

---

**From:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 27 October 2020 14:25  
**To:** Broughton, Kevin - Communities <[REDACTED]>; C&E Berkshire Consultation <Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** Simms, Charlotte - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Hi Kevin

Thank you for confirming; I shall ensure the Oxfordshire response to Policy W3 is recorded as objection.

Many thanks

Tasha

**Tasha Webb**  
**Minerals and Waste Policy Officer**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Broughton, Kevin - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 27 October 2020 09:40  
**To:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk](mailto:Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk)>  
**Cc:** Simms, Charlotte - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Hi Tasha,

Yes this should be registered as an objection on the grounds of effectiveness at this stage, but we would welcome further discussion with you on this and our other objections to see whether these can be overcome.

Regards,

Kevin

Kevin Broughton  
Minerals and Waste Local Plan Manager  
tel: [REDACTED]

---

**From:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 26 October 2020 09:24  
**To:** Simms, Charlotte - Communities <[REDACTED]>; C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** Broughton, Kevin - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Hi Charlotte

Yes, all good thank you. Wondering where on earth this year has gone! Hope you are ok.

Thanks for your email – appreciate the clarification (and apologise for the silly questions). I have now recorded Oxfordshire's response as per your confirmation below.

Hi [REDACTED] – in [REDACTED]'s absence, just one more thing I'd be grateful of a steer on please. Regarding Oxfordshire's response to Policy W3, please could you confirm whether this needs to be recorded as an objection too? If so, would that be due to not meeting the test(s) of soundness (and which tests of soundness would apply)? The text supplied in the response is as follows (for ease of reference):

*“Policy W3 – Waste Capacity Requirement*

*We support the inclusion of Policy W3 and the identification of waste requirements, however we feel that the Plan does not sufficiently address the capacity gap, and instead relies on movements of waste to other Authorities.*

*We are pleased to note that the Plan acknowledged that Sutton Courtenay will close in 2030 and that further provision for non-hazardous waste within Oxfordshire will not be made. However, it is unclear how you will address this loss of capacity for non-hazardous landfill after 2030.”*

Many thanks,

Tash

**Tasha Webb**  
**Minerals and Waste Policy Officer**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Simms, Charlotte - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 23 October 2020 17:33  
**To:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** Broughton, Kevin - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Hi Tash

How are you?

Please find my comments to your queries in green.

- whether you (Oxfordshire) would like it recorded that you support or object to policy M3. I've noted you state “We support Policy M3 (1)...” but I'm conscious further on in the response to M3, it reads “However, clarity is sought on what “subject to the impact of local circumstances on demand” means.” And depending on the outcome of this, your response states the Plan may not be effective (i.e. not meeting one of the tests of soundness). **I realise this is unclear, and until clarification is gained on what “Subject to the impact on local circumstances” means it should be an objection.**

- whether you (Oxfordshire) would like it recorded that you ‘object’ to policies W1 and W4 of the CEB Proposed Submission Plan owing to them not ‘meeting the test(s) of soundness’ **Yes, please record as an objection**
- Could you therefore confirm, please that you would like the section headed ‘*Mineral Requirements for Sand and Gravel*’ in your response to be recorded with the text sitting above it which relates to / is under the section headed ‘*Policy M1 – Sustainable minerals development strategy*’? **Yes and as an objection.**

Hope that’s clarifies the situation. I am on leave next week, but will be able to answer any queries on my return. If its urgent, [REDACTED] will be able to help and has been copied into this email

Thanks

Charlotte

Charlotte Simms  
Minerals and Waste Local Plan Principal Officer

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 21 October 2020 14:18  
**To:** Simms, Charlotte - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** FW: Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Hi Charlotte

Sorry to be a pain. I should have included the following questions in my note below as well...

Please could you confirm:

- whether you (Oxfordshire) would like it recorded that you support or object to policy M3. I’ve noted you state “We support Policy M3 (1)...” but I’m conscious further on in the response to M3, it reads “However, clarity is sought on what “subject to the impact of local circumstances on demand” means.” And depending on the outcome of this, your response states the Plan may not be effective (i.e. not meeting one of the tests of soundness).
- whether you (Oxfordshire) would like it recorded that you ‘object’ to policies W1 and W4 of the CEB Proposed Submission Plan owing to them not ‘meeting the test(s) of soundness’ specifically, the ‘effectiveness test’? I’ve just noted reference to the word ‘effective’ in the Oxfordshire response for both these policies, but as I have said below, I don’t want to make any assumptions and would rather clarify with you.

I do apologise for seeming over the top – I just want to make sure I get it right!

Many thanks,

Tash

**Tasha Webb**  
**Minerals and Waste Policy Officer**

---

**From:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 21 October 2020 14:01  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** FW: Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Hi Charlotte

I hope you're well.

Thank you for submitting a representation on behalf of Oxfordshire to the Reg 19 Proposed Submission plan for CEB.

I'm just recording Oxfordshire's representation and want to make sure I'm doing so correctly and not making incorrect assumptions. Could you therefore confirm, please that you would like the section headed '*Mineral Requirements for Sand and Gravel*' in your response to be recorded with the text sitting above it which relates to / is under the section headed '*Policy M1 – Sustainable minerals development strategy*'?

Many thanks,

Tasha

**Tasha Webb**  
**Minerals and Waste Policy Officer**

---

**From:** Simms, Charlotte - Communities <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 15 October 2020 15:45  
**To:** C&E Berkshire Consultation <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team Response to CEB MWLP Reg 19

Good afternoon,

Please find attached Oxfordshire's Minerals and Waste Policy Team response to the Central and Eastern Berkshires Minerals and Waste Local Plan (Reg 19) Consultation.

Please can you acknowledge my response and that it will be considered duly made.

We look forward to working with you in the future on Statements of Common Ground. If you have any questions regarding our response, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind Regards,

Charlotte  
Charlotte Simms  
Minerals and Waste Local Plan Principal Officer

[Redacted]

[Redacted]