

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Decision Report

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Communities and International Relations
Date:	4 November 2010
Title:	Funding request for Gosport Borough Council Neighbourhood Management Board via Hampshire Action Team (HAT) for Gosport.
Reference:	2168
Report From:	Chief Executive

Contact name: Kate Fryatt

Tel: 01962 847848

Email: kate.fryatt@hants.gov.uk

1. Executive Summary

1.1. The purpose of this paper is to seek approval for funding of £20,000 to Gosport Borough Council from the Gosport HAT Community Budget. The funding is for Neighbourhood Management, primarily in Rowner and Town Wards of Gosport.

2. Contextual information

- 2.1. The project will take place in the two most deprived communities in Gosport which are in the top 20% of most deprived areas in England as evidenced through the Indices of Multiple Deprivation.
- 2.2. A detailed paper (Appendix A) has been provided which describes the project fully and explains how it builds on a successful two year pilot in Rowner.

3. Finance

- 3.1 The total funding allocation available to Gosport HAT is £100,000.
- 3.2 The amount remaining following previous successful applications is £66,965.
- 3.3 The amount of funding requested for this project is £20,000. 50% of the funding requested is for staffing and 50% to be used for delivering the actions and outcomes detailed in the application form.
- 3.4 Joint funding of £30,000 (plus in kind support values at £5,000) has been secured for the project. Providing this application is successful there is no shortfall in funding.

- 3.5 Gosport Borough Council are keen to develop a sustainable Neighbourhood Partnership model which requires the reconfiguration of existing mainstream resources and support from a range of public and other partner organisations. The intention is that these arrangements will be in place by the end of October 2011. Gosport Borough Council are fully aware that if successful this funding will be for one year only with no expectation of funding in future years.

4. Legal Implications

- 4.1 The County Council has the power under Section 56 of the Local Government Act 1958 to make contributions to the expenditure of a District or Borough Council in Hampshire.

5. Monitoring

- 5.1 The intended outcomes of the project are outlined in the application form accompanying this report. A detailed monitoring report setting out the final outcomes of the project will be sent out for completion at the end of the project.

4. Other key issues

- 4.1. There are no other key issues associated with this request.

5. Statements of Support

- 5.1. This application has the majority support of the whole HAT and supports partnership working in the locality. It also has support of the Executive Member for Culture and Recreation who is a member of the Gosport HAT.

6. Recommendation

- 6.1. That the Executive Member for Communities and International Relations approves a sum of £20,000 to be awarded to Gosport Borough Council, from the Gosport HAT Community Budget for 2010/11 only, with no expectation for funding in future years.

CORPORATE OR LEGAL INFORMATION:**Links to the Corporate Strategy**

Hampshire safer and more secure for all:	yes
Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate):	
Maximising well-being:	yes
Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate):	
Enhancing our quality of place:	yes
Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate):	

Integral Appendix B

IMPACT ASSESSMENTS:**1. Equalities Impact Assessment:**

1.1. There are no adverse implications.

2. Impact on Crime and Disorder:

2.1. One of the intended outcomes is to help to reduce incidents and impact of anti-social behaviour by designing robust improvements to specific areas which improve sight lines, are resilient to vandalism and actively encourage community ownership and engagement of families and young people.

3. Climate Change:

a) How does what is being proposed impact on our carbon footprint / energy consumption?

There are no adverse implications.

b) How does what is being proposed consider the need to adapt to climate change, and be resilient to its longer term impacts?

There are no adverse implications.