

Central and Eastern Berkshire Joint Minerals and Waste Plan



www.rbwm.gov.uk



WOKINGHAM
BOROUGH COUNCIL

Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Plan Consultation

Introduction

Local Planning Authorities have a statutory responsibility to prepare and maintain an up-to-date local plan. Bracknell Forest Council, Reading Borough Council, the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead and Wokingham Borough Council (collectively referred to as the 'Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities') are working in partnership to produce a Joint Minerals and Waste Plan which will guide minerals and waste decision-making in the Plan area for the period up to 2036.

This response form allows you to provide your views on the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document for Central and Eastern Berkshire.

This consultation is open from 3 September until 15 October 2020. If you have any queries, or require this form in an alternative format, please contact berks.consult@hants.gov.uk

When you have completed this questionnaire, you can return it by post to:

Central and Eastern Berkshire JMWP
c/o Hampshire Services, Strategic Planning
Hampshire County Council
Elizabeth II Court West
The Castle
Winchester
SO23 8UD

Or by email to berks.consult@hants.gov.uk

Please note: a full name and full postal address (including postcode) are required in order for a response to be accepted. Responses submitted which do not include this information will **not** accepted.

About this consultation

Privacy notice

The Strategic Planning Team at Hampshire County Council is collecting information about you on behalf of the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities (Bracknell Forest Council, Reading Borough Council, the Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead and Wokingham Borough Council) in order to consult on Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities' proposed minerals and waste planning policy.

We will collect your name, postal address and any other contact information you provide as well as details of your representation. A name and postal address must be provided for the comments to be accepted. Anonymous or confidential representations without the required personal information cannot be accepted. We will accept representations to consultations and use the information to draft planning policy documents.

The legal basis for our use of this information is compliance of a legal obligation to which the Berkshire Authorities are subject. This is in relation to the [Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#) (as amended). All representations received will be available to view publicly in accordance with the [Local Government Act \(1985\)](#) for the purposes of preparing planning policy documents until adoption.

Your personal information will be shared with the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities as well as the Secretary of State (and appointed Planning Inspector) at the point a policy document (such as the Joint Minerals & Waste Plan) is submitted to government for public examination. Your representation will be submitted through and processed by Snap Surveys acting as a processor on behalf of Hampshire County Council.

On adoption of the policy document, all representations will be removed from the website. Electronic and paper file copies of representations will be kept by the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities for at least three years in line with the relevant corporate retention period. All representation received will be handled and made publicly available in accordance with the Privacy Statement (found via this link) www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult.

You have some legal rights in respect of the personal information we collect from you. Please see our website [Data Protection page](#) for further details. You can contact Hampshire County Council's Data Protection Officer at data.protection@hants.gov.uk. If you have a concern about the way we are collecting or using your personal data, you should raise your concern with us in the first instance or directly to the Information Commissioner's Office at <https://ico.org.uk/concerns/>.

Background

The Joint Minerals and Waste Plan will replace the adopted minerals and waste plans for the Central and Eastern Berkshire area. It will improve, update and strengthen the policies and provide details of strategic sites that are proposed to deliver the vision.

Several information gathering consultations were undertaken between 2017 and 2020 to inform the Plan, each of these form part of Regulation 18. This Regulation 19 stage includes the publication of the Proposed Submission Plan and outlines the version that is intended to be submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination. Representations made on the Proposed Submission Plan and other relevant documentation will be compiled and submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination.

The Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document can be downloaded at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult. It is recommended that you refer to this document as you respond to this consultation.

WE would like to hear from you in respect of your views on the 'soundness' and legal compliance of the Proposed Submission Plan document and its accompanying material. For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to page four of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document or Q18 and Q19 of the FAQs. Both documents can be found by visiting www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult.

This consultation response form is split into eight sections:

Section one: the introduction, background & context, spatial vision and key diagram in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Section two: the policies and implementation & monitoring ('supporting text') in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Section three: waste facility categories (Appendix B) in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Section four: the evidence base (Appendix D) in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Section five: safeguarded sites (Appendix E) in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Section six: glossary & acronyms in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Section seven: further comments

Section eight: about you

Section one – the introduction, background & context, spatial vision and key diagram in the Proposed Submission Plan document

Introduction

This is referenced on pages 7 to 13 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

What are your comments on the introduction?

____None____

Background and context

This is referenced on pages 14 to 16 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

What are your comments on the background and context?

____None____

Spatial vision for minerals and waste, including strategic plan objectives and spatial strategy

The vision – this is referenced on pages 17 to 22 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

The vision of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan is set out at paragraph 3.5 of the Proposed Submission Plan document.

Regarding the vision, into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you have supported the vision, please explain your reasons this:

_____The Vision includes protecting the historic environment.

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions on the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 and Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the vision on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
- The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

Strategic plan objectives

The strategic plan objectives – these are set out at paragraph 3.6 of the Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Regarding the strategic plan objectives, into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the strategic plan objectives, please explain your reasons for this:

The objectives support protecting the historic environment.

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions on the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 and Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the strategic plan objectives on the grounds that they do not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that they fail? *Please select all that apply*

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> The positively prepared test | <input type="checkbox"/> The effectiveness test |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The justification test | <input type="checkbox"/> The test of consistency with national policy |

If you are objecting to the strategic plan objectives on the grounds that they do not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the strategic plan objectives do not meet the Test of Soundness:

Lined area for comments regarding objections to strategic plan objectives.

Are you seeking a change to the strategic plan objectives?

- Yes No

If you are seeking a change to the strategic plan objectives, what change are you seeking and why?

Lined area for details of changes to strategic plan objectives.

Do you have any further comments on the strategic plan objectives?

Lined area for further comments on strategic plan objectives.

Spatial strategy

The spatial strategy – this is set out at paragraphs 3.7 to 3.10 of the Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Regarding the spatial strategy, into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the spatial strategy, please explain your reasons for this: ____

We are pleased to see that the spatial vision includes sites that will not affect any Registered or local historic parks and gardens. _____

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being compliant with the legal requirements of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria do you consider the Local Plan fails the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 and Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

If you are objecting to the spatial strategy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the spatial strategy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the spatial strategy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the spatial strategy?

- Yes
- No

If you are seeking a change to the spatial strategy, what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on the spatial strategy?

Key diagram

The key diagram – this is referenced on page 23 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Regarding the key diagram, into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the key diagram, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions on the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the key diagram on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the key diagram on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the key diagram does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the key diagram?

- Yes
- No

If you are seeking a change to the key diagram, what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on the key diagram?

Section two – the policies and implementation & monitoring ('supporting text') in the Proposed Submission Plan document

This consultation contains 28 policies, including details on implementation & monitoring ('supporting text') for each policy. The policies are as follows:

- Policy DM1 – Sustainable Development
- Policy DM2 – Climate Change – Mitigation and Adaptation
- Policy DM3 – Protection of Habitats and Species
- Policy DM4 – Protection of Designated Landscape
- Policy DM5 – Protection of the Countryside
- Policy DM6 – Green Belt
- Policy DM7 – Conserving the Historic Environment
- Policy DM8 – Restoration of Minerals and Waste Developments
- Policy DM9 – Protecting Health, Safety and Amenity
- Policy DM10 – Flood Risk
- Policy DM11 – Water Resources
- Policy DM12 – Sustainable Transport Movements
- Policy DM13 – High Quality Design of Minerals and Waste Development
- Policy DM14 – Ancillary Development
- Policy DM15 – Past Operator Performance
- Policy M1 – Sustainable Minerals Development Strategy
- Policy M2 – Safeguarding Sand and Gravel Resources
- Policy M3 – Sand and Gravel Supply
- Policy M4 – Locations for Sand and Gravel Extraction
- Policy M5 – Supply of Recycled and Secondary Aggregates
- Policy M6 – Chalk and Clay
- Policy M7 – Aggregate Wharves and Rail Depots
- Policy M8 – Safeguarding Minerals Infrastructure
- Policy W1 – Sustainable Waste Development Strategy
- Policy W2 – Safeguarding of Waste Management Facilities
- Policy W3 – Waste Capacity Requirements
- Policy W4 – Locations and Sites for Waste Management
- Policy W5 – Reworking Landfills
- Energy Minerals supporting text only (**this is not a policy**)

Policy DM1 – Sustainable Development

This policy is described on page 25, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 25 to 27 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM2 – Climate Change – Mitigation and Adaptation

This policy is described on page 28, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 28 to 30 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM3 – Protection of Habitats and Species

This policy is described on page 33, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 31, 32 & 34 to 36 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
- No view either way
- Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

Policy DM4 – Protection of Designated Landscape

This policy is described on page 37, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 37 to 38 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

Policy DM5 – Protection of the Countryside

This policy is described on page 39, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 39 to 40 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
 No view either way
 Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

 _We support the approach taken in Section 2 of the Policy in particular - see comments on DM7

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM6 – Green Belt

This policy is described on page 41, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 41 to 43 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> The positively prepared test | <input type="checkbox"/> The effectiveness test |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The justification test | <input type="checkbox"/> The test of consistency with national policy |

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM7 – Conserving the Historic Environment

This policy is described on page 45, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 44 to 46 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

On behalf of the Berkshire Gardens Trust and the national Gardens Trust, I submit our objections to the current wording of DM7. The Heritage Statement by HCC suggests revised and improved wording on page 46 which we fully endorse

We believe that the policy should include a requirement to record assets to be lost and the recording to be deposited in a public archive

A single reference to mitigation in the text (para 5.72) only refers to archaeology. The requirement for mitigation extends to all of the historic environment including built and landscape assets, which are equally important.

DM5 the policy item 2 (page 39) includes positive action to mitigate the harm to the countryside. Similar wording should have been included under DM7 to meet the requirements of NPPF para 185.

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Policy to be reworded in accordance with the Heritage Statement by HCC:

Proposals for minerals and waste developments will be required to protect and conserve, and where possible enhance, the historic environment and the significance, special interest, character or appearance of the heritage assets of the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities, including both designated and non-designated assets and the settings of these sites.

Harm to that significance, special interest, character or appearance will only be allowed where it is demonstrated that the harm is minimised and the need for and public benefits of the development cannot be delivered in a way that does not cause harm (e.g. locating the development on an alternative site that would result in no harm to heritage interests) and clearly and convincingly outweigh these heritage interests.

Any planning applications for minerals and waste development should therefore be informed by an assessment, proportionate to the circumstances, of the significance, special interest, character and appearance of any heritage assets that would be affected by a development proposal, of the potential impacts on those qualities and how those impacts could be avoided or minimised. This should include an appropriate level of field investigation if necessary and a screening to be submitted with the planning application.

Where appropriate and applicable, development within a heritage asset or its setting, will be expected to meet the highest standards of design, operation and restoration including being subject to a requirement that it is restored in the event it is no longer required for minerals and waste use.

Where harm to or loss of heritage assets would occur in the circumstances set out above, the asset should be fully recorded and the results deposited in a public archive (however, the ability to record an asset will not be a factor in determining whether or not a proposed development will be permitted).

The following assets will be protected in accordance with their relative importance:

- a) Scheduled Monuments;
 - b) Listed buildings;
 - c) Conservation areas;
 - d) Registered parks and gardens;
 - e) Registered battlefields;
 - f) Sites of archaeological importance; and
 - g) Other locally recognised assets.
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Para 5.72 to be amended to Mitigation measures should include:

- archaeological recording during and prior to development, and changes to the development to ensure the preservation, provision within post extraction restoration, screening, and protection of retained heritage assets
- Recording of built and landscape heritage assets
- Measures to minimise the adverse impact of the development on heritage assets and their settings
- Restoration schemes to be guided by Heritage Statements to ensure conservation and enhancement of the historic assets
- Proposals for interpretation and enjoyment of the heritage assets such as information boards, permissive access.

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM8 – Restoration of Minerals and Waste Developments

This policy is described on page 48, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 47 to 50 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions on the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM9 – Protecting Health, Safety and Amenity

This policy is described on page 51, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 51 to 53 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM10 – Flood Risk

This policy is described on page 54, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 54 to 55 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

- Yes No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

- Yes No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM11 – Water Resources

This policy is described on page 56, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 56 to 58 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection
- Yes

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions on the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM12 – Sustainable Transport Movements

This policy is described on page 60, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 59 to 62 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
- No view either way
- Yes
- Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy DM13 – High Quality Design of Minerals and Waste Development

This policy is described on page 63, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 63 to 64 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
- Yes
- No view either way
- Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

__We support the inclusion of 2 d) which includes the historic setting

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

Policy DM14 – Ancillary Development

This policy is described on page 65, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 65 to 66 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way - Yes Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the ‘supporting text’, what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the ‘supporting text’?

Policy DM15 – Past operator performance

This policy is described on page 67, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 67 to 69 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
 No view either way
- Yes
 Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
 The justification test
 The effectiveness test
 The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy M1 – Sustainable Minerals Development Strategy

This policy is described on page 74, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 71 to 75 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way - Yes Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy M2 – Safeguarding Sand and Gravel Resources

This policy is described on page 78, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 76 to 80 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
 No view either way
- Yes
 Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test
 The justification test
 The effectiveness test
 The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

Policy M3 – Sand and Gravel Supply

This policy is described on page 83, with the 'supporting text' detailed on pages 81 to 84 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection
- Yes

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the ‘supporting text’?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy M4 – Locations for Sand and Gravel Extraction

This policy is described on page 86, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 85 to 88 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding **the proposed extraction of remaining reserves at the following permitted sites?**

	Support	No view either way	Objection
Horton Brook quarry, Horton	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Riding Court Farm, Datchet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sheephouse Farm, Maidenhead	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poyle Quarry, Horton	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water Oakley, Holyport	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding **the proposed extension to the following sites?**

	Support	No view either way	Objection
Horton Brook & Poyle Quarry, Horton (MA1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poyle Quarry, Horton (MA2)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding **proposals for new sites not outlined in Policy M4, in appropriate locations, where:**

	Support	No view either way	Objection
They are situated within the Area of Search (as shown on the policies map)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
They are needed to maintain the landbank	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
They maximise opportunities provided by existing infrastructure and available minerals resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The site contains soft sand	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The resources would otherwise be sterilised	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The proposal is for a
specific local
requirement

If you have supported one or more of the proposals (either proposed extraction of remaining reserves, proposed site extensions or proposals for new sites not outlined in Policy M4), please explain your reasons of support:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

- Yes No

If you are seeking a change, please indicate the issue(s) under which you are seeking this change (Please select all that apply):

	Landscape	Habitats and species	Transport	Green Belt	Flooding	Amenity impacts (noise, dust etc)
Extraction of remaining reserves at Horton Brook Quarry, Horton	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Extraction of remaining reserves at Riding Court Farm, Datchet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Extraction of remaining reserves at Sheephouse Farm, Maidenhead	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Extraction of remaining reserves at Poyle Quarry, Horton	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Extraction of remaining reserves at Water Oakley, Holyport	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Extension to (existing sites) Horton Brook & Poyle Quarry, Horton (MA1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Extension to (existing site) Poyle Quarry, Horton (MA2)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New sites where they are situated within the Area of Search (as shown on the Policies Map)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New sites where needed to maintain the landbank	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New sites to maximise opportunities provided by existing infrastructure and available minerals resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New sites where the site contains soft sand	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New sites where resources would otherwise be	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

sterilised
New sites
where the
proposal is
for a specific
local
requirement

If you are seeking a change, please elaborate further on the change(s) you are seeking:

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy M7 – Aggregate Wharves and Rail Depots

This policy is described on page 97, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 96 to 97 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding **proposed aggregate wharves or rail depots**?

	Support	No view either way - Yes	Objection
At Monkey Island Wharf, Bray (TA1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In appropriate locations with good connectivity to the Strategic Road Network	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In appropriate locations with good connectivity to the rail network	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In appropriate locations with good connectivity to minerals infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you have supported one or more of the aggregate wharves or rail depot proposals, please explain your reasons for supporting it / them:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

- Yes
- No

If you are seeking a change, please indicate the issue(s) under which you are seeking a change on the proposed aggregate wharves or rail depots (*Please select all that apply*):

	Landscape	Habitats and species	Transport	Green Belt	Flooding	Amenity impacts (noise, dust etc)
At Monkey Island Wharf, Bray (TA1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In appropriate locations with good connectivity to the Strategic Road Network	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In appropriate locations with good connectivity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

Policy W1 – Sustainable Waste Development Strategy

This policy is described on page 104, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 103 to 105 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection
- Yes

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy W3 – Waste Capacity Requirements

This policy is described on page 115, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 110 to 117 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
- No view either way
- Yes
- Objection

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

Policy W4 – Locations and Sites for Waste Management

This policy is described on page 121, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 118 to 124 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding the **preferred waste areas** (Appendix C) of the Proposed Submission Plan document?

	Support - Yes	No view either way	Objection
Western Employment Area (parts), Bracknell	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Longshot Industrial Estate (within Western Employment Area), Binfield	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Eastern Employment Area, Bracknell	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vulcan Way Employment Area, Sandhurst	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bennett Road Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
North of Basingstoke Road, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Elgar Road, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Portman Road / Deacon Way Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Richfield Avenue / Tessa Road Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Paddock Road Industrial Estate, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
South of Basingstoke Road, Whitley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wigmore Lane, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bridgewater Close, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Island Road Major Opportunity Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Newlands Farm, Crowthorne	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Toutley Road Depot, Emmbrook	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Molly Millars Lane Area (parts), Wokingham	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Suttons Industrial Park, Earley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hogwood Lane Business Area (parts), Wokingham	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Headley Road Industrial Estate, Wokingham	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Headley Park, Wokingham	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ruscombe Business Park (parts), Ruscombe	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nine Mile Ride Industrial Park, Wokingham	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Brookside Business Park, Swallowfield	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cutbush Lane Business Area, Wokingham	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding **proposed allocated sites** of the Proposed Submission Plan document?

	Support	No view either way	Objection
Berkyn Manor Farm, Horton (WA1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Horton Brook Quarry, Horton (WA2)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Compound, Stubbings, Maidenhead (WA3)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall regarding **proposals for supported appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network; and:**

	Support	No view either way	Objection
Areas of major new development; or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sources of waste; or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Markets for the types of waste to be managed; and one or more of the following features:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is existing or planned industrial or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you are seeking a change of policy, please indicate the issues under which you are seeking this or these change(s) (*Please select all that apply*):

	Landscape	Habitats and species	Transport	Green Belt	Flooding	Amenity impacts (noise, dust etc)
Western Employment Area (parts), Bracknell	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Longshot Industrial Estate (within Western Employment Area), Binfield	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Eastern Employment Area, Bracknell	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vulcan Way Employment Area, Sandhurst	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bennett Road Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
North of Basingstoke Road, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Elgar Road, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Portman Road / Deacon Way Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Richfield Avenue / Tessa Road Area, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Paddock Road Industrial Estate, Reading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
South of Basingstoke Road,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Whitley							
Wigmore	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lane,							
Reading							
Bridgewater	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Close,							
Reading							
Island Road	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major							
Opportunity							
Area,							
Reading							
Newlands	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Farm,							
Crowthorne							
Toutley Road	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Depot,							
Emmbrook							
Molly Millars	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lane Area							
(parts),							
Wokingham							
Suttons	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Industrial							
Park, Earley							
Hogwood	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lane							
Business							
Area (parts),							
Wokingham							
Headley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Road							
Industrial							
Estate,							
Wokingham							
Headley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Park,							
Wokingham							
Ruscombe	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Business							
Park (parts),							
Ruscombe							
Nine Mile	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ride							
Industrial							
Park,							
Wokingham							
Brookside	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Business							
Park,							
Swallowfield							
Cutbush	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lane							

Business Area, Wokingham

The proposed allocated site at Berkyn Manor Farm, Horton (WA1)

The proposed allocated site at Horton Brook Quarry, Horton (WA2)

The proposed allocated site at The Compound, Stubbings, Maidenhead (WA3)

Appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network and areas of major new development

Appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network and sources of waste

Appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the

strategic road network and is existing or planned industrial or employment land

Appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network and is a suitable reuse of previously developed land

Appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network and is within redundant farm or forestry buildings, and their curtilages or hard standings

Appropriate locations, where the site has good connectivity to the strategic road network and is part of an active quarry or landfill operation

Appropriate locations, where the site has good

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on either the policy or the 'supporting text'?

Policy W5 – Reworking Landfills

This policy is described on page 125, with the ‘supporting text’ detailed on pages 125 to 126 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way Objection
- Yes

If you support the policy, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the policy on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the policy does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the policy?

- Yes No

If you are seeking a change to the policy, what change are you seeking and why?

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

- Yes No

Energy Minerals

Additionally, there is some ‘supporting text’ on ‘Energy minerals’ in the Proposed Submission Plan document. This chapter can be found in pages 91 to 92 of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please note the section on ‘Energy minerals’ is ‘supporting text’ only and is **not a policy**.

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support
- No view either way
- Yes
- Objection

If you support this ‘supporting text’, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult Please select all that apply

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
- Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to the ‘supporting text’ on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? Please select all that apply

- The positively prepared test
- The justification test
- The effectiveness test
- The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to the 'supporting text' on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the 'supporting text' does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the 'supporting text'?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change to the 'supporting text', what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on the 'supporting text'?

Section three – waste facility categories (Appendix B) in the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document

There are seven waste facility categories listed in Appendix B (pages 141 to 154) of the Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult. When providing details in this section in the free text ‘space’, if relevant, please be sure to specify which waste facility category you are referring to.

Into which of the following categories does your representation fall?

- Support No view either way - Yes Objection

If you support this principle, please explain your reasons for this:

An objection must relate either to the Local Plan not complying with legal requirements, or it not being sound in relation of at least one of the Tests of Soundness. If you are objecting, under which criteria is this objection? For definitions of the Tests of Soundness, please refer to Q18 & Q19 of the FAQs, which can be found at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult *Please select all that apply*

- Not complying with legal requirements / the duty to co-operate
 Not meeting the Test of Soundness

If you are objecting to this principle on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, what Test(s) of Soundness do you consider that it fails? *Please select all that apply*

- The positively prepared test The effectiveness test
 The justification test The test of consistency with national policy

If you are objecting to this principle on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the proposal does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the principle?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change, what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on Appendix B (waste facility categories)?

Section four – the evidence base (Appendix D) can be found in the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan Proposed Submission Plan document at www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult

There are 15 documents included in the Plan’s evidence base, as well as a Policies Map, all of which can be found listed in Appendix D (page 185) of the Proposed Submission Plan document. This document, along with all evidence base documents and the Policies Map can be accessed via www.hants.gov.uk/berksconsult A list of all documents included in Appendix D are as follows: (You can comment on as many of the following documents as you wish)

- Minerals: Background Study
- Waste: Background Study
- Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating Strategic Environmental Assessment) Environmental Report
- Habitats Regulations Assessment: Screening & Appropriate Assessment
- Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
- Strategic Traffic and Transport Assessment
- Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment
- Heritage Statement
- Restoration Study
- Minerals & Waste Safeguarding Study
- Minerals: Proposal Study
- Waste: Proposal Study
- Equalities Impact Assessment
- Duty to Cooperate Statement
- Climate Change Topic Paper
- Policies Map

What are your comments on the **Minerals: Background Study?**

What are your comments on the **Waste: Background Study?**

What are your comments on the **Heritage Statement**?

BGT supports the findings of the Heritage Statement. Under our comments on DM7 we request that the wording suggested in the Heritage Statement be adopted. We also note that Historic England seems to focus on archaeological effects but it also important that both the built and landscape heritage are equally recorded, assessed, conserved and the effects monitored during the life time of minerals extraction.

What are your comments on the **Restoration Study**?

What are your comments on the **Minerals & Waste Safeguarding Study**?

What are your comments on the **Minerals: Proposal Study**?

What are your comments on the **Waste: Proposal Study**?

What are your comments on the **Equalities Impact Assessment**?

If you are objecting to this principle on the grounds that it does not meet the Test of Soundness, please include below your comments on how the proposal does not meet the Test of Soundness:

Are you seeking a change to the principle?

Yes

No

If you are seeking a change, what change are you seeking and why?

Do you have any further comments on Appendix E (safeguarded sites list)?

Section eight – about you

Is this your own personal response, or are you responding on behalf of an organisation or group?

- This is a personal response
- This response is on behalf of an organisation or group

If this is a personal response, please now go to page 126.

If this response is on behalf of an organisation or group, please answer the rest of the questions on this page.

If this response is on behalf of an organisation or group, please tell us a little more about yourself and the organisation you are responding on behalf of:

Please note: **anonymous or confidential** representations **cannot** be accepted.

The full name of your organisation or group
(*required*)

Berkshire Gardens Trust.....

The full address of your organisation or group
(*required*)

[Redacted].....

Your full name (*required*)

[Redacted].....

Your position in the organisation or group
(*required*)

.....
Chair.....

Contact email address (*optional*)

[Redacted].....

Do you request to appear before the Independent Examiner (Planning Inspector) as per Section 20(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004? (*required*)

- No

Please confirm whether you would like to be notified of the following (*please tick all that apply*):

- When the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan is submitted for independent examination
- When the Inspector's report is published
- When the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan is adopted

Please now go to the final page of this form, titled '*End of questionnaire*' (page 127).

Section eight: about you (continued)

If this is a personal response, your name and postal address must be provided for your comments to be accepted. Please include these below.

Please note: **anonymous or confidential** representations **cannot** be accepted.

Your full name (*required*)

.....

Your full postal address (*required*)

.....

Your full postcode (*required*)

.....

.....

.....

Your email address (*optional*)

.....

.....



Do you request to appear before the Independent Examiner (Planning Inspector) as per Section 20(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004? (*required*)


No

Please confirm whether you would like to be notified of the following (*please tick all that apply*):

- When the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan is submitted for independent examination
- When the Inspector's report is published
- When the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan is adopted

We would like to know a bit more about you to help us understand the views of different groups. You do not need to answer these questions if you do not wish to.





End of questionnaire

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.

This consultation will be open until **15 October 2020**. Following the closure of the consultation, representations will be collated and submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination. Additionally, representations will be published alongside respondents' names (but no other personal details) in a summary report.

If you would like the Central & Eastern Berkshire Authorities to contact you with further information on planning matters in your area then please tick here.

Please return this response form by post to:

**Central and Eastern Berkshire JMWP
c/o Hampshire Services, Strategic Planning
Hampshire County Council
Elizabeth II Court West
The Castle
Winchester
SO23 8UD**

Or by email to berks.consult@hants.gov.uk