

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Officer Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Ben Clifton -Team Leader, Strategic Transport
Title:	Land South of Brownhill Way, Nursling in Hampshire pursuant. – S278 Agreement PT33A. DC REF 6/3/7/250
Reference:	

Tel: 01962 846761

Email: Ben.clifton@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- 1.1. That authority be given to the Chief Executive's Department in accordance with Paragraph 1.23 of the Economy, Transport and Environment Department's Scheme of Delegation to enter into an agreement under Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980 with TIMOTHY JOBLING and RICHARD MICHAEL MOYSE relating to Land at South of Brownhill Way, Nursling in Hampshire pursuant.

2. Reason(s) for the decision:

- 2.1. *Planning consent for development of the Land at South of Brownhill Way, Nursling in Hampshire pursuant. was obtained from Test Valley Borough Council under reference 11/02859/FULLS and Southampton City Council under reference 12/00106/FUL*
- 2.2. In order to mitigate the development, highway improvements are required. The developer has submitted and gained approval to a design for the works consisting of;
 - Footway/cycleway along the northern side of Brownhill Way including an informal crossing island.
 - Creating an all purpose vehicular road with dual carriageways each 7.3m in width
 - M271 Junction 1 Improvement works (Full signalisation of existing roundabout and the widening of Brownhill Way and the M271 off slips and the circulatory carriageway)
- 2.3. The completion of the Section 278 Agreement enables the developer to undertake the highway works, in accordance with the terms and conditions that the County Council has stipulated. The works are considered to provide public benefit.

- 2.4. The County Council has agreed that following completion of the works and a one-year maintenance period to thereafter maintain the works as public highway.
- 2.5. The full cost of the works, including any costs to the County Council in facilitating the works, will be met by the developer under the terms of the Agreement.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

Not to agree to enter into the agreement

- 3.1. This option was considered but dismissed on the basis that the applicant has demonstrated that the proposed works can be constructed in a safe manner and to an acceptable standard, that planning consent for the development including access exists, and that the works will provide essential access to the development site.

To agree a different specification of works

- 3.2. This was considered but dismissed. The access works as secured in the Agreement are considered to be satisfactory and appropriate to provide access to the development without unacceptably impacting on the safety of the public highway.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflict of interest declared by an Executive Member who is consulted by the officer which relates to the decision: None

5. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:

- 5.1. None

6. Supporting information:

- 6.1. Final draft legal agreement
- 6.2. Plan showing highway works 4524.301 Rev B, 4524.302 Rev B, 4524.303 Rev C, 4524.304 Rev C,

Approved by:

Date:

30th November 2013

Ben Clifton – Team Leader, Strategic Transport

**On behalf of the Director of Economy, Transport and
Environment**