

REP 2**Name** Tanja Maskell**Question****Answer**

Q37:28 This consultation contains 28 policies, including details including details on implementation & monitoring ('supporting text') for each policy. Respond to Policy W4 – Locations and Sites for Waste Management

Policy W4 - Locations and sites for waste management

Q303x Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding the preferred waste area (Appendix C) - BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD (Support OR No view either way OR Objection)

Objection

Q307:2 An objection must relate to either the local plan not complying with the legal requirements or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the tests of soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection (select all that apply) - Not meeting the test of soundness

Not meeting the Test of Soundness

Q308:2 If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the test of soundness, what test of soundness do you consider that it fails (select all that apply) - The justification test

The justification test

REP 2**Name** Tanja Maskell**Question**

Q309 If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the test of soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the test of soundness

Answer

The area highlighted as Brookside Business Park is in fact a mixture of 2 different small industrial estates ([REDACTED] and [REDACTED]) as well as 2 residential properties. The units are a mix of sizes with small offices, workshops as well as some units with showrooms for customers. The shared drive way to access both estates is only 5 m wide so not suitable for heavy traffic. There is limited parking on site, ie [REDACTED] has only got 2 allocated parking spaces per unit plus a couple of additional spaces for visitors. Protection of water resources: according to the Swallowfield Flood Map this area is in the medium to high risk of flooding. Visual intrusion: The site is not away from residential properties. There are 2 properties on site as well as two further properties immediately adjacent to the marked up area. Traffic and access: We don't think that the access to the site is suitable for an increase in more frequent or heavy traffic. There is no suitable access on Church Road towards Farley Hill for heavy traffic, all traffic would need to come directly through the village. Other issues: there are rights of ways located on site (for [REDACTED] residents to access their site). The open area in the car park of [REDACTED] is to be maintained as a turning circle for lorries. We were surprised to be informed about the inclusion of [REDACTED] on the Joint Minerals and Waste plan as we had not received any information beforehand that our property has been considered for the inclusion in this plan.

Q310 Are you seeking a change to the policy? Yes OR No

Yes

REP 2**Name** Tanja Maskell

| Question | | Answer |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Q311x:3 | If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) - BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - TRANSPORT | Transport |
| Q311x:5 | If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) - BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - FLOODING | Flooding |
| Q311x:6 | If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) - BROOKSIDE BUSINESS PARK, SWALLOWFIELD - AMENITY IMPACTS | Amenity impacts (noise, dust, etc) |
| Q312 | If you are seeking a change, please elaborate further on the change(s) that you are seeking | We do not think that Brookside Business Park ([REDACTED] as well as [REDACTED]) should be included as potentially suitable for a waste facility due to the comments on the previous page. |
| Q334 | Into which of the following categories does your representation fall? (Support OR No view either way OR Objection) | No view either way |