
From: Katherine Else [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 October 2020 11:36
To: C&E Berkshire Consultation <Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Joint Minerals and Waste Plan - European Property Ventures Reps

Good morning,

Thank you for coming back to seek clarification on our representations. Hopefully the text below in answer to your questions should provide suitable confirmation of the representations intended:

Vision and objectives – you have supported both the vision and the objectives - **Correct EPV Support the V&Os**

Policy DM5 – would you like your representation to be recorded as supporting Policy DM5 and you would like a change made to the policy? Or would you like your representation recorded as an objection due to Policy DM5 not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *positively prepared* element and you would like a change to the policy? **EPV Support Policy DM5 - no change required however suggest the supporting text should recognise the need to ensure time limits on consents do not result in incomplete extraction of minerals**

Policy DM6 – would you like your representation to be recorded as supporting Policy DM6 and you would like a change made to the policy? Or would you like your representation recorded as an objection due to Policy DM6 to not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *test of consistency with national policy* element and you would like a change to the policy? **Object to DM6 – changes required to ensure the policy meets the tests of being Positively Prepared and Justified – request additional wording ‘*High priority will be given to preservation of the openness of the Green Belt, whilst recognising that proposals may result in temporary harm to the openness of the Green Belt as a result of extraction activities.*’**

Policy DM10 – I have read this as an objection to Policy DM10 owing to not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *test of consistency with national policy* and you would like a change made to the policy? **Correct EPV Object – in order to meet the consistency test request addition to clause (a) of the Policy to read: *risk from flooding, except for water-compatible development such as proposals for sand and gravel extraction;***

Policy M1 – would you like your representation to be recorded as supporting Policy M1 and you would like a change made to the policy? Or would you like your representation recorded as an objection due Policy M1 to not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *test of consistency with national policy* element and you would like a change to the policy? **Support for Policy M1 – no change required**

Policy M2 – I have interpreted your response as supporting Policy M2 but you have additional comments you would like recorded in regard to the policy? **Support for Policy M2 – no change required**

Policy M4 – I have interpreted your response as supporting Policy M4 but you have additional comments you would like recorded in regard to the policy? **Support for Policy M4 – no change required**

Please do not hesitate to get in touch if you have any further comments. We will be submitting a copy of these representations to the RBWM Local Plan Examination to demonstrate that the proposed Minerals safeguarding allocation is not a barrier to the development of this site and its release from the Green Belt.

Regards

Kathy

Katherine Else MRTPI, BSc Hons, Dip TP
Managing Director




Claremont Planning Consultancy

Second Floor, 2 Snow Hill
Snow Hill Queensway
Birmingham
B4 6GA

claremontplanning.com



From: C&E Berkshire Consultation <Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 October 2020 10:28
To: Katherine Else 
Cc: C&E Berkshire Consultation <Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Joint Minerals and Waste Plan - European Property Ventures Reps

Dear Katherine

Thank you for submitting a response on behalf of European Property Ventures to the Central and Eastern Berkshire Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Plan Consultation.

I am in the process of recording your representation, but would appreciate your confirmation of the following, please:

Vision and objectives – you have supported both the vision and the objectives

Policy DM5 – would you like your representation to be recorded as supporting Policy DM5 and you would like a change made to the policy? Or would you like your representation recorded as an objection due to Policy DM5 not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *positively prepared* element and you would like a change to the policy?

Policy DM6 – would you like your representation to be recorded as supporting Policy DM6 and you would like a change made to the policy? Or would you like your representation recorded as an objection due to Policy DM6 to not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *test of consistency with national policy* element and you would like a change to the policy?

Policy DM10 – I have read this as an objection to Policy DM10 owing to not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *test of consistency with national policy* and you would like a change made to the policy?

Policy M1 – would you like your representation to be recorded as supporting Policy M1 and you would like a change made to the policy? Or would you like your representation recorded as an objection due Policy M1 to not meeting the *Test of Soundness*, specifically the *test of consistency with national policy* element and you would like a change to the policy?

Policy M2 – I have interpreted your response as supporting Policy M2 but you have additional comments you would like recorded in regard to the policy?

Policy M4 – I have interpreted your response as supporting Policy M4 but you have additional comments you would like recorded in regard to the policy?

Apologies for the questions, but I want to be sure I am recording your representation accurately and not making incorrect assumptions. If you could confirm the above, I will then ensure your representation is officially logged and I will send you confirmation of this.

Many thanks

Tasha

Tasha Webb
Minerals and Waste Policy Officer

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Katherine Else [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 October 2020 13:15
To: C&E Berkshire Consultation <Berks.Consult@hants.gov.uk>
Subject: Joint Minerals and Waste Plan - European Property Ventures Reps

Please find attached representations to the Joint Minerals and Waste Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation on behalf of European Property Ventures.

It would be appreciated if you could confirm receipt.

Regards

Kathy

Katherine Else MRTPI, BSc Hons, Dip TP
Managing Director

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Claremont Planning Consultancy

Second Floor, 2 Snow Hill
Snow Hill Queensway
Birmingham
B4 6GA

claremontplanning.com



insider
**RESIDENTIAL
PROPERTY
AWARDS 2020**

SHORTLISTED



**SERVICE
EXCELLENCE
AWARDS**

[REDACTED]