

# HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## Officer Decision Record

<b>Decision Maker:</b>	Ben Clifton – Team Leader, Strategic Transport
<b>Title:</b>	Overton Hill Land North of London Road Overton Hampshire – S106 Deed of Agreement
<b>Reference:</b>	

**Tel:** 01962 846761

**Email:** Ben.Clifton@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

1.1. That authority be given to the Chief Executive's Department in accordance with Paragraph 1.23 of the Economy, Transport and Environment Department's Scheme of Delegation to enter into an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning (General Development) Order 1995 with Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council, Portals Property Limited, De La Rue Holdings Plc and De La Rue International Limited relating to Overton Hill, Land North of London Road, Overton, Hampshire.

### 2. Reason(s) for the decision:

- 2.1. A planning application for development at Overton Hill, Land North of London Road, Overton, Hampshire has been submitted under reference 13/00197/OUT and has been granted at appeal.
- 2.2. In order to secure the County Council's position, as set out in the County Council's consultation responses to the application, a legal agreement is required to secure the necessary planning obligations. These obligations comprise the delivery of the following;
- Contribution of £400,000 for schemes listed below, prior to occupation of the development, index linked under the All Items Index of Retail Prices:
    - Remedial works or reconstruction or otherwise agreed method of bringing Station Approach to an adoptable standard;
    - To provide an off street car park at Overton Rail Station;
    - Pedestrian crossing improvements towards Edward Kersley Playing Field on Red Lion Lane;
    - Resurfacing of the footpath between Station Road and B3051 Kingsclere Road along the River Test;
    - Or other such measures as the Director in his Absolute Discretion determines.

- Travel Plan requirements and triggers as set out in clause 13;
- A travel Plan bond of £31,600.00 to be provided prior to occupation;
- The developer is not to commence development until a Section 278 agreement for Highways Works has been entered into.
- Not to occupy until the Highway Works have been completed to the County Council's Satisfaction, shown in principle at appendix 3.

2.3. The agreement secures these obligations and are considered sufficient to mitigate the transport impact of the development permitted under 13/00197/OUT .

### **3. Other options considered and rejected:**

Not to agree to enter into the agreement

3.1. This option was considered but dismissed on the basis that this would result in development which was not supported by adequate transport infrastructure and does not sufficiently promote sustainable transport.

To agree a different package of mitigation.

3.2. The package of mitigation was developed over a long period of time between the applicants and the County Council and was based upon detailed and robust assessment of the impact of the development. The package of mitigation secured will deliver the most appropriate transport solutions available.

### **4. Conflicts of interest:**

4.1. Conflict of interest declared by an Executive Member who is consulted by the officer which relates to the decision: None

### **5. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:**

5.1. None

### **6. Supporting information:**

6.1. Final draft legal agreement

**Approved by:**

**Date:**

-----

**07/01/2015**

**Ben Clifton – Team Leader, Strategic Transport**

**On behalf of the Director of Economy, Transport and  
Environment**