group (3,180) and largest percentage difference is in the 30-34 age group (16%).

**Table 6: Southampton: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 Alternative SAPF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>16,156</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>11,800</td>
<td>12,306</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>11,740</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>17,365</td>
<td>-535</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>29,400</td>
<td>31,264</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>21,800</td>
<td>20,618</td>
<td>-1,182</td>
<td>-5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>18,600</td>
<td>17,265</td>
<td>-1,335</td>
<td>-7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>16,275</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>14,600</td>
<td>15,124</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>14,800</td>
<td>13,679</td>
<td>-1,121</td>
<td>-7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td>11,684</td>
<td>-1,016</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>10,800</td>
<td>10,653</td>
<td>-147</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>11,137</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>9,221</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>7,372</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>6,344</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>5,233</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>9.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>5,326</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>236,900</td>
<td>238,763</td>
<td>1,863</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Southampton Alternative SAPF data has larger numbers in the majority of age groups. Most number variation occurs in the young adult age groups (20-34) and older age groups (65 and over) (Table 6 and Figure 5).
### Basingstoke and Deane

**Table 7: Basingstoke and Deane: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>11,400</td>
<td>11,350</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>10,001</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>10,400</td>
<td>10,186</td>
<td>-214</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>9,600</td>
<td>10,240</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>10,084</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>13.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>10,553</td>
<td>-447</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>10,454</td>
<td>-1,046</td>
<td>-9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>12,400</td>
<td>11,822</td>
<td>-578</td>
<td>-4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>13,190</td>
<td>-510</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>13,114</td>
<td>-586</td>
<td>-4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>11,400</td>
<td>11,108</td>
<td>-292</td>
<td>-2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>9,716</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>10,330</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>7,560</td>
<td>-140</td>
<td>-1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>5,832</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>4,646</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,543</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>10.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>3,563</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>22.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>167,800</td>
<td>167,291</td>
<td>-509</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Basingstoke and Deane has the smallest overall percentage difference of any district, with 0.3%. The largest variation in numbers is for those aged 20-24, with 1,180 more people in the 2011 SAPF. The largest percentage difference is for those aged 85 and over, with 23% (Table 7 and Figure 6).
### East Hampshire

**Table 8: East Hampshire: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>6,509</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>7,080</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>7,223</td>
<td>-77</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>7,677</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>6,041</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>11.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>5,617</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>10.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>5,802</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>5.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,061</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>8,800</td>
<td>8,518</td>
<td>-282</td>
<td>-3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>9,600</td>
<td>9,063</td>
<td>-537</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>8,800</td>
<td>8,237</td>
<td>-563</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>6,819</td>
<td>-781</td>
<td>-10.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>7,315</td>
<td>-885</td>
<td>-10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>5,783</td>
<td>-817</td>
<td>-12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>4,516</td>
<td>-684</td>
<td>-13.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>3,688</td>
<td>-412</td>
<td>-10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>2,917</td>
<td>-283</td>
<td>-8.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>3,302</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population 115,600 113,166 -2,434 -2.11
The figures for East Hampshire are largely split down the middle of the age groups, with a larger number in SAPF for those aged under 40 and a smaller number in SAPF for those aged over 40. The largest number difference is 890 for those aged 60-64. The largest percentage difference is 13% for those aged 70-74 years (Table 8 and Figure 7).
### Eastleigh

*Table 9: Eastleigh: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>7,749</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,088</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>7,474</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>8,002</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>7,357</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>7,334</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>7,900</td>
<td>7,143</td>
<td>-757</td>
<td>-9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>8,135</td>
<td>-465</td>
<td>-5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>9,400</td>
<td>9,284</td>
<td>-116</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td>9,772</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>8,845</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,676</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>8,100</td>
<td>7,603</td>
<td>-497</td>
<td>-6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>5,762</td>
<td>-238</td>
<td>-3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,682</td>
<td>-318</td>
<td>-6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,701</td>
<td>-299</td>
<td>-7.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>2,994</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population: 125,200 123,447 -1,753 -1.40
Table 9 and Figure 8 show that SAPF estimated there to be more people aged under 30 than the 2011 Census. The largest number and percentage difference was for the 30-34 age group with 760 more people (10%) in the Census than SAPF.
## Fareham

Table 10: Fareham: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>5,772</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>5,865</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>6,540</td>
<td>-160</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>6,889</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>5,772</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>5,379</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>5,956</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,444</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>8,611</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>8,588</td>
<td>-412</td>
<td>-4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>8,100</td>
<td>7,890</td>
<td>-210</td>
<td>-2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,774</td>
<td>-226</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>7,447</td>
<td>-353</td>
<td>-4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>6,295</td>
<td>-305</td>
<td>-4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>5,042</td>
<td>-158</td>
<td>-3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>4,277</td>
<td>-223</td>
<td>-4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>3,304</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>-2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>3,406</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>9.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population 111,600 111,253 -347 -0.31

Figure 9: Population in Five Year Age Groups, 2011 Census and SAPF 2011, Fareham
The number difference in the total population between the Census and SAPF was smallest in Fareham, with just 350 more people in the Census than SAPF. Among the age groups, the most variation was in those aged 30-34, with 460 more people estimated in SAPF. The largest percentage difference was for those aged 85 and over (10 %) (Table 10 and Figure 9).

Gosport

Table 11: Gosport: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>5,450</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>4,699</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>4,776</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>5,147</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,976</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>5,180</td>
<td>-220</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,078</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>5,538</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>5,734</td>
<td>-166</td>
<td>-2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>5,502</td>
<td>-598</td>
<td>-9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>4,949</td>
<td>-751</td>
<td>-13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>4,283</td>
<td>-317</td>
<td>-6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>4,638</td>
<td>-562</td>
<td>-10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,753</td>
<td>-247</td>
<td>-6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>3,176</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>-3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>2,897</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>2,221</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population 82,600 80,128 -2,472 -2.99
In Gosport, the 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF follow a similar pattern for the younger age groups. There is more variation for those aged 40-65 and again in the oldest age groups. The largest number and percentage difference is for those aged 50-54 (13%) (Table 11 and Figure 12).
Table 12: Hart: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>5700</td>
<td>5832</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>5700</td>
<td>5972</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>5468</td>
<td>-332</td>
<td>-5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>5516</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>5032</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>17.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>5115</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>13.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>5159</td>
<td>-141</td>
<td>-2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>6025</td>
<td>-975</td>
<td>-13.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>6857</td>
<td>-543</td>
<td>-7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>7004</td>
<td>-696</td>
<td>-9.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>5881</td>
<td>-419</td>
<td>-6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>5057</td>
<td>-343</td>
<td>-6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>5498</td>
<td>-402</td>
<td>-6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>4292</td>
<td>-408</td>
<td>-8.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>3208</td>
<td>-492</td>
<td>-13.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2499</td>
<td>-301</td>
<td>-10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>2254</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>25.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population: 91000 - 88692 = -2308 (2.54%)

Figure 11: Population in Five Year Age Groups, 2011 Census and SAPF 2011, Hart
For almost all the age groups in Hart, SAPF had a lower estimate than the 2011 Census. However, the exceptions to this rule have the largest percentage differences, with 17% more people aged 20-24 in SAPF than the Census, and 25% more aged 85 and over. The largest number difference is 980 more people in the Census than SAPF for the 35-39 age group (Table 12 and Figure 11).

Havant

*Table 13: Havant: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>6,818</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>6,333</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>6,840</td>
<td>-360</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,419</td>
<td>-181</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>6,441</td>
<td>-359</td>
<td>-5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>5,635</td>
<td>-765</td>
<td>-11.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>5,583</td>
<td>-317</td>
<td>-5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>6,747</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>8,333</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>8,746</td>
<td>-154</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>8,060</td>
<td>-440</td>
<td>-5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>7,197</td>
<td>-303</td>
<td>-4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>7,841</td>
<td>-359</td>
<td>-4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,673</td>
<td>-327</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>5,728</td>
<td>-172</td>
<td>-2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>4,949</td>
<td>-251</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>-236</td>
<td>-5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3,593</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population 120,700 116,700 -4,000 -3.31
The 2011 Census data for Havant has a larger number of people in almost all the five year age groups. The largest number and percentage difference is 770 people (12%) for those aged 25-29 (Table 13 and Figure 12).
## New Forest

Table 14: New Forest: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>8,620</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>8,739</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>9,520</td>
<td>-180</td>
<td>-1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>10,091</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>8,277</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,293</td>
<td>-307</td>
<td>-4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>7,475</td>
<td>-325</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>9,467</td>
<td>-233</td>
<td>-2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>11,784</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>13,200</td>
<td>12,919</td>
<td>-281</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>12,100</td>
<td>12,148</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>11,672</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td>13,016</td>
<td>-884</td>
<td>-6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>10,857</td>
<td>-843</td>
<td>-7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>9,240</td>
<td>-460</td>
<td>-4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>8,368</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,868</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>8,017</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population | 176,500 | 174,372 | -2,128 | -1.21 |
The New Forest figures for the 2011 Census and SAPF are fairly similar until the older age groups. The largest variations occur in the 60-64 age group (880 more people in the Census) and 85 and over age group (8%) (Table 14 and Figure 13).
Table 15: Rushmoor: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>7,123</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>6,275</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>12.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>5,886</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,118</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>7,146</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>7,972</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>9.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>7,256</td>
<td>-244</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,296</td>
<td>-304</td>
<td>-4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>7,276</td>
<td>-224</td>
<td>-2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>7,156</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>5,720</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>4,678</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>4,612</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3,359</td>
<td>-141</td>
<td>-4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>2,836</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,967</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>22.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population 93,800 96,745 2,945 3.14

Figure 14: Population in Five Year Age Groups, 2011 Census and SAPF 2011, Rushmoor
The largest number variation between 2011 Census and SAPF in Rushmoor is for those aged under 45 years. SAPF estimated there to be 680 more children aged 5-9 than were recorded in the 2011 Census. The largest percentage difference is for those aged 85 and over (23%) (Table 15 and Figure 14).

Table 16: Test Valley: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>6,630</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>6,601</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>7,100</td>
<td>7,187</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>7,100</td>
<td>7,653</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>6,269</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>9.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>5,400</td>
<td>6,013</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>7,445</td>
<td>-255</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>8,957</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>9,129</td>
<td>-571</td>
<td>-5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>7,919</td>
<td>-481</td>
<td>-5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>6,892</td>
<td>-408</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>8,300</td>
<td>7,340</td>
<td>-960</td>
<td>-11.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>5,820</td>
<td>-780</td>
<td>-11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,421</td>
<td>-579</td>
<td>-11.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,719</td>
<td>-281</td>
<td>-7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>2,914</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>3,042</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>8.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>116,400</td>
<td>114,058</td>
<td>-2,342</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 16 and Figure 15 show the results for Test Valley. The most variation occurs in the age groups covering those aged 15-29 and 60-74. The largest number difference is 960 for those aged 60-64 and the largest percentage difference is in the 65-69 age group (12%).
Table 17: Winchester: Number and Percentage Difference between 2011 Census and 2011 SAPF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2011 Census</th>
<th>2011 SAPF</th>
<th>Number Difference</th>
<th>Percentage Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 4</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>6,632</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,662</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>7,110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>8,100</td>
<td>8,424</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>6,922</td>
<td>-578</td>
<td>-7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 29</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>5,897</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 34</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>6,280</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 39</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>7,432</td>
<td>-68</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 44</td>
<td>8,300</td>
<td>8,640</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 49</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>9,002</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 54</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>8,016</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 59</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>6,940</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 64</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>7,407</td>
<td>-293</td>
<td>-3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 69</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>5,834</td>
<td>-366</td>
<td>-5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 74</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,728</td>
<td>-272</td>
<td>-5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 79</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 – 84</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>3,305</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>3,899</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>18.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Population 116,600 117,134 534 0.46

Figure 16: Population in Five Year Age Groups, 2011 Census and SAPF 2011, Winchester
For the total population there is only a small number and percentage difference between the 2011 Census and SAPF (530 people and 0.5 %). In the majority of age groups, the number difference is below 500 and the percentage difference is less than 5 %, with the largest differences 580 for those aged 20-24 and 18 % for those aged 85 and over (Table 17 and Figure 16).