man		oz i pio	Josui	actans					
Name of Transformation to 2021 proposal:				Countr	Countryside Service – Staff Impacts				
T21 Opportunity Reference:				T21 C	T21 CCBS04				
Name of the accountable Officer:				Jo Hea	Jo Heath				
Email address of the accountable Officer:				Jo.Hea	Jo.Heath@hants.gov.uk				
Department: Adults' Health and Children's Services Care				orporate ervices			Economy, Transport and Environment		
					Business Se	ivices			
Date o	of assessment:		13	2/05/2019					
Is this a detailed or an overview EIA?				Detailed □		Overview ☑			
Desc	ription of servi	ce / poli	cy and	d the p	roposed ch	ange			
Describe the current service or policy, giving a brief description of the current services in scope and the user demographic: This EIA is assessing staff impact on the re-shaping of staff resources in the service, including the senior management team and redefining the operational teams, including a review of ranger roles, which will also seek to make operational efficiencies.									
Geogr ☑ □ □	aphical impact: All Hampshire Basingstoke & Deane East Hampshire Eastleigh	e	Farehal Gospor Hart Havant			□ New Forest□ Rushmoor□ Test Valley□ Winchester			
The pro service, the serv Several While th	ibe the proposed chaposal is to review and re-secure consistency with revice, including opportunities options are currently being its may alter roles within that is estimated to affect 1 e.	shape the staff roles and resp is to fund post g considered it ne team it is no	fing resou onsibilities s from ext that would ot anticipa	rce to re-ali s and considernal source I generate a ted that it w	gn more effectively der alternative way es, without significa a saving for the Ser vill significantly impa	v with the f of deliver antly reduc vice. act on stat	uture needs of the ing functions within cing the service. ff numbers, current		
Who does this impact assessment cover? ☐ Service users					HCC staff (incl	uding pa	artners)		

Engagement and consultation

The County Council's *Serving Hampshire Balancing the Budget* consultation (2019-2021) will seek residents' and stakeholders' views on strategic options for funding the Authority's budget gap. Where applicable, detailed proposals for making savings will be subject to further, more detailed 'stage two' consultation before any decisions on service specific changes are made.

Has any pre-consultation engagement been carried out?

	Yes			No		\square	No, but plant place	ned to take
Describe the consultation or engagement you have performed or are intending to perform. Describe who was engaged or consulted. What was the outcome of the activity and how have the results influenced what you are doing? If no consultation or engagement is planned, please explain why. No specific consultation has been carried out on this proposal – however, the County Council ran a major public consultation exercise over the Summer 2019 on a range of options for finding further budget savings including increasing Council Tax, using reserves and making changes to the way services are delivered, which may mean reducing or withdrawing certain services. The outcome of this consultation will be presented to the County Council's Cabinet in October 2019. When decisions are made to pursue the options, further specific consultation will be carried out with stakeholders on the detailed options where required. Consideration of impacts Indicate whether the proposed change is expected to have a positive, neutral or negative (Low, Medium or High) impact on people who share the following characteristics.								
For any characteristics with a positive, low negative, medium negative, or high negative impact, please describe this impact in the box provided.								
For any characteristics with a medium negative, or high negative impact, please describe any mitigations in the box provided.								
Statu	tory consid							
Age		F	ositi°	ve Ne	utral	Low negative ☑	Medium negative □	High negative □
Age							_	_
-	Impact: Depending on the proposal there is a risk it may impact on certain age groups more than others. This will be reviewed once the initial proposals have been developed. Mitigation:							
		P	ositi	ve Ne	utral	Low negative	Medium	High
Disabi	ility				\checkmark		negative	negative
lmpa Mitig	act: gation:							
		P	ositi	ve Ne	utral	Low negative	Medium	High
Sexua	l orientatio	n			\checkmark		negative	negative
Impa Mitig	act: gation:							
		P	ositi	ve Ne	utral	Low negative	Medium	High
Race					\checkmark		negative	negative
Impa Mitig	act: jation:							

	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium negative	High negative □	
Religion or belief		\checkmark				
Impact: Mitigation:						
	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium negative	High negative	
Gender reassignment		\checkmark				
Impact: Mitigation:						
	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium	High	
Gender		\checkmark		negative	negative	
Impact: Mitigation:						
	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium	High	
Marriage or civil partnership		\checkmark		negative	negative	
Impact: Mitigation:						
	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium	High	
Pregnancy and maternity		\checkmark		negative	negative	
Impact: Mitigation:						
Other considerations						
	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium negative	High negative	
Poverty		\square		~	ت	
Impact: Mitigation:						
	Positive	Neutral	Low negative	Medium	High	
Rurality		\checkmark		negative	negative	
Impact: Mitigation:						

If you have only identified neutral impacts, please state why:

Additional information

Click here for guidance on any other factors to consider.

Include any other brief information which you feel is pertinent to this assessment here: (optional)

Further, more detailed EIAs will be undertaken at later dates when proposals are more fully developed.