

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Officer Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Ben Clifton – Team Leader, Strategic Transport
Title:	Junction at Ross House, Stubbington Lane, Former HMS Daedalus Site, Solent Enterprise Zone, Lee-on-the-Solent – S106 Agreement [Legal reference - 9053226]
Reference:	

Tel: 01962 846761

Email: ben.clifton@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That authority be given to the Chief Executive's Department in accordance with Paragraph 1.23 of the Economy, Transport and Environment Department's Scheme of Delegation to enter into an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning (General Development) Order 1995 with the Homes and Communities Agency relating to Land at the junction at Ross House, Stubbington Lane, Former HMS Daedalus Site, Solent Enterprise Zone, Lee-on-the-Solent.

2. Reason(s) for the decision:

- 2.1. A planning application for development at Land at the junction at Ross House, Stubbington Lane, Former HMS Daedalus Site, Solent Enterprise Zone, Lee-on-the-Solent has been submitted under reference P/15/0014/FP. Fareham Borough Council has resolved to grant consent to the development subject to the completion of the Section 106 Agreement to secure necessary planning obligations.
- 2.2. The County Council as Local Highway Authority was consulted on the planning application and responded to the statutory consultation raising no objection to the application on transport grounds, subject to a package of transport measures being secured to mitigate the impact of the creation of this junction upon the surrounding highway. As a result, a traffic counter loop must be installed on the Daedalus Spine Road to ensure that traffic movements to/from the Solent Enterprise Zone at this junction do not exceed 200 during the peak morning hour of 8am to 9am. A Section 278 Agreement to ensure that this can be delivered and a prohibition of driving order is also required. The full details can be found in Schedule 1 of the Agreement.
- 2.3. The legal agreement secures the above planning obligations in order that adequate transport mitigation is secured against the development.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

Not to agree to enter into the agreement

- 3.1. This option was considered but dismissed on the basis that this would result in development which was not supported by adequate transport infrastructure and does not sufficiently promote sustainable transport.

4. Conflicts of interest:

4.1. Conflict of interest declared by an Executive Member who is consulted by the officer which relates to the decision: None

5. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:

5.1. None

6. Supporting information:

6.1. Final legal agreement

Approved by:

Date:



13th October 2016

Ben Clifton – Team Leader, Strategic Transport

On behalf of the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment