

AT A MEETING of the SAFE AND HEALTHY PEOPLE SELECT COMMITTEE of the COUNTY COUNCIL held at The Castle, Winchester on Friday, 22 November 2013.

PRESENT

Chairman:
p Councillor Patricia Stallard

Vice-Chairman
p Councillor Chris Carter

Councillors:

p Alan Dowden	p Fiona Mather
p Keith Evans	p Andy Moore
p David Harrison	a George Ringrow
p Marge Harvey	p Steve Rippon-Swaine
p Roger Huxstep	p Frank Rust
p Chris Lagdon	p Martin Tod

Substitute Members:

Councillor Mark Kemp-Gee (Conservative substitute member)

Also in attendance at the invitation of the Chairman:

Councillor Liz Fairhurst, Executive Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health

Councillor Andrew Joy, Executive Member for Communities and Partnerships

Councillor Stephen Reid, Executive Member for Human Resources

21. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies were received from Councillor George Ringrow. Councillor Mark Kemp-Gee, as the appointed conservative substitute member, attended in his place.

22. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Members were mindful that where they believed they had a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in any matter considered at the meeting they must declare that interest at the time of the relevant debate and, having regard to the circumstances described in Part 3, Paragraph 1.5 of the County Council's Members' Code of Conduct, leave the meeting while the matter was discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with Paragraph 1.6 of the Code. Furthermore Members were mindful that where they believed they had a Non-Pecuniary interest in a matter being considered at the meeting they considered whether such interest should be declared, and having regard to Part 5, Paragraph 2 of the Code, considered whether it was appropriate to leave the

meeting whilst the matter was discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with the Code.

Councillor Frank Rust declared a non-pecuniary interest following a deputation from a Union representative, as he is a member of 'Unite the Union'.

Councillors David Harrison, Chris Lagdon and Steve Rippon-Swaine declared non-pecuniary interests in relation to Agenda Item 6, as they are all New Forest District Council Councillors.

Add non-pecuniary interests to the register.

23. **MINUTES**

The Minutes of the Select Committee held on 18 September 2013 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

Under matters arising:

The 'Care Bill' working group membership had been confirmed to include:

- o Councillor Chris Carter (Vice Chairman and Chairman of working group)
- o Councillor David Harrison
- o Councillor Roger Huxstep
- o Councillor Chris Lagdon
- o Councillor Frank Rust

The Vice Chairman provided Members with an update on the workings of the group, and the recent consultations on the Care Bill that that had been responded to the County Council. It was noted that the Bill was due to receive its second reading in the House of Commons, but that it was expected that the detail of the Bill wouldn't be available until the statutory instruments had been published. The group was next due to meet in the New Year.

The Chairman took Agenda Item 5 out of order.

24. **CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Members noted that some items which were originally due to come before the 22 November meeting had been deferred or would be provided in an alternative format. One of these items was the annual 'Crime and Disorder Scrutiny' item, which must be undertaken once per financial year by the County Council. The Chairman therefore proposed to hold an additional meeting of the Committee on Friday 14 March 2014, in the Mitchell Room.

Send out electronic invitation to additional meeting.

The Chairman returned to the Agenda running order.

25. **DEPUTATIONS**

The Committee received the following deputations in order in relation to Item 6 on the agenda (Outcome of the Consultation on the Future of Four Residential Care Homes and One Day Centre and Proposed Recommendations):

Ms Deborah Long and Cllr Sean Keating, who spoke on the Deeside Residential Care Home, Basingstoke. The Chairman varied Standing Orders to allow members of Ms Long's deputation to speak, given that elected councillors would not normally be able to make a deputation on an item under Standing Order 12 of the Hampshire County Council Constitution.

Ms Patricia Kelly, who spoke on the Nightingale Lodge Residential Care Home, Romsey.

Mrs Sheila Shields, Neil Turngate and Revd. Dr. Caroline Wilkins, who spoke on the Cranleigh Paddock Residential Care Home, Lyndhurst. The Chairman varied Standing Orders to allow members of Ms Long's deputation to speak, given that elected councillors would not normally be able to make a deputation on an item under Standing Order 12 of the Hampshire County Council Constitution.

Ms Lynn Rich, who spoke on Deeside Residential Care Home, Basingstoke.

Mr Alan Stone and Mr John Kearney, who spoke on Deeside Residential Care Home, Basingstoke.

Mr Kevin Kerr, who spoke on Deeside Residential Care Home, Basingstoke.

Mr Richard Bambridge, who spoke on all of the proposals. The Chairman varied Standing Orders to allow Mr Bambridge to speak, given that union representatives would not normally be able to make a deputation on an item under Standing Order 12 of the Hampshire County Council Constitution.

Written representations were also circulated to Members of the Committee.

26. **OUTCOME OF THE CONSULTATION ON THE FUTURE OF FOUR RESIDENTIAL CARE HOMES AND ONE DAY CENTRE AND PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Committee received a report and associated appendices from the Director of Adult Services on the Outcome of the Consultation on the future of four

Recommendations sent to Cabinet

Residential Care Homes and one Day Centre, and the proposed recommendations (take in report, equality impact assessments and responses to the consultation, Item 6 in Minute Book).

The Chairman informed Members that the Committee would be pre-scrutinising the proposed recommendations to Cabinet, which would be considered at their decision day, due to be held 9 December 2013. Members of the Committee had received advice from the Monitoring Officer in this regard.

Discussions were held by the Committee on the decision making process for this item. Several Members raised the notion of Full Council taking a final decision on the closures, replacing the decision to be taken by Cabinet, and the legality of such an option. The Monitoring Officer provided to Members her advice that there was not provision in legislation for Full Council to take an executive decision on the recommendations.

Members heard an overview from the Director of Adult Services, Gill Duncan, who provided to Members details of the strategic approach adopted by the County Council to meet the needs of the existing and future ageing population, together with the outcomes of the consultation exercise and the final recommendations before Cabinet.

The strategic approach aimed to develop a wide range of services for an older population who wish to have choice and control of how they can be assisted to live independently for as long as possible. The Cabinet had previously agreed that investment in Extra Care assisted living is intrinsic to the overall approach, although the Director recognised that this accommodation would not be suitable for all. The Council also had a responsibility to help service users to live well with dementia, including through developing specialist dementia accommodation.

It was heard that two equalities impact assessments have been carried out for the proposals; one for users of the services, and one for staff. The impacts on the residents and staff of the three Residential Care Homes and one Day Centre recommended for closure were fully considered in developing these. As stated in the Legal Section of the report (section 18), due regard would need to be given to possible impacts as a result of age, gender, disability and the need to maintain relationships. Members noted that they were required to give full consideration to the content of the Equality Impact Assessments in making any decision. The Director stressed that the quality of care provided by staff had never been in question. It was hoped that, should the recommendations be accepted by Cabinet, the staff

working at Bulmer House, Deeside and Nightingale Lodge would be redeployed elsewhere across the adult services portfolio.

The Director noted a typing error within the equality impact assessment at Appendix B, page 49. The age profile of staff was corrected to state that 29% of the staff were aged between 41 and 50, and a further 45% aged over 50.

The Director explained that, should Cabinet agree the recommendations, the welfare of the residents would be the top priority for the Council. There would be risks attached to the move of elderly residents, but the Department had significant experience of moving service users – whether through the requirement for individuals to move to other forms of care, or through previous closures of independent and in-house care homes – and would do so sensitively, following best practice, and at a pace that suited residents and their families and carers. If residents wished to move into independent or private sector accommodation, the Department would use the Council's leverage to secure acceptable care costs, should this be a concern for service users. A commitment was made that the suggested closure of the Homes would not impact negatively upon current resident finances.

Following questions, Members heard:

- That the Director would strengthen the report to provide further detail on the range of services offered to carers, and dementia demographics and services in Hampshire.
- That the Council had commissioned work that aimed to estimate the number of individuals requiring care in future year, but such research could only be an estimate given the complexities of co-morbidities, choice and population movement. The Department worked closely with Public Health and would ensure that the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment took account of the need for integrated services from health and social care for the ageing population in Hampshire.
- That mortality rates associated with moves from care homes to other places of care were the topic of debate during the consultation period. A comparison had been completed to review whether mortality rates were affected by service user movement, and it was found that these were averagely the same prior to and after care home closures.
- That the Department monitored care home costs within the Hampshire area, and were cognisant of the risk that reducing in-house provision would put additional pressure on the independent and private sector, and the cost they charged to provide this

care. However, 86% of care home placements in the County were provided by non-public sector organisations currently, and there was provision within residential care homes in the Basingstoke, Petersfield and Romsey areas for service users.

- That service users, their families and carers had been allocated dedicated social workers to assist with the process of choosing suitable alternative accommodation, should Cabinet make a decision to close the three Care Homes.
- That the number of Extra Care units required for the population of Hampshire was estimated as being 20 units per 1,000 population in 2007. Currently, the number of units in Hampshire falls far short of this estimate for demand.
- That the provision of meals is standard practice within a package of care purchased from an Extra Care assisted living scheme, as it enables residents to have a focal social activity in the evening.
- That Extra Care assisted living was part of the services that the County wished to offer to individuals between 18–65, and therefore it was suggested that £10m be reserved for investment in these services.

The Committee agreed to consider the proposals for each Residential Care Home and the Day Centre separately. Members noted that the Strategic Manager of Assets and Development from the Culture, Communities and Business Services Department would be present to answer questions related to estate.

Deeside Residential Care Home, Basingstoke

The Committee received an overview of the proposals for Deeside from the Adult Services Deputy Director for Community Care Services and Commissioning.

Members heard that Deeside is a 33 bed residential care home, currently containing 25 residents, which was built in 1965. The recommendation to Cabinet is for the home to close and suitable alternative accommodation to be found for residents that meets their needs and is their preferred choice. Additionally, it would be recommended to Cabinet that the site at Deeside be disposed of for the use of social housing, and that capital receipt is ring fenced for re-investment in a second extra care scheme in Basingstoke.

Responses to the consultation had highlighted the importance of dementia care, and therefore the final closure of the home was suggested to be deferred until the opening of the specialist dementia wing at Oakridge, a residential and nursing home in Basingstoke, in the Autumn 2014. Oakridge has 48 nursing beds and 34 residential beds, and

the new development would provide a 10 bedded specialist dementia wing, extensive refurbishment of the communal areas, and creation of secure outside space.

An overview of alternative accommodation available within the Basingstoke area was provided to Members, as noted within the report, together with the detail of the testing of the Deeside site which found it unsuitable for extra care assisted living development.

The range of options considered for Deeside, contained within the report in section 10, was provided to the Committee. The preferred option was to close the Deeside residential care home, once the construction of the extension at Hampshire County Council's Oakridge care home is opened, as it was felt that this would improve the balance of services available to older people in Basingstoke, would be more cost effective to the Council than full re-modelling of the home, and better fitted Adult Services' approach to meeting the future needs of the older people in the area.

Following questions, Members heard:

- That Deeside isn't a specialist dementia care facility, although 19 of the 25 residents residing at the Home have a form of dementia. Any individual requiring specific dementia care services will have this noted in their care plans, which will be considered as part of any alternative placement.
- That service users wishing to remain in the Basingstoke area will be facilitated by the social worker assigned to agreeing their future care needs. Any moves of service users will be undertaken at a pace suitable to residents.
- That beds will be reserved in the new specialist dementia wing of Oakridge House for those service users requiring these services that wish to remain within Basingstoke. Staff currently employed at Deeside will be given the opportunity to transfer to Oakridge if possible.
- That any future redevelopment of the vacated Deeside site for residential development would require planning approval. The draft Basingstoke and Deane Borough Local Plan is currently considering residential development in the town in the period up to 2029. As the Deeside site is considered as a "brownfield" site within the settlement boundary discussions have indicated that it would be expected that there would be a presumption in favour of such a proposal.

During debate, Members discussed their views on the evidence presented during deputations, from officers and

within the report and its appendices. Debate was heard on the suitability of the Deeside building, the strategic approach of the County Council and the views of stakeholders. Members agreed that the need to ensure that the welfare of current residents should be the focal priority in the whole process. They wished to put on record their appreciation of the staff who provided high quality care to residents and the families and carers at Deeside.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Deeside residential care home in Basingstoke and suitable alternative accommodation is sought for residents that meets their care needs.'

For: Cllr Chris Carter, Cllr Keith Evans, Cllr David Harrison, Cllr Marge Harvey, Cllr Roger Huxstep, Cllr Mark Kemp-Gee, Cllr Fiona Mather, Cllr Steve Rippon-Swaine, Cllr Patricia Stallard
Against: Cllr Chris Lagdon, Cllr Andy Moore, Cllr Martin Tod
Abstained: Cllr Alan Dowden, Cllr Frank Rust

An alternative recommendations was proposed by Cllr David Harrison and seconded by Cllr Alan Dowden:

'That the Safe and Healthy People Select Committee recommends to Cabinet that a decision be deferred until Full Council has debated the issue of the four care homes proposed for closure.'

A recorded vote took place:

For: Cllr Alan Dowden, Cllr David Harrison, Cllr Chris Lagdon, Cllr Andy Moore, Cllr Frank Rust, Cllr Martin Tod
Against: Cllr Chris Carter, Cllr Keith Evans, Cllr Marge Harvey, Cllr Roger Huxstep, Cllr Mark Kemp-Gee, Cllr Fiona Mather, Cllr Steve Rippon-Swaine, Cllr Patricia Stallard

The recommendation was not carried.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That current residents that are assessed as suitable, should be allowed to remain in situ at Deeside, until such time as the new residential dementia facility opens at Oakridge,

should this be their preferred choice of home.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to dispose of the site at Deeside for the provision of social housing and that any capital receipt is ring-fenced for the development of Extra Care assisted living in Basingstoke.'

For: Cllr Chris Carter, Cllr Alan Dowden, Cllr Keith Evans, Cllr David Harrison, Cllr Marge Harvey, Cllr Roger Huxstep, Cllr Mark Kemp-Gee, Cllr Fiona Mather, Cllr Steve Rippon-Swaine, Cllr Frank Rust, Cllr Patricia Stallard
Against: Cllr Chris Lagdon, Cllr Andy Moore, Cllr Martin Tod

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to urgently pursue the development of a second Extra Care assisted living scheme in Basingstoke.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED:

That the following recommendations be endorsed without amendment to the Cabinet for decision:

1. That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Deeside residential care home in Basingstoke and suitable alternative accommodation is sought for residents that meets their care needs.
2. That current residents that are assessed as suitable, should be allowed to remain in situ at Deeside, until such time as the new residential dementia facility opens at Oakridge, should this be their preferred choice of home.
3. That permission is granted by Cabinet to dispose of the site at Deeside for the provision of social housing and that any capital receipt is ring-fenced for the development of Extra Care assisted living in Basingstoke.
4. That permission is granted by Cabinet to urgently pursue the development of a second Extra Care assisted living scheme in Basingstoke.

Nightingale Lodge Residential Care Home, Romsey

The Committee received an overview of the proposals from the Adult Services Deputy Director for Policy and Strategic Development.

Members heard that Nightingale Lodge is a 39 bed residential care home, currently containing 20 residents, which was built in 1973. The recommendation to Cabinet is for the home to close and suitable alternative accommodation to be found for residents that meets their needs and is their preferred choice. Additionally, it would be recommended to Cabinet that the site at Nightingale Lodge to be used for the development of an Extra Care assisted living scheme, and that the Master's House Day Centre be temporarily re-located during the redevelopment, but that the day service would continue to operate throughout.

An overview of alternative accommodation available within five miles of the Romsey area, as well as surrounding villages and towns, was provided to Members, as noted within the report. Also provided were the details of testing of the Nightingale Lodge site, which found it to be a viable option for extra care assisted living development.

The range of options considered for Nightingale Lodge, contained within the report in section 11, was provided to the Committee. The preferred option was to close Nightingale Lodge residential care home, as it was felt that this would improve the balance of services available to older people in Romsey, would be more cost effective to the Council than full re-modelling of the home, and better fitted Adult Services' approach to meeting the future needs of the older people in the area. This option was also include the temporarily re-location of the Masters House day centre service during building works.

Following questions, Members heard:

- That the Day Centre would be required to temporarily relocate whilst an Extra Care assisted living scheme was built on the Nightingale Lodge site. It was expected that individuals attending this Centre would be in receipt of travel to and from their place of residence, and therefore this transport could continue during the relocation. Any service user who didn't receive such a service would be assisted to find suitable transport.
- That commitment had been given to service users who are approaching the end of their life that they will not be moved.

During debate, Members discussed their views on the evidence presented during deputations, from officers and within the report and its appendices. Debate was heard on

the suitability of the Nightingale Lodge building, the strategic approach of the County Council and the views of stakeholders. Members agreed that the need to ensure that the welfare of current residents should be the focal priority in the whole process. They wished to put on record their appreciation of the staff who provided high quality care to residents and the families and carers at Nightingale Lodge.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Nightingale Lodge residential care home in Romsey, and suitable alternative accommodation is sought for residents that meets their care needs.'

For: Cllr Chris Carter, Cllr Alan Dowden, Cllr Keith Evans, Cllr David Harrison, Cllr Marge Harvey, Cllr Roger Huxstep, Cllr Mark Kemp-Gee, Cllr Chris Lagdon, Cllr Fiona Mather, Cllr Steve Rippon-Swaine, Cllr Frank Rust, Cllr Patricia Stallard, Cllr Martin Tod

Abstained: Cllr Andy Moore

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to dispose of the Nightingale Lodge site for the purposes of developing an Extra Care assisted living scheme and that the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme should incorporate facilities for older persons' day services.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That Master's House Day Centre is temporarily relocated within Romsey during the redevelopment of the Nightingale Lodge site, until such time as the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme is completed.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED:

That the following recommendations be endorsed without amendment to the Cabinet for decision:

1. That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Nightingale Lodge residential care home in Romsey, and suitable alternative accommodation is sought for

- residents that meets their care needs.
2. That permission is granted by Cabinet to dispose of the Nightingale Lodge site for the purposes of developing an Extra Care assisted living scheme and that the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme should incorporate facilities for older persons' day services.
 3. That Master's House Day Centre is temporarily relocated within Romsey during the redevelopment of the Nightingale Lodge site, until such time as the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme is completed.

Bulmer House Residential Care Home, Petersfield

The Committee received an overview of the proposals from the Adult Services Director of Operations and Transformation (In House Services).

Members heard that Bulmer House was originally a 44 bed residential care home, reduced to 33 beds in October 2012, which currently contained 15 residents, which was built in 1976. The recommendation to Cabinet is for the home to close and suitable alternative accommodation to be found for residents that meets their needs and is their preferred choice. Additionally, it would be recommended to Cabinet that the site at Bulmer House to be used for the development of an Extra Care assisted living scheme, and that the on-site Day Centre be temporarily re-located during the redevelopment until the Extra Care assisted living development opened. It was noted that respite provision was currently offered at Bulmer House, and that it would be proposed that this is reprovided at Marlfield House in Alton.

An overview of alternative accommodation available within five miles of the Petersfield area, as well as those in East Hampshire and Havant, was provided to Members, as noted within the report. Also provided were the details of testing of the Bulmer House site, which found it to be a viable option for extra care assisted living development.

The range of options considered for Bulmer House, contained within the report in section 8, was provided to the Committee. The preferred option was to close Bulmer Lodge residential care home, as it was felt that this would improve the balance of services available to older people in Petersfield, would be more cost effective to the Council than full re-modelling of the home, and better fitted Adult Services' approach to meeting the future needs of the older people in the area. This option was also include the temporarily re-location of the day centre service during building works.

Following questions, Members heard:

- That there wasn't in-house residential care home provision in the Petersfield area, but independent and private provision was available for those requiring this care.

During debate, Members discussed their views on the evidence presented during deputations, from officers and within the report and its appendices. Debate was heard on the suitability of the Bulmer House building, the strategic approach of the County Council and the views of stakeholders. Members agreed that the need to ensure that the welfare of current residents should be the focal priority in the whole process. They wished to put on record their appreciation of the staff who provided high quality care to residents and the families and carers at Bulmer House.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Bulmer House residential care home in Petersfield and suitable alternative accommodation is sought for residents that meets their care needs.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to dispose of the Bulmer House site for the purposes of developing an Extra Care assisted living scheme and that the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme should incorporate facilities for older persons' day services.'

An additional recommendation was proposed by Cllr Alan Dowden and seconded by Cllr Marge Harvey:

'That should there be a disposal of the Bulmer House site, a request be made to the purchaser of the site to retain the name Bulmer House or similar, in any future development of the site.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendations to Cabinet as set out below.

'That Bulmer House Day Centre is temporarily relocated within Petersfield during the redevelopment of the Bulmer House site, until such time as the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme is completed.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED:

That the following recommendations be endorsed without amendment to the Cabinet for decision:

1. That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Nightingale Lodge residential care home in Romsey, and suitable alternative accommodation is sought for residents that meets their care needs.
2. That permission is granted by Cabinet to dispose of the Nightingale Lodge site for the purposes of developing an Extra Care assisted living scheme and that the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme should incorporate facilities for older persons' day services.
3. That Master's House Day Centre is temporarily relocated within Romsey during the redevelopment of the Nightingale Lodge site, until such time as the proposed new Extra Care assisted living scheme is completed.

The Committee proposed an additional recommendation, which is worded as follows:

4. That should there be a disposal of the Bulmer House site, a request be made to the purchaser of the site to retain the name Bulmer House or similar, in any future development of the site.

Cranleigh Paddock Residential Care Home and Day Centre, Lyndhurst

The Committee received an overview of the proposals from the Director of Adult Services.

Members heard that Cranleigh Paddock is a 30 bed residential care home, currently containing 21 residents, which was built in 1980. The recommendation to Cabinet is for the home to remain open, and for Hampshire County Council to work with New Forest District Council to further develop specialist dementia facilities on the site. Additionally, it would be recommended to Cabinet that Cranleigh Paddock Day Centre be closed, with the services reprovided at the nearby 'Fenwick 2' day centre.

Following the consultation and other testing over this period of time, the Committee heard that it was agreed that closing Cranleigh Paddock with result in a gap in dementia care in the New Forest area, as independent and private sector provision isn't sufficient enough to replace the beds that

would be lost if the home were to close. Also provided were the details of testing of the Cranleigh Paddock site, which found it not to be a viable option for extra care assisted living development, owing to both the size of the site and restrictive covenants and constraints on development.

The range of options considered for Cranleigh Paddock, contained within the report in section 9, was provided to the Committee. The preferred option was to retain Cranleigh Paddock, as it was felt that this would retain specialist dementia services in the New Forest, and better fitted Adult Services' approach to meeting the future needs of the older people in the area. This option would require discussion with New Forest District Council, the owner of adjacent sheltered housing, to explore further development of the site as a specialist dementia care facility.

Following questions, Members heard:

- That the Department was willing to consider the provision of additional services within Cranleigh Paddock as part of their discussions with New Forest District Council, including end of life care.
- That the number of beds to be provided from Cranleigh Paddock in future will be no less than 30 beds.

During debate, Members discussed their views on the evidence presented during deputations, from officers and within the report and its appendices. Members agreed that it was important to ensure adequate specialist dementia provision with the New Forest, and noted that the views of stakeholders to this end had resulted in the recommendation before Cabinet. Members agreed the welfare of current residents should be the focal priority in the process to agree future provision of services with New Forest District Council. They wished to put on record their appreciation of the staff who provided high quality care to residents at the Cranleigh Paddock Day Centre.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

'That Cabinet recognises that Cranleigh Paddock residential care home makes a significant contribution to specialist dementia care in the New Forest and that it should remain open.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to work with New

Forest District Council to explore the possibility of developing the combined site for specialist dementia care.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

'That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Cranleigh Paddock Day Centre, subject to suitable alternative day care provision being found for the current users of this service in the local area.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED:

That the following recommendations be endorsed without amendment to the Cabinet for decision:

1. That Cabinet recognises that Cranleigh Paddock residential care home makes a significant contribution to specialist dementia care in the New Forest and that it should remain open.
2. That permission is granted by Cabinet to work with New Forest District Council to explore the possibility of developing the combined site for specialist dementia care.
3. That permission is granted by Cabinet to close Cranleigh Paddock Day Centre, subject to suitable alternative day care provision being found for the current users of this service in the local area.

Members also considered the recommendations to be considered by Cabinet which were applicable to all four Residential Care Homes and one Day Centre.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

'That Cabinet considers the responses to the consultation and considers the Equalities Impact Assessment including the proposed best practice associated with any moves for current residents in relation to the proposals contained in this paper.'

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

That Cabinet recognises the significant contribution made by the staff at all four of the homes and the associated day

centres.

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

That Cabinet supports efforts in the homes and day centre that are recommended for closure, to redeploy those staff that choose this option and to offer enhanced redundancy terms should the decision be made to close the three homes and Cranleigh Paddock Day Centre.

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

A recorded vote took place on the endorsement of the recommendation to Cabinet as set out below.

That Cabinet approves the use of up to £10m of the £45m Extra Care funding to support the development of smaller Extra Care schemes around the county to meet the needs of younger adults with care needs.

The recommendation was unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED:

That the following recommendations be endorsed without amendment to the Cabinet for decision:

1. That Cabinet considers the responses to the consultation and considers the Equalities Impact Assessment including the proposed best practice associated with any moves for current residents in relation to the proposals contained in this paper.
2. That Cabinet recognises the significant contribution made by the staff at all four of the homes and the associated day centres.
3. That Cabinet supports efforts in the homes and day centre that are recommended for closure, to redeploy those staff that choose this option and to offer enhanced redundancy terms should the decision be made to close the three homes and Cranleigh Paddock Day Centre.
4. That Cabinet approves the use of up to £10m of the £45m Extra Care funding to support the development of smaller Extra Care schemes around the county to meet the needs of younger adults with care needs.

Councillor Keith Evans left the meeting at this point in proceedings.

27. **ADULT SAFEGUARDING**

The Committee received a report from the Director of Adult Services on Adult Safeguarding within Hampshire (take in report, Item 7 in the Minute Book).

RESOLVED:

That the next adult safeguarding update is provided to the Select Committee at the May 2014 meeting.

28. **WORK PROGRAMME**

The Director of Policy and Governance presented the work programme (Item 8 in the Minute Book).

RESOLVED:

That the work programme is agreed.

Update work programme

Chairman, 24 January 2014