

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Officer Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Ben Clifton – Team Leader, Strategic Transport
Title:	Land at South West of De La Rue Site – S106 Agreement PT33A2307
Reference:	

Tel: 01962 846761

Email: Ben.Clifton @hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

1.1. That authority be given to the Chief Executive's Department in accordance with Paragraph 1.23 of the Economy, Transport and Environment Department's Scheme of Delegation to enter into an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning (General Development) Order 1995 with Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, Hampshire Country Council, and The Police and Commissioner for the Hampshire Police Area relating to Land at South West of De La Rue Site, Jays Close, Viables, Basingstoke.

2. Reason(s) for the decision:

2.1. A planning application for development at Land at South West of De La Rue Site, Jays Close, Viables, Basingstoke has been submitted under reference 14/03054/FUL. Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council has resolved to grant consent to the development subject to the completion of the Section 106 Agreement to secure necessary planning obligations.

2.2 The County Council as Local Highway Authority was consulted on the planning application and responded to the statutory consultation raising no objection to the application on transport grounds, subject to a package of transport mitigation measures being secured as below;

- Site access arrangements
- The sum of Twenty Four Thousand Six Hundred and Ten Pounds (£24,610.00) (indexed linked) being a contribution (to be applied at such time and in such manner as the County Council shall in its absolute discretion determine) to the costs to be incurred by the County Council in the provision, implementation and maintenance of transport measures and highway works in the vicinity of the Development as well as infrastructure measures identified within the District Transport Statement, including highway improvements to the A30 corridor and associated junctions

- The submission and approval of a Framework Travel Plan

2.3 The legal agreement secures the above planning obligations in order that adequate transport mitigation is secured against the development.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

Not to agree to enter into the agreement

3.1. This option was considered but dismissed on the basis that this would result in development which was not supported by adequate transport infrastructure and does not sufficiently promote sustainable transport.

4. Conflicts of interest:

4.1. Conflict of interest declared by an Executive Member who is consulted by the officer which relates to the decision: None

5. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:

5.1. None

6. Supporting information:

6.1. Final draft legal agreement

Approved by:



Date:

11th March 2015

Ben Clifton– Team Leader, Strategic Transport

On behalf of the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment