

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Culture and Recreation
Date:	26 September 2011
Title:	Proposal to apply for a Traffic Regulation Order on Kingsley Byway Open to All Traffic No.29 and Headley Byway Open to All Traffic No.36, also known as Cradle Lane
Reference:	3199
Report From:	Director of Culture, Communities and Business Services

Contact name: Vicky Bowskill

Tel: 01962 846891

Email: vicky.bowskill@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- a) It is recommended that Hampshire County Council should advertise its intention to introduce a **permanent** Traffic Regulation Order under section 1 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, to prohibit motor vehicles with three or more wheels from proceeding along Kingsley BOAT 29 and Headley BOAT 36, also known as Cradle Lane, as shown on the attached plan.
- b) It is further recommended that Hampshire County Council should advertise its intention to introduce a **seasonal** Traffic Regulation Order, to be in force between 01 September and 31 May each year, under section 1 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, to prohibit two wheel motor vehicles from proceeding along Kingsley BOAT 29 and Headley BOAT 36, also known as Cradle Lane, during that period, as shown on the attached plan. The impact of seasonal use by motorcycles to be closely monitored and the Traffic Regulation Order to be reviewed prior to 31 May 2012 to assess its continued suitability.
- c) Once comments have been received on this proposal from interested parties a further report should be prepared for the Executive Member seeking approval for the making of the abovementioned Traffic Regulation Orders, should it still be considered appropriate to do so in light of those comments.

2. Reason(s) for the decision:

- a) Cradle Lane has been subject to temporary closures for an extensive period due to damage caused by inappropriate vehicular use. A significant sum has been spent on repairing the surface and it is currently closed to all motorised users whilst the works have been allowed to settle.

- b) The above Traffic Regulation Orders have been proposed in order to protect this investment and prevent further damage to the surface, to prevent vehicular use that is unsuitable having regard to the rural character of the route and to preserve the character of this road that is specially suitable for use on horseback or on foot.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

- a) Following surface repairs during winter 2010/11 the route was subject to a temporary closure to all users to allow the surface to settle. The route was then made available to walkers, cyclists, horse riders and horse-drawn carriages in turn during 2011. Through this staged reopening of the route the appropriate level of restriction has been tested so that the least-restrictive option can be taken for the long term.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- a) Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
None.
- b) Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:
None.

5. Dispensation granted by the Standards Committee: none.

6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:	Date:
-----	26 September 2011
Executive Member for Culture and Recreation Councillor K. Chapman	