

REP 54**Name** Harriet Townsend**Question****Answer**

Q37:28 This consultation contains 28 policies, including details including details on implementation & monitoring ('supporting text') for each policy. Respond to Policy W4 – Locations and Sites for Waste Management

Policy W4 - Locations and sites for waste management

Q303x Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding the preferred waste area (Appendix C) - BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD (Support OR No view either way OR Objection)

Objection

Q305i Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding proposals for supported appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network and **MARKETS FOR THE TYPES OF WASTE TO BE MANAGED AND THERE IS A CLEAR PROVEN AND OVERRIDING NEED FOR THE PROPOSED FACILITY TO BE SITED IN THE PROPOSED LOCATION** (Support OR No view either way OR Objection)

Objection

Q307:2 An objection must relate to either the local plan not complying with the legal requirements or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the tests of soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection (select all that apply) - Not meeting the test of soundness

Not meeting the Test of Soundness

REP 54

Name Harriet Townsend

Question

Answer

Q308:2 If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the test of soundness, what test of soundness do you consider that it fails (select all that apply) - The justification test

The justification test

Q309 If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the test of soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the test of soundness

It is very strange that [REDACTED] has been titled 'Brookside Business Park'. It is not a business park, or an industrial estate; it is a residential site - where people are living in their own homes on site and directly adjacent - with some small business units, all occupied by small local businesses, and as such it is essential to the economy of this rural village. The roads are narrow and winding and entirely unsuitable for the kind of traffic the proposal would generate. The traffic would create considerable danger for the community, and an unacceptable level of noise and dust. The [REDACTED] property is predominantly established wildlife habitat with a small area for residences and the local business community. The traffic through this quiet village would damage the thriving local community in multiple ways. These waste sites should be on designated industrial areas where people are not living and there is good access.

Q310 Are you seeking a change to the policy? Yes OR No

Yes

REP 54**Name** Harriet Townsend**Question****Answer**

Q311x:2

If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) -
BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - HABITATS & SPECIES

Habitats and species

Q311x:3

If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) -
BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - TRANSPORT

Transport

Q311x:5

If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) -
BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - FLOODING

Flooding

Q311x:6

If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) -
BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - AMENITY IMPACTS

Amenity impacts (noise, dust, etc)

Q312

If you are seeking a change, please elaborate further on the change(s) that you are seeking

Brookside should be withdrawn from the list of proposed sites

REP 54**Name** Harriet Townsend**Question**

Q492:13 There are 15 documents included in the Plan's evidence base, as well as a Policies Map, all of which can be found listed in Appendix D (page 185) of the Proposed Submission Plan document. I wish to comment on the Waste: Proposal Study

Answer

Waste: Proposal Study

Q504 There are 15 documents included in the Plan's evidence base, as well as a Policies Map, all of which can be found listed in Appendix D (page 185) of the Proposed Submission Plan document. What are your comments on the Waste: Proposal Study?

Brookside should be withdrawn from the list of potential sites. Page 113 is seriously misleading. Astoundingly it states that the site is located away from the nearest residential area; in fact the area marked in red on the map includes the nearest residential area of two privately owned households where people have been living for a long time. The map also clearly shows the directly adjacent properties where people also live. The traffic and access part makes no reference to the narrow roads or the community setting of the road through the village. It is my understanding that the site is at risk of flooding.
