

## Additional Modifications to the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan

## General / formatting

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC1	-	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Updated front page.</li> </ul>	Will only be shown in the final document.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update.</li> </ul>
DC2	-	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Document formatting / typo / formatting changes</li> <li>Ensure the sizes of titles within text are consistent throughout the document. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All references to 'eco town' / 'Eco Town' say 'Eco-town'.</li> <li>Use of semi-colons after bullets (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>Where other policies are referred to we should make these more apparent e.g. in <i>italic</i> (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>Add source of data to all relevant tables and figures throughout the document. (Where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>Change the font in publishing software to Gill Sans.</li> <li>Review the size of the margins of the document in publishing software.</li> <li>Make reference to Inset Map consistent throughout the document.</li> <li>Make sections titles links within the publishing software.</li> <li>Update footnotes (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>Update references to the NPPF and associated text as required (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>Add inclusion of silica sand as a mineral for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>As required, throughout the document.</p> <p>Will only be shown in the final document.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Formatting.</li> <li>Typo.</li> <li>Grammar.</li> <li>Formatting.</li> <li>Clarification.</li> <li>Formatting.</li> <li>Formatting.</li> <li>Consistency.</li> <li>Formatting.</li> <li>Clarification.</li> <li>Clarification.</li> <li>Grammar.</li> <li>Clarification.</li> <li>Typo.</li> <li>Correction.</li> <li>Typo, additional clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			<p>consideration within the Plan (where not covered by another modification).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update references to 'unplanned' to 'unallocated' in terms of aggregates supply.</li> <li>• General grammatical updates / corrections (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>• General factual updates (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>• Typos (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>• Delete occasions of repeated text (where not covered by another modification).</li> <li>• Updates to the glossary, as required (if not covered by other modifications).</li> </ul>		
DC3	-	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update all policy references throughout document where the number or title has changed.</li> </ul>	Policy 1: Sustainable minerals and waste development Policy 2: Climate change-mitigation and adaptation Policy 3: Protection of habitats and species Policy 4: Protection of the designated landscape Policy 5: Protection of the countryside Policy 6: South West Hampshire Green Belt Policy 7: Conserving the historic environment and heritage assets Policy 8: Protection of soils Policy 9: Restoration of quarries and waste developments Policy 10: Protecting public health, safety and amenity Policy 11: Flood risk and prevention Policy 12: Managing traffic Policy 13: High-quality design of minerals and waste development Policy 14: Community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Formatting</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				benefits Policy 15: Safeguarding - mineral resources Policy 16: Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure Policy 17: Aggregate supply – capacity and source Policy 18: Recycled and secondary aggregates development Policy 19: Aggregate wharves and rail depots Policy 20: Local land-won aggregates Policy 21 Silica sand development Policy 22: Brick-making clay Policy 23: Chalk development Policy 24: Oil and gas development Policy 25: Sustainable waste management Policy 26: Safeguarding - waste infrastructure Policy 27: Capacity for waste management development Policy 28: Energy recovery development Policy 29: Locations and sites for waste management Policy 30: Construction, demolition and excavation waste development Policy 31: Liquid waste and waste water management Policy 32: Non-hazardous waste landfill Policy 33: Hazardous and low level radioactive waste Policy 34: Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure	

### Contents page

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC4	-		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improve the formatting of the contents page out as some sections appear on separate pages.</li> </ul>	Change page breaks. Will only be shown in the final document.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Formatting</li> </ul>

## Vision and spatial strategy

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC5	9	Paragraph 2.6  (Where are we now)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add statement at the end of the paragraph introducing the map at the end of paragraph 2.6.</li> <li>• Move figure 4 from the section on spatial strategy to after paragraph 2.6 and amend the map to make the annotations clearer.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add new introduction to map:</b> Environmental designations both within and outside of the plan area are highlighted on the following map.</p> <p><b>Add figure 4 to the end of paragraph 2.6 as noted and make the Hampshire boundary clearer.</b> (See figure which follows this table)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introductory text. Better placement.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC6	9	Paragraph 2.8  (Where are we now)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add statement at the end of the paragraph introducing the map.</li> <li>• Add map showing Hampshire's communities.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add statement introducing the map:</b> The following map highlights some of Hampshire's main communities.</p> <p><b>Add map at the end of paragraph 2.8:</b> (See map which follows this table)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• To highlight Hampshire's communities as discussed in the text.</li> </ul>
DC7	10	Paragraph 2.13  (Where are we now)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moved figure 3 from the section on spatial strategy to after paragraph 2.13. Added waste facilities onto the map.</li> <li>• Rename 'mineral resources and existing major strategic waste infrastructure in Hampshire'.</li> <li>• Add silica sand to the map.</li> <li>• Add introduction to the map.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add new introduction to map:</b> The main aggregate supply areas<sup>1</sup> and existing waste facilities are shown on the following map.</p> <p><b>Amend map and move from spatial strategy section:</b> 'Mineral resources, quarries and existing major strategic waste infrastructure in Hampshire'. (See map which follows this table)</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 1) Minerals in Hampshire – Background Study, section 4.14 (Hampshire Authorities, 2013)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better placement. To correct omission.</li> <li>• To improve the title description</li> <li>• Due to introduction of new policy on silica sand.</li> <li>• Introductory text.</li> </ul>
Post March	11	Paragraph 2.19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove reference to the South East Plan.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text to reflect changes in policy and</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The order to revoke the South</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
hearing change - DC2 56		(Other Plans and Programmes)		<p><b>guidance:</b></p> <p>The Government published the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in 2012, replacing all existing policy, circulars and guidance. The Framework does not contain specific waste policies, since national waste-planning policy will be published alongside the National Waste Management Plan for England. The Plan has taken into account national policy as expressed in the NPPF. The plan also takes into account Marine Plans. Government circulars and guidance also cover a range of issues.</p>	East plan came into effect on 25 March 2013. It is therefore no longer relevant to include such a reference.
DC8	11	<p>Following paragraph 2.21</p> <p>(Other plans and programmes)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add additional text relating to the objectives of the Hampshire Sustainable Community Strategy 2008-2018.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be included in the Plan:</b></p> <p>The Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan including the Vision-reflect the aspirations of these documents. In particular, the Plan progresses ambitions 3 and 10 expressed in the Hampshire Sustainable Community Strategy 2008-2018.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ambition 3 ('Infrastructure and services are developed to support economic and housing growth whilst protecting the environment and quality of life') is supported by the provision of minerals and waste infrastructure in the Plan. Protection of the environment and quality of life is considered</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To show the links between the Plan and Hampshire Sustainable Community Strategy 2008-2018 more clearly.</li> </ul>


Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>throughout the Plan, but particularly in policies 1 – 14.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Ambition 10</b> ('Hampshire is acclaimed for conserving and using natural resources more efficiently, and for reducing and adapting to the effects of climate change') is specifically supported through <i>Policy 2 (Climate change – mitigation and adaptation)</i> and more generally through the approach on encouraging the use of secondary aggregates and dealing with waste in the most sustainable manner possible.</li> </ul>	
DC20	11	<p>After paragraph 2.21</p> <p>(Other plans and programmes)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional text on the MMO to be added</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added:</b> The Marine Management Organisation will have planning justification for the South Inshore and South Offshore plans from early 2013 which will cover the area between Dover and the River Dart in Devon. In the absence of marine plans, the Marine Policy Statement should be taken account of during the development of terrestrial plans. When the draft marine plans for the South plan areas are out to consultation, they will be a material consideration for decision-makers.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Following response received as part of the consultation from the MMO and for clarification on the role of the MMO.</li> </ul>
DC12	15	<p>Figures 3 and 4</p> <p>(Spatial Strategy)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete figures 3 and 4 from the spatial strategy section.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Delete figure:</b> Figures to be moved into Hampshire 2011 section, as previously noted.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised position.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC2 08  DC1 3	19	Paragraphs 2.48-2.49 and the Key Diagram	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend legend structure, some layers and terminology on Key Diagram.</li> <li>• Amend diagram to include the South West Hampshire Green Belt.</li> <li>• Merge paragraph 2.49 with paragraph 2.48.</li> <li>• Delete section on what we need to get there.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Merge paragraph 2.49 with paragraph 2.48.</b></p> <p>The components of the spatial strategy are illustrated on the Key Diagram. It shows the main supply sources for aggregates, the main areas of different types of waste development interest and the principal constraints. The Key Diagram is intended to be a diagrammatic interpretation of the Spatial Strategy set out in this chapter and is not intended to portray any specific site activity or proposal with spatial accuracy. The remaining sections of the Plan develop the Spatial Strategy's principles and address non-strategic minerals and waste development. Specific details relating to the policies are shown on the Policies Map.</p> <p><b>Amendments to Key Diagram:</b> (See diagram following the table)</p> <p><b>Legend terminology changed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hazardous waste management provision to Hazardous waste sites</li> <li>• Non-hazardous landfill to Landfills</li> <li>• Existing strategic waste-management sites to Major strategic waste sites (recovery / recycling)</li> <li>• Wharves to Aggregate wharves</li> <li>• Rail depots to Aggregate rail depots</li> <li>• Strategic road</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To align with Policy 29 text. For clarification. Updates to layers.</li> <li>• To align the Key Diagram with Policy 5.</li> <li>• Sits better in revised placement.</li> </ul>


Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>network to Strategic roads</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential long-term safeguarding of wharves to Potential wharves (safeguarding)</li> <li>• Indicative locations of waste sites adjoining counties to Waste sites – neighbouring counties (indicative)</li> <li>• Local sand and gravel extraction to Local aggregate (sand and gravel) extraction</li> <li>• AONB to Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</li> </ul> <p><b>Additions to Legend/ Diagram:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add brickworks</li> <li>• Add strategic road corridors</li> <li>• Add urban areas in north-east and south Hampshire</li> <li>• Add oil and gas sites</li> </ul> <p><b>Legend structure changed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Legend has also been split by following sub headings: Minerals, Waste, Constraints / communication</li> </ul> <p><b>Annotations changed:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aggregate supply flows arrow added to legend under minerals</li> <li>• Remove Area for biological treatment of waste</li> <li>• Remove Area for enclosed / waste activities</li> <li>• Remove Area for waste transfer, recycling and recovery incl. Aggregate recycling</li> </ul>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p><b>Changes to the layers:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strategic roads – additional roads added to network e.g. A338, A36, M271, A339 and A33</li> <li>• Local aggregates (sand and gravel) extraction – area on western side of New Forest moved to lie over Roeshot, Area running north of Hamble Airfield cut back closer to Hamble peninsula</li> <li>• Potential wharves (safeguarding) – area cut back from north of Portsea Island</li> <li>• Aggregate rail depots – duplicate point at Eastleigh removed</li> <li>• Add the South West Hampshire Green Belt</li> </ul>	
DC14	20	<p>Paragraph 2.50 and Table 2.2</p> <p>(What we need to get there)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete paragraph 2.50.</li> <li>• Removal of table 2.2 from Plan and consequential text changes. Table 2.2 to be added to evidence base HMWP139.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Deletion of paragraph 2.50:</b></p> <p><b>Deletion of table:</b> Table 2.2 removed from the Pan and added into the evidence base – HMWP139.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text is no longer required.</li> <li>• Table sits better in the evidence base, to show how the Plan links to wider issues.</li> </ul>


Proposed change DC6



Proposed change DC7



DC208 – Key Diagram



## Protecting Hampshire's environment

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 210 DC 16	24	Figure 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to figure 6 to illustrate the South West Hampshire Green Belt.</li> <li>• Make annotations for AONB clearer.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendment to map:</b> Map is amended to include the South West Hampshire Green Belt and amendments to existing annotations.</p> <p><i>(See map which follows this table).</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification and information.</li> <li>• As above.</li> </ul>
DC 17	25	Climate change  (Policy 1 (now Policy 2))	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes to criterion 'a' to make reference to sustainable use of resources.</li> <li>• Changes to criterion 'b' to make reference energy recovery facilities and to facilitate low carbon technologies.</li> <li>• Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 2: Climate change - mitigation and adaptation</b></p> <p><b>Minerals and waste development should minimise their impacts on the causes of climate change.</b></p> <p><b>Where applicable, minerals and waste development should reduce vulnerability and provide resilience to impact of climate change by:</b></p> <p>a) <b>being located and designed to help reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the more sustainable use of resources; or</b></p> <p>b) <b>developing energy recovery facilities and to facilitate low carbon technologies; and</b></p> <p>c) <b>avoiding areas of</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not considered to be a major change as the introduction of criterion is already covered elsewhere in the Plan and just provides additional links to these issues</li> <li>• Not considered to be a major change as the introduction of criterion is already covered elsewhere in the Plan and just provides additional links to</li> </ul>

Ref .	Pag e	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justificatio n for the modificati on
				<b>vulnerability to climate change and flood risk or otherwise incorporate adaptation measures.</b>	these issues. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change to policy number required due to introduction of new Policy 1.</li> </ul>
Post March hearing change – DC 259	26	Habitats and wildlife - Section title	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change title of the section from 'Habitats and wildlife' to 'Habitats and species'.</li> <li>• Amend all associated references throughout the Plan.</li> </ul>	<b>Change title of the section:</b> 'Habitats and species'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For consistency with title of Policy 3.</li> <li>• As above.</li> </ul>
DC 18	25	Paragraph 3.5  (Introduction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the fourth bullet point of paragraph 3.5.</li> <li>• Amendments to the fifth bullet point of paragraph 3.5.</li> <li>• Amendments to the sixth bullet point of paragraph 3.5.</li> <li>• Add additional bullet relating to how locating developments help greenhouse gases.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Minerals and waste development can provide opportunities to mitigate and adapt to the inevitable effects of climate change. This may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reduction in greenhouse gases through diverting biodegradable waste from landfill;</li> <li>• generation of renewable energy through energy from waste facilities;</li> <li>• more sustainable use of resources through the use of recycled and secondary aggregates in construction;</li> <li>• appropriate restoration of quarries and landfill sites;</li> <li>• supplying aggregates</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification</li> <li>• Clarify how locating developments help greenhouse gases.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Pag e	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justificatio n for the modificati on
				<p>for use in flood and coastal defences;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>opportunities for water storage in flood zones (e.g. mineral extraction); and</li> <li>the location of development adjacent to local markets.</li> </ul>	
DC 19	26	Paragraph 3.11  (Habitats and species)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification of the first and second bullet.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Internationally important sites and species include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Special Protection Areas (SPAs) - Protected sites and species in accordance with Article 4 of the EU Birds Directive;</li> <li>Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) - Protected habitats and species as set out in EU Habitats Directive Annexes I and II;</li> <li>Ramsar sites - protected important wetland habitats in accordance with the Ramsar convention; and</li> <li>'European Protected Species' - as listed in the EU Habitats Directive Annex IV.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 211	27	Paragraph 3.14  (Habitats and species)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add reference to nationally important sites as considered in Hampshire</li> <li>Add reference to Nature Improvement Areas in the list of nationally important sites, habitats due to inclusion in the</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to existing text:</b> Nationally important sites and species in the plan area include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs);</li> <li>National Nature</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification that the</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			NPPF.	Reserves (NNRs); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Nature Reserves (LNRs) (where correspond with SSSIs);</li> <li>• Species of animal and plant listed in the schedules of Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) (as amended) and Badger Act 1992;</li> <li>• Ancient Woodland; and</li> <li>• Nature Improvement Areas.</li> </ul>	sites are considered to be important to Hampshire <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Following response received as part of the consultation. New information on NIA's was submitted by Natural England as part of the proposed changes consultation. To meet requirements of paragraph 157 of the NPPF.</li> </ul>
DC 21	30	Following paragraph 3.28  (Landscape and countryside - designated landscape)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definition major developments to supporting text.</li> <li>• Text relevant to the landscape character to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after paragraph 3.28.</li> </ul>	<b>Add new paragraph after paragraph 3.28 following the policy as follows:</b> In the case of minerals and waste proposals, all applications are defined by the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2010 as 'major'. However, for the purpose of this policy only, major minerals and waste development is	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>considered to be development that by reason of its scale, character or nature, has the potential to have a significant adverse impact on the natural beauty, wildlife, cultural heritage and recreational opportunities provided by the national parks or the natural beauty, distinctive character, and remote and tranquil nature of the AONBs. The potential for significant impacts on the national parks and AONBs will be dependent on the individual characteristics of each case.</p> <p>Any local or community landscape character assessments or similar community-led planning initiatives (such as village design statements) should also be considered when determining the potential impacts of mineral and waste developments.</p>	
DC 23	31	Paragraph 3.31  (Landscape and countryside - Countryside)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete last sentence of paragraph 3.31.</li> <li>• Text relevant to the public rights of way to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy.</li> <li>• Change to reference to policy numbering in text.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Where minerals or landfill sites are located close to, or would directly impact a statutory public right of way footpath network, measures should be put in place to protect or divert the route (for a temporary or	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Repetition .</li> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>permanent period, as appropriate). This includes adopted public footpaths, bridleways and cycle routes.</p> <p>Where minerals and waste sites are located close to or would directly impact upon a permissive footpath, the use of this route for public access will be considered as part of any planning application which may have an impact. Permissive footpaths do not carry the same weight as adopted public rights of way.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes to policy numbering required.</li> </ul>
DC 24	31	Paragraph 3.33  (Landscape and countryside - Counterside)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy at the end of paragraph 3.33.</li> <li>Plan back into the supporting text for the policy.</li> <li>Update policy numbering.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add at the end of paragraph 3.33 from the Implementation Plan:</b> The design of minerals and waste developments is considered in more detail in the section on '<u>Design, construction and operation of minerals and waste development</u>'. The restoration of mineral and waste developments can lead to enhanced public access and additional recreation uses. This is considered in the section on '<u>Restoration of quarries and waste developments</u>'.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>
DC 25	32	Paragraph 3.36  (South West Hamps)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to the paragraph.</li> <li>Clarification of NPPF position on green belts to be added to the Plan at end of paragraph 3.36.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> National planning policy (34) requires local planning authorities to plan positively to support</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>NPPF requirement and for clarification</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		hire Green Belt)		the purpose of the Green Belt by avoiding inappropriate Green Belt. Mineral extraction is not considered to be inappropriate in the Green Belt provided that it preserves the openness of the Green Belt and does not conflict with the purposes of including land in the Green Belt. This is because it is a temporary use and should continue to contribute to the separation of settlements and should not conflict with the purposes of including land in the Green Belt <sup>1</sup> . National planning policy also recognises the particular locational needs of some types of waste management facilities when defining detailed Green Belt boundaries and in determining planning applications. It indicates that these locational needs, together with the wider environmental and economic benefits of sustainable waste management, are material considerations that should be given significant weight in determining whether proposals should be given planning permission.	n.
DC 212	32	Following	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional reference to limited infilling or the</li> </ul>	<b>Add the following text following paragraph</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To meet NPPF and</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> National Planning Policy Framework, Paragraph 133-145 (DCLG, 2012)

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 27		paragraph 3.37  (South West Hampshire Green Belt)	<p>partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed sites (NPPF paragraph 89, final bullet) to be added following paragraph 3.37.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognition of the particular locational needs of some types of waste facilities (the PPS 10 point, paragraph 3) to be added following paragraph 3.37.</li> <li>• Recognition that the construction of new minerals or waste buildings would be inappropriate to be added following paragraph 3.37.</li> <li>• Update policy numbering.</li> <li>• Add link to the policy on restoration in terms of beneficial uses.</li> <li>• Deletion of repeated text.</li> </ul>	<p><b>3.37:</b> Limited infilling or the partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed sites (brownfield land), whether redundant or in continuing uses (excluding temporary buildings), which would not have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt and the purposes of including the land within it may be permitted where the openness and the purposes of the green belt are not greatly impacted<sup>11</sup>.</p> <p>The disposal of waste can play a part in the restoration of mineral workings, and may therefore be acceptable in the green belt. Restoration within the Green Belt may provide opportunities to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt. Restoration is considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Restoration of quarries and waste developments'</u>.</p> <p>The development of permanent waste facilities would be judged on the locational needs of the development. This, together with the wider environmental and economic benefits of sustainable waste management are material considerations</p>	<p>PSS10 requirements.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> <li>• Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> <li>• Repetition</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>that should be given significant weight in determining whether proposals should be given planning permission. The same approach is also adopted for mineral workings and permanent waste development in Strategic or Local Gaps, where appropriate.</p> <p>It is recognised that there are particular locational needs for some types of waste management uses which may lead to the need to locate such facilities in the Green Belt. In such instances, these locational needs need to be given significant weight together with wider environmental and economic factors. The construction of new permanent minerals and waste buildings is not considered to be appropriate within the Green Belt.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 11) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 89 (DCLG, 2012)</p>	
DC 28	33	Policy 6 (now policy 7) (Heritage)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add footnote to Historic Building Record in relation to locally recognised assets.</li> <li>• Add missing 'should' into the policy wording</li> <li>• Update policy number.</li> <li>• Add definition of locally recognised assets to the</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 7: Conserving the historic environment and heritage assets</b></p> <p><b>Minerals and waste</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Omission.</li> <li>• Changes to policy numbering required.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			supporting text (see DC29).	<p>development should protect and, wherever possible, enhance Hampshire's historic environment and heritage assets, both designated and non-designated, including the settings of these sites.</p> <p>The following assets will be protected in accordance with their relative importance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) scheduled monuments;</li> <li>b) listed buildings;</li> <li>c) conservation areas;</li> <li>d) registered parks and gardens;</li> <li>e) registered battlefields;</li> <li>f) sites of archaeological importance; and</li> <li>g) other locally recognised assets.</li> </ul> <p>Minerals and waste development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of historical assets unless it is demonstrated that the need for and benefits of the development decisively outweigh these interests.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 29	34	Before paragraph 3.40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add statement on local recognised assets and what they are. Text moved from a footnote</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add the following text following the policy before paragraph 3.40:</b> Information on</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification of terms.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(Heritage)	<p>into the supporting text.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New text to be added in from the Implementation Plan before paragraph 3.40 relating to assessment.</li> </ul>	<p>non-designated locally recognised assets can be found on the Historic Environment Record held by the relevant local planning authority.</p> <p>Any decision on planning applications for minerals and waste development should be informed by an assessment, proportionate to the circumstances, of any impacts on the historic environment. This should include an appropriate level of field investigation if necessary.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised location.</li> </ul>
DC 30	35	Paragraph 3.48 (Soils)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional text relating to the protection of soils before first sentence of paragraph 3.48.</li> <li>• Additional text relating to relating to long term potential for BMV and soils resources after first sentence in paragraph 3.48.</li> <li>• Update policy numbering.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to paragraph 3.48:</b> Minerals and waste development should not result in the needless loss of best and most versatile agricultural land or other quality soil resources. Soils displaced for minerals development must be adequately protected and maintained throughout the life of the development, particularly if a site comprises land that qualifies as BMV agricultural land (agricultural land classification grades 1, 2 and 3a). Minerals and waste development should safeguard the long-term potential of best and most versatile agricultural land and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acknowledge the importance of soils protection.</li> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>• Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				secure the sustainable use of soils as a resource for the future. Minerals and waste development should ensure protection of soils during construction and operation and, when appropriate, recover and enhance soil resources. The protection of soils will need to be considered in detail for restoration and aftercare schemes on agricultural land. The restoration of minerals and waste developments is considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Restoration of quarries and waste'</u>	
DC 213 DC 31	36	Paragraph 3.52  (Restoration of quarries and landfills)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy in various bullet points in paragraph 3.52.</li> <li>• Add reference to Nature Improvement Areas in the list of areas where restoration can contribute to local objectives.</li> <li>• Add reference to opportunities for water storage.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to existing text:</b> The restoration of mineral extraction and landfill sites should include at least one of the following aims subject to its financial viability, the suitability of the site to incorporate restoration aims and deliverability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• improved public access to the natural environment through the creation of enhanced access as well as leisure and amenity opportunities. This may include the creation of green spaces (such as parks, woods etc), improvements to the strategic right of way</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>• Following response received as part of the consultation. Due to changes to paragraph 3.14.</li> <li>• Omission.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>network, provision of additional footpaths and cycle routes, provision of sites for other recreational uses and the provision of environmental education facilities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creation of habitats for wildlife and enhanced biodiversity to improve the natural environment, improve biodiversity and deliver biodiversity gains to degraded habitats, or help reverse the breakdown of habitats, as appropriate.</li> <li>• contribute to local objectives for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the provision of green infrastructure;</li> <li>• designated site conservation objectives;</li> <li>• Nature Improvement Areas (NIAs);</li> <li>• Biodiversity Opportunity Areas (BOAs); and</li> <li>• any other local targets linked to ongoing management.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• reinstatement, restoration or enhancement of the landscape character of the area. Restoration must be in keeping with the landscape character</li> </ul>	

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>of the wider areas as well as the setting. Restoration schemes should contribute to the purposes of the New Forest and South Downs National Parks, where appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• improve accessibility of the historic environment by interpreting finds from archaeological investigations, improved access to historic sites, and / or publicising the results of archaeological investigations. Restoration can also provide opportunities to enhance areas of the historic environment in some instances, by improving the setting of buildings and monuments.</li> <li>• provide for adaptation or mitigation of impacts of climate change, opportunities for water storage and management, flood water storage and the creation of new areas of vegetation and habitats to absorb carbon and mitigate the impacts of sea level rise and the provision of green spaces to help with 'urban cooling'. Improvement to habitats and biodiversity may</li> </ul>	

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>allow for the creation of green corridors which can help link important habitats whilst also playing a role in mitigating and adapting to climate change. Mitigation and adaptation should be incorporated into restoration schemes where possible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Management of water resources including provision of agricultural reservoirs, public water storage and flood water storage where appropriate to the local environment. These may also provide opportunities to mitigate and adapt to climate change.</li> </ul> <p><i>[Text continues as before]</i></p>	
DC 32	36	<p>Paragraph 3.53</p> <p>(Restoration of quarries and waste developments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy at the end of paragraph 3.53.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add text from the Implementation Plan after paragraph 3.53:</b> Following the restoration of some minerals or landfill sites, there may be some instances where the site is developed for other built developments. This may include the provision of open space as part of a wider (non-minerals and waste) development, housing or other forms of non-minerals and waste development.</p> <p><b>Add after paragraph</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<b>3.53:</b> ...Where minerals or landfill sites are located close to or affect a public right of way footpath network, measures should be put in place to protect or divert the route (for a temporary or permanent period, as appropriate). This is considered in the section on ' <u>Landscape and countryside</u> '.	
DC 214 DC 33	37	Paragraph 3.54  (Restoration of quarries and waste developments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy to the end of paragraph 3.54.</li> <li>Delete some existing text on restoration in bird strike zones and replace with revised text.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add to end of paragraph 3.54 text from the Implementation Plan:</b> Where mineral and waste sites are located in 'bird-strike' zones, the restoration of a site will need to take this into account. This is considered in the section on '<u>Protecting public health, safety and amenity</u>'. This is of particular importance when designing restoration schemes at sites located in 'bird-strike' zones for biodiversity afteruse's. For example, restoration and aftercare at sites located within 'bird-strike' zones should take account of the need for progressive working and restoration, to prevent open water bodies becoming bird roosts.- The issue of risk to aircraft from bird-strike is an important consideration which may restrict the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification of existing text.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>location of workings and/or affect the design of restoration schemes. Some areas of open water may be created but careful use of inert fill and other design and engineering techniques can lead to creation of wetland habitats that offer lower bird-strike risk and are also of greater value for biodiversity.</p> <p>In a small number of instances, minerals and waste development may result in significant impacts on habitats which cannot be avoided or mitigated, or there may be a loss of habitat. In these instances, the provision of new areas of like-for-like habitats as compensatory habitats will be required to ensure that there is no overall net loss of habitats. These should be located either within or close to the proposed development. If significant harm cannot be avoided, mitigated against, or adequately compensated for, planning permission could be refused if the needs for the development do not outweigh the biodiversity interests at the site. Compensatory habitats are considered in more the section on '<u>Habitats and species</u>'.</p>	


Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				The creation and long-term management (aftercare) of compensatory habitats developed as a result of minerals or waste developments will need to be considered as part of the restoration and aftercare schemes for minerals and waste developments, as appropriate. Specific consideration is required on the ability to re-create habitats and this is an important consideration which must be addressed during the formulation of restoration and aftercare schemes. For example, ancient woodland cannot be re-created and there is a presumption against its loss.	
DC 215	36	Paragraph 3.55  (Restoration of quarries and waste developments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional link to the policy on Green Belt in relation to beneficial uses.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added:</b> The restoration and aftercare of quarries and waste sites is also an important part of ensuring high-quality design of minerals and waste developments. The design of minerals and waste developments is considered in more detail in the section on '<u>Design, construction and operation of minerals and waste development</u>'. The restoration of minerals and waste sites within the South West Hampshire Green Belt should take into account beneficial uses</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				of the site. This is considered in more detail in the section on the ' <a href="#">South West Hampshire Green Belt</a> '.	
DC 216	36	Add to the end of paragraph 3.57  (Restoration of quarries and waste developments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional text linking the policy to Policy 30 on CDE waste for inert recovery.</li> </ul>	<b>Amend the existing text:</b> Significant long-term additional engineering requirements are imposed on landfill, by the Environmental Permitting Regulations (England and Wales) 2010 through Pollution Prevention and Control (PPC) permits administered by the Environment Agency. Restoration of mineral and landfill sites using construction, demolition and excavation wastes is encouraged. This is considered in more detail in the section on ' <a href="#">Construction, demolition and excavation waste development</a> '. This use of construction, demolition and excavation waste is considered to be 'recovery' as it potentially replaces the use of a non-waste material for a beneficial outcome.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification and to show the links between the policies.</li> </ul>
Post March hearing change - DC	37	After end of paragraph after 3.57  (Restoration of	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insert additional paragraph after 3.57 linking to CDE.</li> </ul>	<b>Add additional text:</b> All mineral sites and landfills should in the first instance be restored with the soils, over burden and inert mining wastes arising from the development. An assessment should be undertaken to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
261		quarries and waste developments)		ensure that there will be an adequate and timely supply of suitable material to enable the restoration scheme to proceed. Where it is necessary to import material to ensure the restored site is in keeping with the character and setting of the local area, as reasonably practicable, only residues after treatment of inert construction, demolition and excavation (CDE) waste should be used in the restoration.	
DC 34	37	Paragraph 3.59  (Restoration of quarries and waste developments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after the third sentence and before the end of paragraph 3.59.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> It is necessary to manage restored sites for a period of 'aftercare'. This is to maintain and improve the structure and stability of the soil and to provide for vegetation, helping to ensure a beneficial afteruse. The length of the aftercare period will normally be at least five years and will be negotiated on a case by case basis, depending on the restoration and after uses agreed for a site. A longer aftercare period may need to be negotiated depending on the nature of the development. In some instances, restored sites require long-term management arrangements to maintain them and to</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>ensure that restoration gains such as nature conservation and amenity are maximised. The long term management plans will usually be managed by other environmental organisations. It is important that long-term funding and management schemes are secured and established, as required, to ensure that the aftercare of sites is achievable and sustainable in the longer term.</p>	

**Proposed change DC210:**



## Maintaining Hampshire's communities

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC35	39	Paragraph 4.3  (Introduction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend paragraph 4.3, second sentence - remove reference to the Bill.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The Localism Act empowers local communities to help shape development in the communities in which they live, through greater participation in the process. The Act <i>[text continues as before]</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC37	40	Paragraph 4.13  (Protecting public health, safety and amenity)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional text will be added to the Plan to clarify the role of the Environment Agency into paragraph 4.13.</li> <li>Amendments to paragraph 4.13 to consider 'above appropriate standards...' linking to the changes proposed for Policy 9 on this matter.</li> <li>Update policy numbering.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments existing text as follows:</b> Many of the criteria under <i>Policy 10 (Protecting public health, safety and amenity)</i> will be fulfilled by minerals and waste operators adopting appropriate management systems such as International Standards Organisation controls and other operational controls. Appropriate standards for the control of emissions and protecting water resources are also set by other agencies such as the Environment Agency as part of their responsibility for protecting and improving the environment and as the regulatory body for issuing Environmental Permits as well as local environmental health officers. Often these standards <i>[text continues as before]</i>...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>To define terms included in revised policy.</li> <li>Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>
DC217 DC38	41	Paragraph 4.14  (Protecting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification of buffer zone application in paragraph 4.14.</li> <li>Slight clarification to existing text to make it clearer that the level</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to existing text as follows:</b> The screening of sites <i>[text continues as before]</i>... It is standard practice in Hampshire for</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>As above.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		public health, safety and amenity)	of buffer will be considered on a case-by-case basis.	operational mineral extraction and inert waste recycling sites to have a minimum buffer zone of 100 metres, where appropriate, from the nearest sensitive receptors, such as homes and schools though this distance will be reviewed on a case-by case basis. National planning policy <sup>1</sup> provides further guidance on this issue. Any development close to neighbouring properties (as defined within the Hampshire Statement of Community Involvement) will be advertised via a neighbour notification letter.  <b>Footnote</b> <sup>1</sup> National Planning Policy Framework Technical Guidance, paragraph 22 (DCLG, 2012)	
DC39	41	After paragraph 4.15  (Protecting public health, safety and amenity)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional definition of public strategic infrastructure (e.g. water, electricity, etc) into the supporting text following paragraph 4.15. This links to the changes proposed for Policy 9 (now Policy 10) on this matter (see criteria i).</li> </ul>	<b>Additional text to be added following paragraph 4.15:</b> The location of public strategic infrastructure such as water, electricity and gas networks may also restrict development in some instances.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To define terms included in revised policy.</li> </ul>
DC40	41	Paragraph 4.16  (Protecting public	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete the first sentence of the paragraph and move provisions into the policy.</li> <li>Remove 'the' from the beginning of the first</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Potential cumulative impacts of minerals and waste development are particularly relevant and the... [text continues as	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text already considered in revised policy wording</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		health, safety and amenity)	sentence.	<i>before]</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Typo.</li> </ul>
DC4 1	41	After paragraph 4.18  (Protecting public health, safety and amenity)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text under Policy 31 relevant to policy moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after paragraph 4.18.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added from the implementation plan after paragraph 4.18:</b> For landfill developments, applicants will need to demonstrate that Groundwater Protection and Flood Risk Zones do not underlie the proposed site. Recommended stand-offs from Groundwater Protection Zones and Flood Risk Zones of 250 metres will be required.</p> <p>Differential settlement of quarry backfill and landfills can occur following the completion of operations as filled materials settle. This can cause the uneven settlement of restored land and must be taken into account, through the design, restoration and afteruse of the site.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification. Text moved from the Implementation Plan into the supporting text. To support changes proposed to policy in terms of new criterion from NPPF on differential settlement.</li> </ul>
DC4 2	42	Paragraph 4.22  (Flooding – risk and prevention)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the existing wording of paragraph 4.22.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> National planning policy on flooding aims to steer inappropriate new development to <i>[text continues as before]</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC4 3	42	Paragraph 4.23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added at the end of paragraph 4.23 text</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text lifted from</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(Flooding-risk and prevention)	Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy at the end of paragraph 4.23.	<b>from the Implementation Plan:</b> The Flood and Water Management Act 2010 creates a new role for county and unitary authorities as Lead Local Flood Authorities giving them responsibility for taking appropriate measures to manage and co-ordinate public sector response to flood risk in their areas. New duties included under the Act include a duty to prepare a Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS), to establish a register and record of significant public flood features, to designate privately owned significant flood risk features and to become responsible for approving, adopting and maintaining Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). Implementation of policies and proposals in this plan should have regard to these duties and should reflect the requirements of the LFRMS as it evolves.	the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.
DC44	42	Paragraph 4.24  (Flooding-risk and prevention)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the wording of paragraph 4.24</li> <li>• Update policy numbering.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Mineral deposits have to be worked where they are found and these are often located in flood-risk areas. Mineral extraction and processing can take place in flood-risk areas, provided any potential impact on the site and surrounding area is adequately managed so that the risk of flooding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Changes to policy numbering.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				does not increase. Mineral extraction may provide opportunities for flood water to be alleviated, by providing water storage when the area is restored. The restoration of quarries and waste developments is considered in more detail in the section on ' <u>Restoration of quarries and waste developments</u> '.	
DC45	42	Paragraph 4.25  (Flooding-risk and prevention)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after the first sentence of paragraph 4.25.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Existing waste developments have the potential to pollute water resources if they are at risk from flooding. Landfill and hazardous waste facilities will not be permitted in Flood Risk Zones 3a and 3b. The protection of water [text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> </ul>
DC219 DC46	43	Paragraph 4.28  (Managing traffic impacts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add a reference to national planning policy in paragraph 4.28.</li> <li>Removal of explanation marks around reference to national planning policy.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> National Planning Policy supports the 'opportunities for sustainable transport and the provision of safe and suitable access associated with development and the use of alternative methods of transport for minerals and waste development'. [text continues as before]</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>Typo.</li> </ul>
DC47	43	Policy 11 (now Policy 12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to policy wording.</li> <li>Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b> <b>Policy 12: Managing traffic</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes to wording for clarification</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(Managing traffic)		<p><b>Minerals and waste development should have a safe and suitable access to the highway network and where possible minimise the impact of its generated traffic through the use of alternative methods of transportation such as sea, rail, inland waterways, conveyors, pipelines and the use of reverse logistics. Furthermore highway improvements will be required to mitigate any adverse effects on:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a) highway safety;</b></li> <li><b>b) pedestrian safety;</b></li> <li><b>c) highway capacity; and</b></li> <li><b>d) environment and amenity.</b></li> </ul>	<p>tion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes to policy numbering required.</li> </ul>
DC48	43-44	Paragraphs 4.30  (Managing traffic impacts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add text relating to the source of waste to the beginning of the paragraph and create a page break.</li> <li>• Slight reordering of text in existing paragraph.</li> <li>• Add clarification of alternative methods</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Where the source of waste for a facility may arise from a range of geographic locations, the impact of developing a network of smaller facilities, rather than one larger central facility, should be assessed with respect to the likely transport impacts of both options on congestion, emissions, communities and sites of historic or ecological importance. It is also important that potential cross-boundary impacts and cumulative impacts of minerals and waste development with other local developments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification</li> <li>• Revised text sits better.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>are considered.</p> <p>Alternative methods of transport may provide opportunities to reduce and manage impacts of traffic and reduce potential carbon emissions associated with HGV movements. This may help to offset potential impacts on the climate. The section on 'Climate change' considers climate change in more detail. Alternative methods may include the use of field conveyors, internal site haul roads, pipelines and the use of sea, rail and inland waterways to transport minerals and waste. The use of one of the above methods, in particular the use of field conveyors and/or site haul roads at mineral sites, could be implemented in combination with road transport, in order to help reduce the impacts from road transport. Conveyors and pipelines are already used in Hampshire to move aggregates across country to avoid capacity issues on the public highway. The Hampshire Authorities recognise that <i>[text continues as before]</i></p>	
DC49	43-44	Paragraph 4.31  (Managing traffic)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete paragraph 4.31 and insert replacement paragraph 4.31 on mitigating highways impacts.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> All minerals and waste development should give the greatest consideration to potential highway and transportation impacts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		impacts)		that may be associated with their development. Planning conditions and legal agreements can be used to control and/or manage highway impacts. This may include conditions on hours of working and restrictions on the number of lorry movements or legal agreements for highway improvement works. For example, where the traffic impacts of the development itself or in combination with other local developments are severe but can be made acceptable through traffic management measures, or highway or other improvements undertaken or funded by the developer. The funding for such improvements may be secured using either a section 278 or section 106 agreement. This is explained in more detail in the section on <u>'Sustainable minerals and waste development'</u> . Alternatively the improvements may be secured through planning condition or obligation and carried out by the developer under a section 278 agreement.	
DC50	44	Policy 12 (now Policy 13)  (High quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Slight amendments to the wording of the policy.</li> <li>• Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 13: High-quality design of minerals and waste development</b></p> <p><b>Minerals and waste</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Changes to policy numbering</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		y design of minerals and waste development)		<p><b>development should not cause an unacceptable adverse visual impact and should maintain and enhance the distinctive character of the landscape and townscape.</b></p> <p><b>The design of appropriate built facilities for minerals and waste development should be of a high quality and contribute to achieving sustainable development.</b></p>	required.
DC2 18  DC5 1	44-45	Paragraphs 4.34-4.36  (High quality design of minerals and waste development)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consolidate paragraphs 4.34-4.38.</li> <li>• Add additional text to beginning of 4.34 about the NPPF.</li> <li>• Additional text on demonstrating high quality design and modern design standards.</li> <li>• Add statement on the need for design to take into account local communities and a link to Policy 9 (now Policy 10).</li> <li>• Insert new text about adequate space to facilitate storage, re-use, recycling and composting.</li> <li>• Insert text about encouraging good site management.</li> <li>• Text to be at the end of the paragraph on architectural statements relating to: "Technical guidance on 'Designing Waste</li> </ul>	<p><b><i>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</i></b></p> <p>The NPPF as a core principle seeks <i>to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity</i><sup>13</sup>. All minerals and waste development in Hampshire should be of the highest quality design, be inclusive and be appropriate to the type and scale of the development.</p> <p>The principles of high quality design apply to all of Hampshire and it is expected that these should be addressed especially in new development areas as illustrated on the Key Diagram, where demonstration and employment of best practice would be particularly appropriate. Building activity is a</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For overall clarification.</li> <li>• Clarification of NPPF.</li> <li>• As above.</li> <li>• Clarification of scope and purposes of the policy</li> <li>• To emphasise the expectation of good site management.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Clarification of</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			<p>Facilities, Defra / CABE in 2008.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add statement relating to design in new development areas.</li> <li>• Add statement on building being a contributor of waste.</li> <li>• Add reference to NIA under design.</li> </ul>	<p>significant contributor to waste production and improved waste management in this sector should be encouraged through the selection of materials and techniques used in construction.</p> <p>It may be appropriate for large-scale facilities in prominent locations to create a positive architectural statement. All minerals and waste development should also be in accordance with the latest guidance on modern design standards. For waste facilities, technical guidance can be found in ‘Designing Waste Facilities, a guide to modern design in waste’ published by Defra and CABE in 2008’.</p> <p>Design and access statements will be required, where appropriate, for minerals and waste developments.</p> <p>In order to demonstrate that the key design and operation principles are met, minerals and waste developments should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• be appropriate in scale and character in relation to its location, the surrounding area and any stated objectives for the future of the area. This should include any planned new development or regeneration;</li> </ul>	<p>scope and purposes of the policy. To show links to development areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To show links between construction and waste.</li> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>• Revises paragraph 4.36 and merges with text from the Implementation Plan.</li> <li>• Following</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide adequate space to facilitate storage, re-use, recycling and composting, as appropriate for waste developments;</li> <li>• encourage the use of high quality building materials made from recycled and secondary sources, where appropriate;</li> <li>• minimise the use of primary aggregates;</li> <li>• seek to minimise the disposal of waste and maximise recovery and recycling of waste where appropriate as well as reducing the need for transport. Failing this, construction wastes should be managed sustainably and in line with current and appropriate building codes;</li> <li>• consider the end of the facility's life;</li> <li>• consider the potential impacts on the local community. This is considered in more detail in the section on '<u>Protecting public health, safety and amenity</u>'; and</li> <li>• avoid and minimise the risk of flooding as far as possible if the development is located in areas of flood risk, through an appropriate location, layout and design. This is considered in more detail in the section on</li> </ul>	<p>responses received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</p>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p><u>'Flooding – risk and prevention'.</u></p> <p>Where minerals and waste development results in recreational displacement or similar environmental effects are considered to be an issue, minimising the area being worked will be a key consideration of the principles of design. Areas of alternative green space may be required.</p> <p>The aims and objectives of Nature Improvement Areas (NIAs) should, where appropriate, be progressed through the whole-life design of minerals and waste development. Opportunities for delivering ecological networks and public access and enlarging or enhancing existing wildlife sites should be considered within these areas.</p> <p>Opportunities for recycling the heat, energy and water consumed as part of the operation of the development and the use of recycled materials to construct minerals and waste development should also be maximised, where appropriate, in the design of new minerals and waste facilities. If excess heat is produced, this should be used within a local heating scheme, within industrial</p>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>manufacturing or by agricultural processes nearby.</p> <p>It is expected that mineral and waste operators will undertake good site management by adhering to high standards of operation which minimise any amenity impacts at all times. This is considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Protecting public health, safety and amenity'</u>.</p> <p>The design of restoration and aftercare schemes is also an important part of sustainable design. This is considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Restoration of quarries and waste developments'</u>.</p> <p>The co-location of compatible minerals and waste management activities will be encouraged where appropriate. Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• co-locating an energy recovery facility alongside an ash-recycling operation;</li> <li>• a construction, demolition and excavation waste-recycling facility next to an aggregate quarry and a concrete batching plant;</li> <li>• co-locating an organic waste-treatment plant such as anaerobic digestion or composting facility</li> </ul>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>next to a sewage-treatment works.</p> <p>Co-located facilities should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• comprised of compatible uses, and waste-management activities at mineral-working sites should be for a temporary period commensurate with the operational life of the mineral site;</li> <li>• have benefits in terms of reducing transport movements and sharing infrastructures; and</li> <li>• not result in intensification of uses that would cause unacceptable harm to the environment or communities.</li> </ul> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 13) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 17 (DCLG, 2012)</p>	
DC53	47	Paragraph 4.47  (Community benefits)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revise definition of major development in footnote 53.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Revise definition to read as follows: Major minerals and waste development (except for Policy 4 – Protection of the designated landscape) –</b> All mineral extraction, landfill and hazardous/low level radioactive facilities, as well as developments occupying at least a hectare of land and/or have a throughput of 50,000tpa.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To align with definition in Glossary.</li> </ul>

### Supporting Hampshire's economy

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 54	49	After paragraph 5.8  (Introduction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add statement on economic benefits of restored sites after paragraph 5.8 from the Implementation Plan.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add new paragraph after paragraph 5.8 from the Implementation Plan:</b> Restored minerals and waste sites may have some economic benefits for the local areas, particularly where such sites are used in the longer terms for tourism and recreational uses. The provision of employment and opportunities for inward investment associated with recreation and tourism may be possible in some instances.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adds more context to the introductory section on the economy.</li> </ul>
DC 55	50	Policy 15  (Safeguarding - minerals resources)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include reference to silica sand in the policy.</li> <li>Update reference to Policies Map.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 15: Safeguarding – mineral resources</b></p> <p><b>Hampshire’s sand and gravel (sharp sand and gravel and soft sand), silica sand and brick-making clay resources are safeguarded against needless sterilisation by non-minerals development, unless ‘prior extraction’ takes place.</b></p> <p><b>Safeguarded mineral resources are defined by a Mineral Safeguarding Area (MSA) illustrated on the Policies Map.</b></p> <p><b>Development without the prior extraction of mineral resources in</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to requirement to plan for silica sand.</li> <li>As above.</li> <li>To meet NPPF requirements.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>the MSA may be permitted if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) it can be demonstrated that the sterilisation of mineral resources will not occur; or</li> <li>b) it would be inappropriate to extract mineral resources at that location, with regards to the other policies in the Plan; or</li> <li>c) the development would not pose a serious hindrance to mineral development in the vicinity; or</li> <li>d) the merits of the development outweigh the safeguarding of the mineral.</li> </ul> <p>The soft sand / potential silica sand resources at Whitehill &amp; Bordon (Inset Map 5), further illustrated on the Policies Map are included within the MSA and are specifically identified for safeguarding under this policy.</p>	
DC 56	50	Paragraph 5.12  (Safeguarding - minerals resou	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional reference to clunch and malmstone deposits and why these are not safeguarded after paragraph 5.12.</li> </ul>	<p><b><i>Additional text to be added after paragraph 5.12:</i></b> Hampshire also has deposits of Malmstone and Clunch but these have not been identified or worked for over half a century so are not included in the safeguarding. Malmstone</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To clarify why other materials are not considered for safeguarding</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		resources)		is a hard chalk/sandstone which has been used as local construction material in and around Alton/ Selborne/ Petersfield. Clunch is a similar material comprising hard chalk/clay bedded in mortar for walls. There is no evidence to suggest that it is sourced in Hampshire other than recycling from old buildings.	ding. Links to the Minerals in Hampshire Study and information on Malmstone and Clunch.
Post March hearing change – DC 263 DC 220 DC 57	50	After paragraph 5.12  (Safeguarding - minerals resources)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add supporting text on the provisions of the MCA after paragraph 5.12.</li> <li>• Amendments to the existing text in paragraph 5.13 to add references to silica sand potential.</li> <li>• Removal of final part of the last sentence of the paragraph in relation to sterilisation of mineral resources.</li> <li>• Add reference to criteria 'a-d' from the policy.</li> <li>• Minor amendments to the text.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add new text to be added after paragraph 5.12:</b> The National Planning Policy Framework<sup>14</sup> requires Mineral Planning Authorities (MPA) to define Minerals Consultation Areas (MCA) based on defined Minerals Safeguarding Areas (MSA). Under Schedule 1 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990<sup>15</sup> there is a requirement on a Local Planning Authority (LPA) to consult with the relevant Mineral Planning Authority (MPA) – the Hampshire Authorities – on development in an area, which they have been notified as being within the MCA by the MPA, that could affect or be affected by mineral working. A MCA covers the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• mineral resources in the MSA;</li> <li>• minerals and waste sites allocated in the Plan; and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification. Text has been lifted from the Implementation Plan into the supporting text for this policy. To ensure the plan covers the MCA as an issue for consideration.</li> <li>• Due to new information.</li> <li>• Following response</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>minerals and waste sites identified for safeguarding (as set out in <u>Appendix B - List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites</u> and thereafter any updates to this list).</li> </ul> <p>The MCA has been published by Hampshire County Council and is published separately to this Plan<sup>16</sup>. The MCA covers the Hampshire County Council area and small adjacent parts of the cities. It is based on the MSA which represents areas in Hampshire that are 'commercially viable' mineral deposits. It also covers the minerals infrastructure safeguarded through <i>Policy 16 (Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure)</i> and as identified in '<u>Appendix B - List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites</u>'.</p> <p>The MCA is sent to district and borough council's and requires them to consult the MPA when any development proposal comes forward within the MCA. MCAs should be reflected in district and borough local plans. Where proposals are located in the MCA, discussions should take place with the relevant Mineral Planning Authority prior to a submission of interest to potentially develop a site,</p>	<p>received as part of the consultation and issues raised as part of the EHDC / SDNP Joint Core Strategy examination on this issue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify how the policy will be applied.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>to establish further information on the mineral potential of the site. Where a planning application is made for non-mineral development within the MCA, the district or borough council should consult the relevant Hampshire Authority on the application. Any proposal falling within the MCA will require exploratory work prior to its development, in order to investigate further the mineral resource that may be present and the potential for its extraction. The MCA will be updated as required in the plan period and district and borough councils will be informed of any updates.</p> <p><b><i>Amendments to the existing text in paragraph 5.13 as follows</i></b> Soft sand resources in east Hampshire have been extracted for a number of years. These resources may have the potential for silica sand. However, the Plan does not identify any further extraction in this area, beyond the currently permitted reserves. There are known viable resources of soft sand (with the potential for silica sand) which have not previously been extracted, located in the area identified by East Hampshire District Council and its partners</p>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>for the Whitehill &amp; Bordon Eco-town. The resources in this location are therefore subject to known development pressure and will be protected from permanent sterilisation unless any proposal can satisfy the criteria a to d as set out in <i>Policy 15 (Safeguarding – mineral resources)</i>. The site specific development proposals of the Eco-town are set out in the Masterplan approved by East Hampshire District Council<sup>1</sup>. The resources may provide an additional opportunity for extraction of through appropriate prior extraction. Prior extraction of the resources at Whitehill &amp; Bordon will be encouraged as part of the development of the Eco-town but will only proceed as long as it does not impede the Eco-town development and phasing. These resources may also provide an opportunity for the provision of an on site supply of mineral for use in the Eco-town's development.</p> <p><b>Footnotes:</b>  14) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 143 (DCLG, 2012)  15) Town and Country Planning Act 1990. paragraph 7 of schedule 1  16) Minerals Consultation</p>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				Area (Hampshire County Council, date upon issue) 1 East Hampshire District Local Plan: Joint Core Strategy – Submission Stage, Whitehill & Bordon Strategic Allocation (May 2012) and adopted Whitehill & Bordon Eco-town Masterplan (Revised May 2012)	
DC 58	52	Policy 16  (Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update reference to Policies Map.</li> <li>• Add criteria relating to alternative capacity.</li> <li>• Remove reference to Appendix B.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 16: Safeguarding – minerals infrastructure</b></p> <p><b>Infrastructure that supports the supply of minerals in Hampshire is safeguarded against development that would unnecessarily sterilise the infrastructure or prejudice or jeopardise its use by creating incompatible land uses nearby.</b></p> <p><b>Minerals sites with temporary permissions for minerals supply activities are safeguarded for the life of the permission.</b></p> <p><b>The Hampshire Authorities will object to incompatible development unless it can be demonstrated that:</b></p> <p>a) the merits of the development</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• To meet NPPF requirements.</li> <li>• To clarify that the safeguarded list will be updated as required throughout the Plan period.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>clearly outweigh the need for safeguarding; or</p> <p>b) the infrastructure is no longer needed; or</p> <p>c) the capacity of the infrastructure can be relocated or provided elsewhere. In such instances, alternative capacity should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. meet the provisions of the Plan, that this is deliverable; and</li> <li>ii. be appropriately and sustainably located; and</li> <li>iii. conform to the relevant environmental and community protection policies in this Plan; or</li> </ul> <p>d) the proposed development is part of a wider programme of</p>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p><b>reinvestment in the delivery of enhanced capacity for minerals supply.</b></p> <p><b>The infrastructure safeguarded by this policy is illustrated on the Policies Map and identified on the List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites.</b></p>	
DC 59	52	<p>Paragraph 5.16</p> <p>(Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the first sentence of paragraph 5.16.</li> <li>• Clarify that the safeguarded list will be updated through the monitoring of the Plan.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b></p> <p>The sites covered by this policy are identified in '<u>Appendix B-List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites</u>'. This includes the following types of infrastructure (<b>59</b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• aggregate wharves, including ancillary plant;</li> <li>• aggregate rail depots, including ancillary plant;</li> <li>• aggregates recycling sites;</li> <li>• sand and gravel quarries;</li> <li>• clay extraction quarries;</li> <li>• chalk extraction quarries;</li> <li>• oil and gas development sites; and</li> <li>• sites proposed in this Plan for the above functions.</li> </ul> <p>Following the adoption of the Plan, the safeguarded list will be updated through the monitoring of the Plan as set out in '<u>Appendix C –</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• To highlight that the safeguarded list will be updated, as required, throughout the Plan period.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				Implementation and Monitoring Plan'.	
DC 221  DC 60	52	Paragraph 5.17  (Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional text added to end of paragraph 5.17 on alternative uses of wharves and rail depots and transport uses.</li> <li>• Clarify the policy's links to national policy.</li> <li>• Add explanation of the issues associated with wharves and rail depots and links to Policy 33 (now Policy 34) after the first sentence of the paragraph.</li> <li>• Clarify requirement set by South East Plan.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added at the end of paragraph 5.17:</b> Where alternative uses on wharf or depot sites are proposed, it must be demonstrated that there is no realistic prospect within a reasonable period of a transport use continuing or being reintroduced on the site. This will be considered taking into account whether the capacity the wharf is now being served by a new wharf in South Hampshire. Although further wharf and rail capacity is not required in the plan period, it is recognised that there may be further land which may become available and could be suitable as a potential location for a new wharf or rail depot. National planning policy also requires mineral planning authorities to safeguard potential aggregate wharves and rail depots<sup>17</sup>. Potential opportunities for further wharves and rail depots are considered in the section on <u>Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure</u>.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 17) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 143 (DCLG, 2012)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification on alternative uses.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• For clarification and to show the links between policies.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC	52	Follo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text relevant to the</li> </ul>	<b>Additional text to be</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
61		<p>wing paragraph 5.18</p> <p>(Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure)</p>	<p>policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy to be added following paragraph 5.18.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification on what an MCA is what is included and how it should be used to be added following paragraph 5.18.</li> </ul>	<p><b>added including text moved from the Implementation Plan:</b>  As set out under <i>Policy 15 (Safeguarding - mineral resources)</i>, a Minerals Consultation Area (MCA) covering the resources within the MSA and infrastructure identified in '<u>Appendix B - List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites</u>' has been identified to meet national planning policy<sup>18</sup>. The MCA includes mineral infrastructure covered by <i>Policy 16 (Safeguarding - mineral infrastructure)</i>. The MCA is sent to district and borough councils and requires them to consult the relevant Hampshire Authority when any development proposal comes forward within the MCA to meet Schedule 1 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990<sup>1</sup> and should be reflected in district and borough local plans. The MCA has been published by Hampshire County Council<sup>19</sup> and is published separately to this Plan. Where proposals are located in the MCA, discussions should take place with the relevant Mineral Planning Authority prior to a submission of interest to potentially develop a site. Where a planning application is made for non-mineral development within the MCA, the district or borough council should consult the</p>	<p>lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>relevant Hampshire Authority on the application. The MCA will be updated as required in the Plan period and district and borough councils will be informed of any updates.</p> <p><b>Footnotes:</b>            18) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 143 (DCLG, 2012)            19) Minerals Consultation Area (Hampshire County Council, date upon issue)            1) Paragraph 7 of Schedule 1 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990</p>	
DC 62	53	Paragraph 5.19  (Safeguarding - minerals infrastructure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the supporting text in paragraph 5.19 to link to Policy 17 and remove reference to alternative capacity.</li> <li>• Removal of text relating to alternative capacity.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b>            It is recognised that some minerals sites, in particular wharves and rail depots may present regeneration opportunities in the Plan period, such as creating new areas of housing or for recreation. The waterside nature of wharves in Southampton and Portsmouth Harbour <b>(60)</b> are particular examples of this as their location often means they present strong potential for regeneration. The rail sidings in Fareham and Eastleigh are also other examples of this. The overall existing wharf and rail depot capacity is critical to the delivery of the requirements for supply, as set out in <i>Policy 17 (Aggregate supply –</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification and as the alternative capacity text is now considered elsewhere.</li> <li>• This is now considered in alternative text and policies elsewhere in the plan and is therefore not considered</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p><i>capacity and source</i>) as wharf and rail depot sites currently supply almost half of the aggregates sold annually in the plan area. This is why it is important to protect the sites from other forms of development that may prevent them from operating to secure the supply of marine-won sand and gravel and other aggregates into Hampshire through safeguarding. There should be no overall loss of wharf capacity at existing wharf sites if this capacity is still required and if the wharf is capable of handling the required capacity, taking into account the modern needs of the marine aggregate industry. However, there is also an ongoing need for regeneration within the cities of Southampton and Portsmouth and there may be some instances where the safeguarding of sites can be reviewed.</p>	<p>red to be required.</p>
Post March hearing change – DC 264	54	Paragraph 5.23  (Aggregate supply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add new statement on the make up of total aggregate supply in Hampshire.</li> <li>• Remove reference to South East Plan apportionment.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amend existing text:</b> Hampshire's total aggregate supply is based on marine-won sand and gravel landed at wharves, imports of aggregates by rail, imports of aggregate by road, the production of recycled and secondary aggregates as well as the extraction of aggregate from the land. Evidence collected as part of plan preparation on sales of land-won aggregates is</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification and text moved and amended from paragraph 5.24.</li> <li>• This reference is no longer required</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				(over the last ten years) has indicated that the average figure for land-won extraction over this period—was 1.56 mtpa with sand and gravel sales in 2010 of 0.98 million tonnes(64). Furthermore, this evidence indicated that total aggregate sales, landings and production have also declined since 2001 (65).	d due to the revocation of the RSS.
DC 221  DC 63	54	Table 5.1  (Aggregate supply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update Table 5.1 with new figures.</li> <li>• Add source to Table 5.1.</li> <li>• Add explanation that the soft sand figures include reserves from Kingsley and Frith End which are now considered to be silica sand.</li> <li>• Table needs to be updated to reflect the sales of silica sand.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to Table 5.1:</b></p> <p><b>Table 5.1 – Average sales of aggregates in Hampshire (2001-2010) (million tonnes)</b></p> <p><i>(see revised table which follows this table)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Figures shared through hearing process</li> <li>• Figures received following submission.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Due to changes in information received following submission.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>
Post March hearing	54	Paragraph 5.24  (Aggregate)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete paragraph.</li> </ul>	<b>Delete paragraph 5.24.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No longer required as MASS guidance</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
change – DC 265		supply)			e has been superseded and the relevant text is covered elsewhere.
Post March hearing change – DC 266	55	Paragraph 5.26  (Aggregate supply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add reference to national planning policy and MASS guidance.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amend existing text:</b> The Hampshire Authorities have concluded that the 10 year sales, production and landing figures reflect market and environmental conditions in Hampshire, and will not prejudice the supply of aggregates to the wider region<b>(68)</b>. The approach also meets national planning policy<sup>1</sup> to provide for an adequate and steady supply of land-won sand and gravel and more recent associated guidance<sup>2</sup>, whilst encouraging alternative supplies, including recycled aggregates. The method is based on using past performance to project future supply. The Hampshire Authorities consider that this approach provides a more reliable basis than other methodologies.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 1) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 146 (DCLG, 2012) 2) Guidance on the Managed Aggregate Supply System</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to the emergence of the NPPF and new guidance on MASS since the submission of the Plan.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				(DCLG, 2012)	
DC 64	55	Policy 17  (Aggregate supply – capacity and source)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slight amendments to the wording of the policy to remove the reference to Somerset.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 17: Aggregate supply – capacity and source</b></p> <p><b>An adequate and steady supply of aggregates until 2030 will be provided for Hampshire and surrounding areas from local sand and gravel sites at a rate of 1.56 mtpa, of which 0.28 mtpa will be soft sand.</b></p> <p><b>The supply will also be augmented by safeguarding and developing infrastructure capacity so that alternative sources of aggregate could be provided at the following rates:</b></p> <p>a) <b>1.0mtpa of recycled and secondary aggregates; and</b></p> <p>b) <b>2.0mtpa of marine-won aggregates; and</b></p> <p>c) <b>1.0mtpa of limestone delivered by rail.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To show that limestone can be delivered from other locations by rail as required.</li> </ul>
DC 65	56	Paragraph 5.31  (Aggregate supply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy and to be added at the end of paragraph 5.31.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add to the end of paragraph 5.31 text from the Implementation Plan:</b></p> <p>Some of Hampshire's recycling/ secondary aggregate facilities are on temporary permissions so further planning applications will be</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text has been lifted from the Implementation Plan into the</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				required to maintain capacity and/or expand capacity, especially if a new plant is required.	supporting text for this policy.
DC 66	57	Following paragraph 5.32  (Aggregate supply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add statement on demand for crushed rock being met by resources imported from Somerset following paragraph 5.32.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add the following text following paragraph 5.32:</b> Hampshire has historically received the majority of its limestone imports by rail from Somerset. This trend is expected to continue throughout the plan period as there is no evidence that there will be a shortage of limestone resources from Somerset<sup>20</sup> as the main rail-linked Somerset quarries have permitted reserves that are expected to last beyond the end of the Plan period and currently capacity well exceeds current throughput.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 20) Minerals in Hampshire – Background Study, paragraphs 79-81 (Hampshire Authorities, 2012)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following of removal of reference to Somerset in the policy, for clarification of where the main source of crushed rock is likely to come from.</li> </ul>
DC 223 DC 67	57	Paragraph 5.34  (Aggregate supply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to paragraph 5.34 to make it clear about how monitoring will be applied.</li> <li>Clarification of the use of triggers to monitor aggregates.</li> <li>Update references to monitoring triggers for aggregate supply.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Hampshire's aggregates sales will be monitored annually throughout the Plan period to ensure that the level of supply is sufficient and flexible to meet future demand and to ensure resource security both for Hampshire and its surrounding authorities. The capacity levels set</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>Following response received as part of the consultation and for</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>out in the policy include significant spare capacity to accommodate an increase in aggregate demand. There may also be other sources of aggregate outside of the requirements of <i>Policy 17 (Aggregates supply – capacity and source)</i>. This may include imports of aggregate by road or landings of hard rock by sea. These are over and above the requirements in <i>Policy 17 (Aggregates supply – capacity and source)</i> which sets out what is required to ensure an adequate and steady supply of aggregates. The 10 year average sales of aggregate will be carefully monitored throughout the plan period. In the event that an average is not met by the provisions set out in <i>Policy 17 (Aggregates supply – capacity and source)</i> and associated sites to meet this requirement will be reviewed. The Hampshire Authorities consider that the aggregate supply triggers as set out in the Monitoring and Implementation Plan are sufficient to ensure an adequate and steady supply of aggregate. <u>'Appendix C - Implementation and Monitoring Plan'</u> contains a commitment to review the plan if monitoring triggers for aggregate supply are activated. Wharf capacity in</p>	<p>clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>particular will be monitored to ensure that capacity is sufficient to meet aggregate supply needs and to ensure that the Plan is flexible to any change in supply, demand or other changes of circumstances which may impact wharf capacity. These issues are considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Aggregate wharves and rail depots'</u> and in particular in the section on <u>'Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure'</u>.</p>	
DC 68	58	<p>Paragraph 5.35  (Recycled and secondary aggregates)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to paragraph 5.35 to emphasise the linkages between the processing of recycled and secondary aggregates and the WRAP Quality protocol.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendment to existing text as follows:</b> Recycled and secondary aggregates can also be used to blend with primary aggregates or processed to the highest quality of recycled aggregate in some instances. It is important that recycled and secondary aggregates are processed to a high standard to be able to replace primary aggregates as described in the WRAP Aggregates Quality Protocol standard<sup>21</sup>.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 21) The purpose of the Quality Protocol is to provide a uniform control process for producers from which they can reasonably state and demonstrate that their product has been fully recovered and is no</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For information and clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				longer a waste. It also provides purchasers with a quality-managed product to common aggregate standards increasing confidence in performance.	
DC 224 DC 69	58	Paragraph 5.37  (Recycled and secondary aggregates)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add the word minimum to the beginning of the first sentence to make it clear that it is a minimum figure.</li> <li>• Revise last sentence of paragraph 5.37 to remove the word 'washed'.</li> <li>• At the end of paragraph 5.37, add relevant text from the Implementation Plan.</li> <li>• Update references to monitoring triggers for aggregate supply.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The minimum capacity level for recycled and secondary aggregate, as set out in <i>Policy 17 (Aggregates supply – capacity and source)</i> will be met by Hampshire's existing recycled and secondary aggregate sites. Investment and the provision of improved infrastructure at Hampshire's existing recycled and secondary aggregate sites will help to support the maximisation of recycled and secondary aggregate in Hampshire. It may also help to facilitate the greater production of high quality aggregate from recycled and secondary aggregate'. Existing recycled and secondary aggregate capacity will be subject to robust monitoring which will allow for aggregate requirements to be flexible to any changes in demand in the future and to ensure resource security both for Hampshire and its surrounding authorities. <u>'Appendix C - Implementation and Monitoring Plan'</u> contains a commitment to review the plan if monitoring</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Not required, typo.</li> <li>• Text has been lifted from the Implementation Plan into the supporting text for this policy.</li> <li>• Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				triggers for aggregate supply are activated.	
DC 70		Paragraph 5.40  (Aggregate wharves and rail depots)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove last part of the second sentence of paragraph 5.40.</li> <li>Add new text following the first sentence of the paragraph to acknowledge that the coastline is designated and this may impact further wharf development.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to existing text:</b> Marine-won sand and gravel is extracted from the sea bed off Hampshire's coast <b>(80)</b> and landed at wharves in and around Southampton and the Portsmouth area. Hampshire's existing wharves are at long established sites. It is recognised that Hampshire's coastline is extensively designated for its nature conservation value and this may impact any further development of wharves, either through extensions or new sites, in some instances. This is considered in more detail in the section on '<u>Habitats and species</u>'. Waste resources such [text continues as before]....</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Repetition</li> <li>Acknowledge that the coastline is designated as per supporting text of Policy 33 (now Policy 34) to highlight potential limitations.</li> </ul>
DC 72	60	Before paragraph 5.43  (Aggregate wharves and rail depots)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add new paragraph following Policy 19 and before paragraph 5.43 relating to the site allocations and the need to submit a planning application etc.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional paragraph to be added following Policy 19, to say the following:</b> The rail depot site allocations identified within the Plan include development considerations. These are set out in '<u>Appendix A – Site allocations</u>'. The development considerations should be addressed at the planning application stage along with the other policies of the Plan. The sites identified for rail depots could be developed at any time within the Plan period, depending on market conditions.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text moved from Implementation Plan into supporting text.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				Applicants will be required to submit planning applications to the relevant Hampshire authority for consideration before any development takes place. The rail depot sites identified will be subject to further assessment of cumulative impacts as well as other environmental and amenity criteria at the planning application stage.	
Post March hearing change – DC 268	60	Paragraphs 5.43  (Aggregate wharves and rail depots)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consider supporting text in relation to need and capacity.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to existing text:</b> Existing wharf and rail depot capacity, as identified in Policy 19 (Aggregate wharves and rail depots) is critical to the delivery of the requirements for supply, as set out in Policy 17 (Aggregate supply – capacity and source). The capacity requirements for wharves and rail depots set out in Policy 17 (Aggregate supply – capacity and source) will be met by Hampshire's existing wharf and rail depot capacity. The section on '<u>Safeguarding mineral infrastructure</u>' sets out the approach to safeguarding existing minerals infrastructure including wharves and rail depots.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification following changes to Policy 19.</li> </ul>
Post March hearing change	60	Paragraph 5.44  (Aggregate wharves)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed additional text to be added at the end of paragraph 5.44 to indicate if a proposal for a new wharf was to come forward, the additional facilities expected e.g.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added at the end of paragraph 5.44:</b> It is not anticipated that there would be a need for further overall wharf capacity in the plan period. However, if</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text has been lifted from the Implementation</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
- DC 269  DC C2 5  DC 73		and rail depots)	space for storage, processing, storage and intermodal (supporting text). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification on application of wharves in relation to Policy 5.</li> </ul>	further wharf proposals come forward within the plan period, it is expected that these would include space for storage and value added activities, processing and intermodal transport uses. A new wharf or rail depot will not necessarily be excluded solely because it is in a countryside or isolated location. This issue is considered in more detail in the section on ' <u>Landscape and countryside</u> '. The effect of development in this regard will be balanced alongside the benefits of a new wharf or rail depot. The justification for a new wharf or rail will need to be demonstrated in terms of sustainable development. The National Policy Statement for Ports <sup>22</sup> will be taken into account for proposal for new wharves where relevant.  <b>Footnote:</b> 22) National Policy Statement for Ports (DCLG, 2012)	Plan into the supporting text for this policy. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 270	61	Paragraph 5.48  (Aggregate wharves and rail depots)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the existing text to tie up with the proposed changes to Policy 34.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text</b> If new and suitable areas of commercial or military port land in Southampton or Portsmouth is released from port and port related uses by the Port Authority and becomes available within the Plan period, this may provide an opportunity to re-configure existing wharf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				infrastructure and provide an opportunity for a deep-water facility, depending on location. These issues are considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure'</u> .	
DC 74	61	Paragraph 5.49  (Aggregate wharves and rail depots)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deletion of last sentence of paragraph 5.49.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the text as follows:</b> There is no evidence that over the Plan period there will be a shortage of limestone resources from Somerset <b>(87)</b> as the main rail-linked Somerset quarries have permitted reserves that are expected to last beyond the end of the Plan period-and currently capacity well exceeds current throughput.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Repetition.</li> </ul>
DC 226 DC 75	61	Paragraph 5.50  (Aggregate wharves and rail depots)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to paragraph 5.50 to make it clear about how monitoring will be applied.</li> <li>Update references to monitoring triggers for aggregate supply.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the text as follows:</b> The capacity at rail depots will be monitored throughout the Plan Period, as set out in the section on <u>'Aggregate supply'</u> . <u>'Appendix C - Implementation and Monitoring Plan'</u> contains a commitment to review the plan if monitoring triggers for aggregate supply are activated. The opportunities offered by the rail sidings at Basingstoke and Micheldever [text continues as before]...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>Following response received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 76	62	Paragraph 5.51  (Local	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to the existing footnote.</li> </ul>	<b>Update footnote with correct reference to ISA:</b> The identification of sites in the following policy follows significant site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Correction.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		land-won extraction)		<p>appraisal of the potential deliverability as well as environmental, amenity and economic impacts of the sites and/or opportunities <b>(88)</b>. This also includes the results of the Integrated Sustainability Appraisal of Brick-making clay proposals<sup>23</sup>, the Habitats Regulation Assessment [text continues as before]...</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 23) Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan Integrated Sustainability Appraisal Report, section 6.22, 6.3 and 6.4 (Hampshire Authorities, 2012)</p>	
DC 77	62	Paragraph 5.54  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional footnote to be added in paragraph 5.54 to explain difference between 'resources' – known mineral deposits and 'reserves'.</li> <li>• Add statement on landbank from paragraph 5.56 into paragraph 5.54</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add new footnote in paragraph 5.54:</b> Hampshire's most widely worked local minerals is land-won sand and gravel. This is comprised of resources<sup>24</sup> of [text continues as before]...</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 24) Mineral resources are known mineral deposits. Mineral reserves are those mineral resources which have either been given planning permission or have been allocated for development the Plan.</p> <p><b>Add statement from paragraph 5.56 to after paragraph 5.54:</b> The landbank is determined by dividing the permitted reserve of local land-won aggregate with the current apportionment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification of terms.</li> <li>• Formatting.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				figure. The figure calculated indicates the length of time (in years) that the permitted reserves will last for at the level of the apportionment.	
DC 79	64	Paragraph 5.56  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move statement from paragraph 5.56 to after paragraph 5.54.</li> </ul>	<b>Delete paragraph 5.56 and move to after paragraph 5.54:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Formatting. Sits better in revised placement.</li> </ul>
DC 80	65	Paragraph 5.57  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after the first sentence of paragraph 5.57.</li> <li>Update reference to the Policies Map.</li> <li>Create new paragraph from 'The sites identified'...</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Existing and new quarries and extensions identified within this policy are shown on the Policies Map and any appropriate development would be subject to the 'development considerations' outlined in '<a href="#">Appendix A-Site allocations</a>'. The development considerations should be addressed at the planning application stage along with the other policies of the Plan. If and when a planning application is submitted for development at one of the sites identified in the Plan, more detailed appraisal of impacts against the policies in this Plan will take place.</p> <p>The sites identified <i>[text continues as before]</i>...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>To meet the requirements of the NPPF.</li> <li>Formatting</li> </ul>
Post Mar	65	Table 5.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change title of table 5.3 to say 'Local land won requirement up</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments Table 5.3:</b> <i>(see revised table which follows this table)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
ch hearing change – DC 272  DC 228  DC 81		(Local land-won extraction)	to 2030'. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend table number.</li> <li>• Change reference on 'contingency' to unallocated in Table 5.3.</li> <li>• Amend data relating to soft sand reserves.</li> <li>• Supporting text needs to be updated to reflect the changes to Table 5.3.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to changes in plan structure.</li> <li>• Clarification of terms.</li> <li>• Due to introduction of new policy on silica sand. Change of data due to the removal of some reserves at Kingsley and Frith End for soft sand.</li> <li>• As above.</li> </ul>
DC 229  DC 82	65	Paragraph 5.58  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to paragraph 5.58 to reflect changes to Table 5.3.</li> <li>• Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy following paragraph 5.58.</li> <li>• Reference to Forest Lodge Home Farm to be amended in paragraph 5.58.</li> <li>• After forth sentence of</li> </ul>	<b>Formatting and textual change as follows:</b> In 2010, Hampshire's existing sand and gravel quarries had permitted reserves of 14.22 mt of sharp sand and gravel and 1.70mt of soft sand. The Hampshire Authorities acknowledge that silica sand is also extracted at Kingsley and Frith End quarries alongside soft sand and this is considered in the section on 'Silica sand development'. The new	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As required due to changes to table 5.3.</li> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			<p>paragraph 5.58, create a new paragraph.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete text relating to the requirements for soft sand at the end of paragraph 5.58.</li> <li>• Amend timescales for Roeshot.</li> <li>• Move paragraph 5.62 to after 5.59.</li> <li>• Add reference to silica sand and soft sand being extracted at Kingsley and Frith End quarries.</li> </ul>	<p>locations and extensions identified in the Plan are expected to provide a total reserve of 11.57mt which is expected to last until the end of 2028. The yield figures contained in the policy are only a guide to the likely mineral resources which may be extracted. If and when a planning application is submitted for development at one of the sites identified in the Plan, more detailed appraisal of impacts against the policies in this Plan will take place. It is anticipated that the additional sand and gravel reserves identified within the Plan will be developed at varying timescales within the Plan period. Reserves from the extension sites are expected to be required as the existing permitted reserves become exhausted. It is anticipated that the sites are likely to come on stream around the following points within the Plan period:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bleak Hill Quarry Extension (Bleak Hill)-from 2020+;</li> <li>• Bramshill Quarry Extension (Yateley Heath Wood)-from 2020+;</li> <li>• Roeshot Hill- from 2013+;</li> <li>• Cutty Brow-from 2012+;</li> <li>• Hamble Airfield-</li> </ul>	<p>supporting text for clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Correction of site name following request from landowner following submission.</li> <li>• Formatting.</li> <li>• Not required as the sites are now considered to be silica (see new Policy 21 – Silica sand).</li> <li>• New information submitted.</li> <li>• Text sits better in revised location.</li> <li>• Due to</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>from 2016 +;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Forest Lodge Home Farm-from 2016+; and</li> <li>• Purple Haze-from 2018+;</li> </ul> <p>The exact timings of sites coming on stream will depend on the market conditions, extraction at other sites in the nearby area and planning permission being granted for the development.</p> <p>The extension and new sites identified in <i>Policy 20 (Local land-won aggregates)</i> are considered to be the most sustainable, deliverable and acceptable options in terms of the environment and local amenity and best meet the objectives of the Plan by the Hampshire Authorities. The two extension sites identified are considered to be the most suitable and deliverable options for the extension of an existing site at this stage. The operations of the existing sites have also already been shown to be acceptable.</p> <p>There are no soft sand sites identified for potential extension. All potential options for soft sand site extensions were considered, but they all had significant deliverability or sustainability (or both) issues associated with them, meaning they are</p>	<p>changes to Policies 20 and 21.</p>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				not suitable for further consideration at this stage.	
DC 83	65	Paragraph 5.58  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move paragraph 5.59 to after paragraph 5.60 and amend</li> </ul>	<b>Move text and amendments to the existing text (see DC93).</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised location</li> </ul>
Post March 2013 hearing - DC 274  DC 84  DC 230	66	Paragraph 5.59  (Local land won aggregate)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reordering of the list of opportunities.</li> <li>• Clarify what is meant by local need.</li> <li>• Clarify what is meant by maximising existing plan.</li> <li>• Add definition of beneficial uses.</li> <li>• Amend references to sharp sand and gravel to local land-won aggregate.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to existing text in addition to those already proposed through proposed change DC84 and DC230:</b> Further opportunities for the extraction of local land won aggregate cannot be identified within the Plan currently as there are no other sustainable and deliverable options suitable for allocation. However, <i>Policy 20 (Local land-won aggregates)</i> allows for extraction of additional sites outside the sites identified within the Plan to meet additional demand, if required. Evidence shows that over the last fifteen years, 4.76mt ( <b>100</b> ) of local land-won aggregate came from un-planned unallocated opportunities, meaning historically these opportunities have played an important role in meeting Hampshire's demand for local land-won aggregate. They can also offer some contingency if there is an increased demand for aggregate. It is expected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification of terms.</li> <li>• As above.</li> <li>• As above.</li> <li>• As above.</li> <li>• As above.</li> <li>• As above.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>that this will account for at least 3.08mt over the plan period, which equates to 0.15 mt per year of the Plan. Unplanned opportunities may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. extensions to permitted local and active mineral extraction sites which are not allocated in Policy 20 (3) but located in the Mineral Safeguarding Area. This may include the extension of sites where the original permitted workings had not been implemented at the time of plan preparation; or</li> <li>ii. sustainable maximisation of suitable existing plant and / or infrastructure either at or associated with an existing quarry to meet Hampshire's landbank requirements; or</li> <li>iii. sites where there is a proven local need for aggregates to meet local demand. This may include when allocated</li> </ul>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>sites have not come forward and there is a need for aggregate in that area, where the mineral would otherwise be sterilised and where development is associated with another beneficial use; or</p> <p>iv. sites where prior extraction of minerals is required before other planned development takes place which may sterilise the resource. This may include planned development identified in other Local Plans and sites with planning permission for other non minerals developments.</p> <p>v. sites not allocated in the Plan but located in the Mineral Safeguarding Area. This includes Whitehill &amp; Bordon as considered under <i>Policy 15 (Safeguarding – minerals resources)</i>; or</p> <p>vi. mineral</p>	

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>extraction is required for other beneficial uses where the primary purpose for its extraction is not for the mineral and it takes place to support other non mineral developments in a given location e.g. creation of agricultural reservoirs, recreational lakes or borrow pit for a specific localised need.</p> <p><i>[text continues as before]...</i></p>	
<p>Post March hearing change – DC 273  DC 84</p>	66	<p>After Paragraph 5.59  (Local land won aggregate)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move from paragraph 5.62.</li> <li>• Clarify what is meant by monitoring, delivered and local need in relation to criteria 4 of Policy 20.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text:</b> Further extraction opportunities will need to demonstrate that they can meet the criteria set out in <i>Policy 20 (4) (Local land won aggregate)</i> as well as the objectives and policies in this Plan. As already set out under the supporting text for <i>Policy 17 (Aggregate supply – capacity and source)</i>, Hampshire's aggregate sales will be monitored throughout the plan period to ensure resource security and the Monitoring and Implementation Plan contains aggregate supply triggers on this issue. This monitoring will highlight if the sites identified in Policy 20 (2) (3) have not come forward and if there</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised location .</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>is a requirement for further sand and gravel development to meet demand.</p> <p>Deliverability of the sites identified within the Plan may be impacted by issues including land ownership, un-envisaged environmental issues at the time of Plan preparation or the resource not being as anticipated.</p>	
DC 85	66	Paragraph 5.60  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy to the end of paragraph 5.60.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add text from the Implementation Plan to the end of paragraph 5.60:</b> Proposals at Bramshill Quarry and Purple Haze are accompanied by some development considerations which may restrict development in certain parts of their site allocations. These areas have still been included within the site allocation areas as it will allow the Hampshire Authorities to have greater planning control over potential impacts on the restricted areas identified.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text is considered to sit better in the main part of the Plan rather than in the Implementation Plan.</li> </ul>
DC 86	66	Paragraphs 5.60 - 5.61  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deletion of text relating to need in paragraph 5.61.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Deletion of paragraph 5.61:</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to changes to policy 20 wording which means that this is now included in the policy.</li> </ul>
Pos	66	Parag	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraph 5.62</li> </ul>	<p><b>Deletion of paragraph</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
t March hearing change – DC 276		Paragraph 5.62  (Local land won aggregate)	to after paragraph 5.59.	<b>5.62 and move to after paragraph 5.59:</b>	clarification.
DC 87	67	Paragraph 5.63  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Replace last sentence of paragraph 5.63 with links to sections on environment and community only.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The sections and policies contained in '<u>Protecting Hampshire's Environment</u>' and '<u>Maintaining Hampshire's Communities</u>' consider these issues in more detail alongside other policies within the Plan.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 88	67	Following paragraph 5.63  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after paragraph 5.63.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added after paragraph 5.63 from the Implementation Plan:</b> Although borrow pits are not generally supported, there are some circumstances where they are the most sustainable way of providing aggregates for local major building projects such as the construction of new roads or major built development. This is particularly likely to be the case where a borrow pit would minimise the potential impacts on local communities and the environment. Borrow pits can help to safeguard resources of higher-grade material for primary uses. Aggregate extracted from borrow pits should only be used for the specific construction projects and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				the extraction site is located on land surrounding the construction project, within a 'corridor of disturbance'. Proposals for borrow pits will only be permitted where there is a clearly identified need, where the aggregate extracted is for use only within the specific construction projects in which it is related to, and the site is located on land surrounding the construction project, within a 'corridor of disturbance'.	
DC 89	67	Paragraph 5.64  (Local land-won extraction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revise paragraph 5.64 to remove reference to national parks.</li> <li>• Add reference to aggregate supply meeting the provisions of the NPPF.</li> </ul>	<b>Revised last sentence of paragraph 5.64:</b> Hampshire is currently able to meet its aggregate supply needs in accordance with the NPPF, from sites outside of the National Parks. It is therefore highly unlikely that further mineral extraction in Hampshire's two National Parks will be granted planning permission, if more sustainable options for extraction outside of the designated areas are available.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This is already considered by other policies in the plan.</li> <li>• For clarification and to replace text that is deleted.</li> </ul>
DC 90	67	Following the end of section on local land-won aggregate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add new section called 'Other minerals'.</li> </ul>	<b>Add new section title: 'Other minerals'</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Formatting. Policies and supporting text for silica sand, clay, chalk and oil</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(After paragraph 5.64)			and gas will sit under this new section.
DC 92	67	Paragraph 5.65  (Clay)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Updated reference to the NPPF in paragraph 5.65.</li> <li>Add reference to the need to plan for brick-making clay to support local brickworks.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> National planning policy<sup>31</sup> states that '<i>sufficient land should be allocated to maintain a landbank of at least twenty-five years for brick clay</i>'. It is important that an adequate and steady supply of indigenous minerals such as brick-making clay is planned for to support local brickworks.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 31) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 146 (DCLG, 2012)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to changes to the landbank requirement between the draft NPPF and the finalised version.</li> <li>To highlight the importance of planning for brick-making clay.</li> </ul>
DC 233  DC 93	67	Paragraph 5.66  (Clay)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move sentence on the identification of sites from paragraph 5.66.</li> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after the second sentence of paragraph 5.66.</li> <li>Clarify that clay can be used to make tiles.</li> <li>Remove term support.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Hampshire has two local brickworks at Michelmersh, near Romsey and Selborne in the South Downs National Park. These brickworks produce bricks from local brick-making clay, although only Michelmersh is currently operational. Further brick-making reserves will be required once the permitted reserves at Michelmersh have been exhausted. This is likely to be from 2014-2015(128).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sits better in revised location.</li> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>Planning permission for brick-making extraction lapsed in 2010 at Selborne and further reserves will be required at Selborne if brick-making is to recommence (129). The identification of further brick-making clay resources to support the brickworks at Michelmersh and Selborne is required to ensure that the brickworks have a secure and long-term supply of brick-making clay to support the investment required in the brickworks and to preserve Hampshire's heritage. Support for local extraction to supply local brickworks over and above the sites identified in the Plan will be given where proposals meet all other relevant policies within the Plan. Brick-making clay can also be used for the production of tiles.</p>	<p>clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification that clay can also be used to make tiles.</li> <li>• Typo.</li> </ul>
DC 94	67	Paragraph 5.67 (Clay)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete sentence on the identification of sites and move to paragraph 5.66.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added the following at the end of paragraph 5.67:</b> Brick-making clay resources are protected from sterilisation through their inclusion within the Mineral Safeguarding Area. This is considered in <i>Policy 15 (Safeguarding – mineral resources)</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised location.</li> </ul>
DC 234 DC 96	68	Paragraph 5.68 (Clay)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete the first sentence of paragraph 5.68 relating to the Proposals Map.</li> <li>• Amend reference to</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text in paragraph 5.68 as follows:</b> Any appropriate development at the sites</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has been moved to the policy</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			<p>the westerly site allocation to reflect new allocation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy in paragraph 5.68.</li> <li>• Add second sentence from paragraph 5.69 relating to sites.</li> <li>• Move statement from 5.72 on extensions into the revised paragraph.</li> <li>• Delete repeated text.</li> </ul>	<p>identified in <i>Policy 22 (Brick-making clay)</i> would be subject to the 'development considerations' outlined in '<u>Appendix A - Site allocations</u>'. The development considerations should be addressed at the planning application stage along with the other policies of the Plan. The sites identified within the Plan will be subject to a more detailed appraisal of impacts against the policies in this Plan when a planning application is submitted.</p> <p>A small part of the Michelmersh site allocation includes a Source Protection Zone 1 (SPZ1) which may restrict development in this area. A development consideration related to this has been included as part of the site allocation. Any mineral extraction in a SPZ needs to comply with the requirements of <i>Policy 10 (Protecting public health, safety and amenity)</i>. This zone has been included within the site allocation area as it will allow the Hampshire Authorities to have greater planning control over potential impacts on the restricted areas identified.</p>	<p>to make it consistent with other policies in the Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes to the site allocations.</li> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>• Sits better in revised location.</li> <li>• Sits better in revised location.</li> <li>• Repetition.</li> </ul>
DC 235 DC	68	Paragraph 5.69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete first sentence of the paragraph.</li> <li>• Move second sentence of the paragraph into</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text in paragraph 5.69 as follows:</b> The brick-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Already covered by revision</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
97		(Clay)	paragraph 5.68.	making sites identified at are considered to be either an extension to an existing clay working or from the immediate local area and will be subject to a more detailed appraisal of impacts against the policies in this Plan when a planning application is submitted. An extension or deepening to an existing clay site is defined as a site which abuts or is connected via an internal haul road or other infrastructure such as conveyors or pipelines, to an established site access onto the public highway. Existing sites generally have an established site access, screening and on-site infrastructure so it may be more sustainable to continue activities at sites where investment has already been made, rather than develop new ones. The extension of an existing site which requires HGVs to cross a public highway will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances and where proposals meet the other policies in the plan. Proposals to extend existing sites will only be supported where past performance of the existing operations has been adequately demonstrated. There may be circumstances where there are overriding environmental and amenity impacts which may outweigh the need	to paragraph 5.66. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised location .</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>for further development in an existing location or if cumulative impacts with other existing or proposed sites are considered to be excessive. The sections on '<u>Protecting Hampshire's Environment</u>' and '<u>Maintaining Hampshire's Communities</u>' consider these issues in more detail alongside other policies within the Plan.</p> <p>It is important that clay <i>[text continues as before]</i>...</p>	
Post March hearing change – DC 278 DC 236 DC 98	69	Paragraphs 5.71-5.72 (Clay)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after the second sentence of paragraph 5.72.</li> <li>Additional text will be added following paragraph 5.66 to indicate support for the local brickworks subject to meeting all other relevant policies within the Plan and relating to resources at Michelmersh.</li> <li>Replace last sentence of paragraph with links to sections on environment and community only.</li> <li>Move statement on proposals at existing sites to paragraph 5.68.</li> <li>Proposed change DC98 proposed to delete the text relating</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Deliverability of the sites identified within the Plan may be impacted by issues including land ownership, un-envisaged environmental issues at the time of Plan preparation or the resource not being as anticipated.</p> <p>There may be other opportunities for the extraction of local brick-making clay in Hampshire. Support will be given for the development of new manufacturing capacity if this would replace older plants or reduce net imports to the region. Support will also be given to local extraction to supply local brickworks over and above the sites identified in the Plan where proposals meet all other relevant policies within the Plan. This may</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> <li>For clarification of the focus of the policy. To highlight potential need for resources at Michelmersh.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			to deliverability. This should be reinstated.	<p>include further extensions to the sites identified in <i>Policy 22 (Brick-making clay)</i> or opportunities for the extraction of brick-making clay in other locations to support the brickworks. The sections and policies contained in '<u>Protecting Hampshire's Environment</u>' and '<u>Maintaining Hampshire's Communities</u>' consider these issues in more detail alongside other policies within the Plan. Favourable consideration will be given to further proposals which will maintain a supply of material to meet the demand for traditional Michelmersh bricks subject to any proposal meeting other appropriate policies in the Plan.</p> <p>Deliverability of the sites identified within the Plan may be impacted by issues including land ownership, un-envisaged environmental issues at the time of Plan preparation or the resource not being as anticipated.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Sits better in the revised location.</li> </ul>
DC 237	69	Paragraph 5.73 (Clay)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification text to be added (typo).</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Hampshire also has other resources of clay. There may be some circumstances where clay may need to be extracted for specific needs and uses. This may include its use for civil engineering and landfill engineering or</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Typo</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				where extraction is incidental to other forms of mineral extraction, such as sand and gravel extraction in areas of suitable geology.	
DC 99	69	Paragraph 5.74  (Chalk)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete 'is protected' from the second to last sentence of paragraph 5.74.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Chalk is plentiful in Hampshire (<b>110</b>) and was widely used in the past. However, there is now only limited demand, mainly for use in agriculture or industry (<b>111</b>). This means that resources do not need to be safeguarded. Hampshire has a number of existing and active chalk extraction sites which are sufficient to meet Hampshire's current and expected future demand for chalk. These sites will be safeguarded to protect production capacity. This is considered <i>[text continues as before]</i>...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Repetition.</li> </ul>
DC 100	69	Paragraph 5.75  (Chalk)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete 'therefore still' from the last sentence of paragraph 5.75.</li> <li>Add first sentence of paragraph 5.76 to the end of the paragraph.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Although Hampshire's existing chalk extraction sites are considered to be sufficient to meet current and future demand, new proposals for the small-scale extraction of chalk may still be promoted during the Plan period, so a policy framework that allows applications to be considered is necessary. Extracting chalk for other uses is not supported.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 1010	69	Paragraph 5.76	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete paragraph 5.76.</li> </ul>	<b>Delete paragraph 5.76.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text moved to</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(Chalk)			paragraph 5.75 and is already covered by the policy.
DC 102	71	Policy 23 (now Policy 24)  (Oil and gas development)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update policy number.</li> <li>• Slight amendments to policy wording.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 24: Oil and gas development</b></p> <p><b>Oil and gas development will be supported subject to environmental and amenity considerations.</b></p> <p>a) <b>Exploration and appraisal of oil and gas will be supported, provided the site and equipment:</b></p> <p>i. <b>is not located within the New Forest National Park or South Downs National Park except in exceptional circumstances, where the reasons for the designation are not compromised and where the need for the development</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To account for insertion of new policy on silica sand.</li> <li>• To make policy more consistent with national planning policy.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>ii. t can be demonstrated; and is sited at a location where it can be demonstrated that it will only have an acceptable environmental impact; and</p> <p>iii. the proposal provides for the restoration and subsequent aftercare of the site, whether or not oil or gas is found.</p> <p>b) The commercial production of oil and gas will be supported, provided the site and equipment:</p> <p>i. is not located within the New Forest National Park or South Downs National Park except in exceptional circumstances, where the reasons</p>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>for the designation are not compromised and where the need for the development can be demonstrated; and</p> <p>ii. a full appraisal programme for the oil and gas field has been completed; and</p> <p>iii. the proposed location is the most suitable, taking into account environmental, geological and technical factors.</p>	
DC 103	70	Paragraph 5.82  (Oil and gas)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional text to be added to clarify underground gas and carbon storage and associated infrastructure will be supported where geologically feasible.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The location of oil and gas extraction will depend on the presence of economically viable oil prospects. Oil and gas exploration and processing operations are very different from conventional mineral workings, and are significantly less intrusive, they need less land and have more flexible locational requirements compared to other</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify support for gas storage.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>minerals developments. Oil exploration and production takes place at such a depth, that other developments, except where there are surface installations, will not sterilise the resource. This means it is not considered to be necessary to safeguard oil and gas resources or identify further sites. National planning policy(139)'encourages underground gas storage if local geological circumstances indicate this is feasible' and accordingly, further underground gas and carbon storage and associated infrastructure is supported where geologically feasible. The exploration and production licensed areas, granted by the Government are only an indication of Hampshire's potential oil and gas resources and are therefore not suitable for site allocations.</p>	
DC 104	71	Paragraph 5.83  (Oil and gas)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the wording of the third sentence in paragraph 5.83.</li> <li>• Amendments to the last sentence of paragraph 5.83.</li> <li>• Move sentence on directional drilling from paragraph 5.84 to paragraph 5.83 after sentence on night time drilling.</li> <li>• Additional text to be added relating to</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b>            Exploration covers a range of activities including geological mapping, geophysical/seismic investigations and the drilling and investigation of wells and boreholes to assess prospective sites in more detail. Surveys establish if the potential geological structures to hold oil and gas are</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• As above.</li> <li>• Text sits better in revised location .</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			consideration of proposals for exploration and appraisal.	<p>present. Seismic investigations are temporary in nature and generally have very limited environmental impact whilst additional borehole drilling may be required to determine the type and volume of any accumulations present at the appraisal stage.</p> <p>Exploration activities are usually small-scale, brief and temporary so they will not have a lasting environmental impact. The only way to firmly establish if oil or gas is present is to drill a borehole, which requires planning permission. Although boreholes are temporary and usually small-scale, drilling is an intensive activity and there could be visual, lighting and noise disturbance and impacts on local roads. There may be a need for night time drilling for safety reasons. Directional drilling, whereby a number of wells are drilled from a single platform, can be used to minimise the number of sites needed to exploit the field. Directional drilling is preferred for creating additional well sites. Additional above ground facilities may include gathering stations and transport links. Proposals for exploration and appraisal will be favourably considered where suitable safeguards</p>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				are put in place to protect the environment and local amenity.	
DC 105	72	Paragraph 5.84  (Oil and gas)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to text in paragraph 5.84.</li> <li>• Delete sentence on night time drilling and add to paragraph 5.83 (see above).</li> <li>• Additional text to be added relating to other issues which need to be considered in production.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b></p> <p>If economic concentrations of oil and gas are found at the exploration and appraisal stage, a mineral operator may seek to develop the field commercially and produce oil and gas. This is a complex operation including a number of different elements and options and is known as the 'production' stage. Small oil or gas fields (or both) may be exploited using the existing exploration and appraisal wells while larger fields may need additional wellhead sites linked by pipelines. Developing a field may also involve the storage of gas underground. Oil and gas production is potentially more intrusive than other forms of oil and gas development, partly because it generally involves additional facilities such as pipelines, storage facilities and export terminals. Production will only be acceptable where any adverse impacts can be sufficiently mitigated. This could involve screening the apparatus or locating it underground. Other issues to consider for oil and gas production are the timing and method of gas flaring, vehicular</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Text has been moved to paragraph 5.83.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				access, the direction of vehicles leaving the site, noise emissions, pollution prevention of spillages, the disposal of unwanted gas and the transportation of the end product from the well site or gathering station.	
DC 106	72	Following paragraph 5.85  (Oil and gas)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan in relation to restoration to be moved back into the supporting text after paragraph 5.85.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added, moved from the Implementation Plan:</b> Restoration of oil and gas sites is a key site consideration. As oil and gas development takes place over three stages, it is possible to require the restoration of well sites to be undertaken at the end of each stage, rather than allowing the operator to keep the site on hold before moving on to the next stage. Restoration is considered in more detail in the section on '<a href="#">Restoration of quarries and waste developments</a>'.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sits better in the revised position.</li> </ul>
DC 107	73	Title of section and paragraph 5.87  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend title of the section</li> <li>Delete the word 'environment' from 2nd sentence of paragraph 5.87.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amend title of the section:</b> Sustainable waste management</p> <p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The goods and products we all use everyday contain natural resources of raw materials and energy. To discard these materials is not only a lost opportunity to re-use these natural resources but can also have impacts [text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To be consistent with changes proposed to the policy.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 108	73	Paragraphs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraphs 5.88-5.89 to after paragraph</li> </ul>	<p><b>Move paragraphs 5.88 and 5.89 to after</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For better</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		5.88 and 5.89  (Sustainable waste management)	5.102.	<b>paragraph 5.102.</b>	placement of the requirements to prevent waste
DC 109	74	Paragraph 5.94  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete the words 'A good' from beginning of third sentence of paragraph 5.94.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The best way to reduce the need for waste disposal is to avoid its creation in the first place. However waste can be avoided if it is regarded as a resource and waste management plays a key role in achieving this effectively and efficiently. Waste management infrastructure [text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 110	75	Paragraph 5.98  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraph 5.98 to immediately after Policy 24 (now 25) (Sustainable waste management).</li> </ul>	<p><b>Move paragraph 5.98 to after Policy 25 (Sustainable waste management)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Better placement/context</li> </ul>
DC 111	76	Paragraph 5.99  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete first sentence of paragraph 5.99 as it refers to the SEP which is expected to be revoked.</li> <li>Replace the words 'Waste Planning' with 'Hampshire' in 2nd sentence.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The Hampshire Authorities [text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The SEP is expected to be revoked in the near future so the reference would be irrelevant</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
					nt. • For consistency.
DC 112	76	Paragraph 5.100  (Sustainable waste management)	• Delete paragraph 5.100.	<b>Delete the paragraph 5.100:</b>	• Duplicates supporting text for Policy 13 (high quality design ...).
Post March hearing change - DC 279  DC 238	76	After paragraph 5.101 before the policy  (Sustainable waste management development)	• Add paragraph after 5.101 to clarify that Hampshire local planning authorities have a role in sustainable waste management	<b>Add additional paragraph after paragraph 5.101 as follows:</b> Whilst much of the responsibility for delivering sustainable waste management lies with the Hampshire Authorities, all of Hampshire's local planning authorities have a role to play in driving waste up the hierarchy. Examples of this in the Plan include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ ensuring the design and layout of new development supports sustainable waste management;</li> <li>○ encouraging waste management improvements in the construction sector; and</li> <li>○ use of inert wastes in construction projects such as golf courses; and</li> <li>○ promoting the use of recycled and secondary</li> </ul>	• For clarification to ensure Plan is consistent with DCLG guidance (see page 2, para. 2 of guidance). Review of 'Guidance for local planning authorities on implementing planning requirements of the EU Waste Framework Directive

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				aggregates in development projects. Safeguarding waste infrastructure against redevelopment and inappropriate encroachment is another important role that Hampshire planning authorities will play.	(2008/98/EC)' in December 2012 as prompted by Inspector.  See ED209 – question 3
DC 113	76	Policy 24 (now Policy 25)  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete the word 'development' from the title of the policy.</li> <li>• Add the word 'net' before self-sufficiency in the first sentence of the policy.</li> <li>• Delete the text for criterion 'b' 'or reduce the need for new development elsewhere'.</li> <li>• Re-phrase first part of criterion 'd' and move remaining wording to outside criterion".</li> <li>• Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 25: Sustainable waste management</b></p> <p><b>The long-term aim is to enable net self-sufficiency in waste movements and divert 100% of waste from landfill. All waste development should:</b></p> <p>a) encourage waste to be managed at the highest achievable level within the waste hierarchy; and</p> <p>b) reduce the amount of residual waste currently sent to landfill; and</p> <p>c) be located near to the sources of waste, or markets for its use; and</p> <p>d) maximise opportunities to share infrastructure at appropriate existing mineral</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy deals with strategy as well as development.</li> <li>• Add the word 'net' which was an omission (now aligns with supporting text.</li> <li>• Remove wording in criterion 'b' which was unclear in the linkage with reducing landfill.</li> <li>• Clarification of</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p style="text-align: center;"><b>or waste sites.</b></p> <p><b>The co-location of activities with existing operations will be supported, where appropriate, if commensurate with the operational life of the site, and where it would not result in intensification of uses that would cause unacceptable harm to the environment or communities in a local area (including access routes), or prolong any unacceptable impacts associated with the existing development.</b></p> <p><b>Provision will be made for the management of non-hazardous waste arisings with an expectation of achieving by 2020 at least:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>60% recycling; and</b></li> <li>• <b>95% diversion from landfill.</b></li> </ul>	<p>sharing infrastructure generally and when co-location is appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>
DC 114	77	Paragraph 5.102  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Replace the 2nd use of the word 'waste' in the 2nd sentence of paragraph 5.102.</li> <li>• Move last but one sentence to end of paragraph 5.102.</li> <li>• Rephrase last sentence of paragraph 5.102.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The expectation of a recycling rate reaching 60% and 95% diversion from landfill by 2020 (compared to 53% and 82% in 2009) <b>(126)</b> is in relation to non-hazardous wastes. This type of waste is the one which requires the largest effort in order to divert it from landfill. Non-hazardous waste is generated from both</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove two uses of the word waste in one sentence.</li> <li>• Emphasise the long term aim better.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				municipal and commercial/ industrial sources and contains discard material such as paper, card, plastic, metal, glass as well as food and other biodegradable wastes. The vast majority, if not all, of inert waste that is disposed to land in Hampshire is for beneficial uses <b>(127)</b> and not considered landfill while the amounts of hazardous waste from landfill are very small compared to overall waste arisings. The long term aim to divert all non-hazardous waste from landfill, is effectively all waste from landfill.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Better placement.</li> </ul>
DC 115	77	After paragraph 5.103  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved and revised from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy after paragraph 5.103.</li> </ul>	<b>Text to be added after paragraph 5.103:</b> Depending on the facility type, waste management activities will be supported in principle where waste will be managed as close to its source as possible, to reduce long-distance transport, or where it is demonstrated that it represents the most sustainable solution in overall environmental terms.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 116	77	Paragraph 5.104  (Sustainable waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add text to paragraph 5.104 to better explain the balance which the Hampshire Authorities wish to strike; contributing proportionately to meeting 'larger than local' waste management needs, whilst ensuring</li> </ul>	<b>New text to be added after first sentence of paragraph 5.104:</b> Where appropriate, it is expected that infrastructure will be required to help maintain Hampshire's contribution to regional or national waste infrastructure requirements that are consistent with those	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify how Hampshire supports developments of a larger</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			Hampshire does not become a net importer of waste arisings for which it does not itself generate.	waste arisings in Hampshire or the region. In practice, this means that the Hampshire Authorities are supportive of larger facilities that manage waste of regional or national importance but only where they also accept waste arisings from Hampshire. It is expected that Hampshire would not be a significant net importer of that type of waste.	than local nature.
DC 117	77	Paragraph 5.106  (Providing for waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend paragraph 5.106 to delete 'Waste Planning' in first sentence.</li> </ul>	<b>Amend first sentence of paragraph 5.104:</b> However, the Hampshire Authorities [text continues as before]...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification</li> </ul>
DC 118	79	Policy 25 (now Policy 26)  (Safeguarding – waste infrastructure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add the words 'and delivered' after the word 'elsewhere in criterion to the policy.</li> <li>Delete the words 'waste management' from criterion 'b' and 'c' from the policy.</li> <li>Change of name of Proposals Map to the Policies Map.</li> <li>Change reference on Appendix B to list of safeguarded sites.</li> <li>Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 26: Safeguarding – waste infrastructure</b></p> <p><b>Waste management infrastructure that provides strategic capacity is safeguarded against redevelopment and inappropriate encroachment unless:</b></p> <p><b>a) the merits of the development clearly outweigh the need for</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To seek a guarantee of relocation elsewhere.</li> <li>Repetition of the words 'waste management' as they are a repetition from</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p><b>safeguarding; or</b></p> <p><b>b) the waste management infrastructure is no longer needed; or</b></p> <p><b>c) the waste management capacity can be relocated or provided elsewhere and delivered; or</b></p> <p><b>d) the proposed development is part of a wider programme of reinvestment in the delivery of enhanced waste management facilities.</b></p> <p><b>The infrastructure safeguarded by this policy is illustrated on the Policies Map and identified on the List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites.</b></p>	<p>the introductory sentence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In line with the NPPF.</li> <li>• To clarify that the list will be updated throughout the Plan period to take into account new sites that have been granted planning permission. Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>
DC 119	79	Paragraph 5.111  (Safeguarding – waste infrastructure)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy.</li> <li>• Add statement relating to what types of waste management sites are safeguarded into paragraph 5.11.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b></p> <p>The existing strategic waste management capacity is considered to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRC);</li> <li>• composting sites;</li> <li>• Material Recovery Facilities (MRF);</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarify that the safeguarded list will be updated through the monitoring of the plan.</li> <li>• New paragraph to be added after paragraph 5.11 relating to the MCA.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waste Transfer Stations (WTS);</li> <li>• metal recycling sites;</li> <li>• Energy Recovery Facilities;</li> <li>• waste water treatment sites;</li> <li>• other specialist waste management uses; and</li> <li>• landfill sites.</li> </ul> <p>Strategic capacity comprises those sites critical to the delivery of the Plan, shown in the List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites'. Following the adoption of the plan, the safeguarded list will be updated through the monitoring of the Plan. New waste management developments will be automatically safeguarded if they:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. provide individual capacity of, or over 50,000 tonnes per annum <b>(130)</b> or are part of a network of similar facilities; or</li> <li>ii. provide water/rail transport of waste materials; or</li> <li>iii. provide a specialist waste management function (including</li> </ol>	<p>for clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• To clarify that the site will be updated, as required throughout the Plan period.</li> <li>• For clarification of the links between the policy and the provisions of the MCA.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>waste water treatment); or iv. are of regional or national waste management significance.</p> <p><b>Text to be added after paragraph 5.111:</b> As set out in the section on '<u>Safeguarding mineral resources</u>', a Minerals Consultation Area (MCA) covering the mineral resources within the MSA and infrastructure identified in the List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites has been published by Hampshire County Council to meet national planning policy<sup>1</sup>. The MCA also includes waste infrastructure covered by <i>Policy 26 (Safeguarding - waste infrastructure)</i><sup>32</sup>.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 32) These sites may operate individually at an annual processing capacity below 50,000 tpa (typically 15-50,000 tpa). 1) National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 143 (DCLG, 2012)</p>	
DC 120	79	Paragraph 5.112  (Providing for waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add text to qualify that criterion 'a' which refers to the merits of the development can include environmental benefits by removing the threat of possible impacts on nearby designated areas. This</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> In specific circumstances such as where there are strong regeneration needs, these circumstances may outweigh the need for safeguarding the waste</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To clarify that non-waste development can have</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		gement - Safeguarding – waste infrastructure)	should be added at the end of paragraph 5.112.	use on an individual site. If there are strong overriding regeneration reasons to justify the loss of waste facilities, it is important that replacement provision is made elsewhere where needed. Safeguarding waste infrastructure may also not be appropriate where there is a potential impact on nearby designated areas.	environmental benefits and therefore justify the loss of a waste site (if replacement capacity can be provided elsewhere).
DC 121	80	Paragraph 5.114  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rename section of the Plan 'Waste management requirements'.</li> <li>• Insert paragraphs 5.126 and 5.127 before paragraph 5.114.</li> <li>• Add text to explain that there are no identified immediate needs for new composting facilities identified by the Waste Management Authorities.</li> <li>• Add text to explaining how the Plan encourages future consideration of managing commercial and industrial waste alongside MSW.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Rename section:</b> Waste management requirements</p> <p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Waste management facilities that handle household waste collected by local councils are provided under a partnership of a number of Hampshire local authorities known as Project Integra. In Hampshire there is currently a significant network of strategic facilities for managing municipal waste, including two materials recycling facilities, two composting sites, a network of waste transfer stations, and three energy recovery facilities. As a result, the Project Integra authorities have diverted a class leading amount (approximately 90%) of</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For consistency.</li> <li>• Better located in the introduction to the assessment of existing capacity.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>municipal waste from landfill.</p> <p>Hampshire has two sites for composting and there is no identified immediate needs for new (open row) composting facilities. The Project Integra approach is to encourage composting at home where possible and this is considered more sustainable.</p> <p>The Project Integra infrastructure currently supports the management of commercial and industrial wastes via the three energy recovery facilities. This approach is encompassed in Action 13 from the Hampshire Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy (2006) <b>(146)</b>. The Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy (including updates by its annually published five year Action Plans) has not identified the need to plan for major large-scale built facilities in any specific locations. This is mainly because of the investment in large-scale facilities over recent years in Hampshire.</p> <p>To divert more waste from landfill it is necessary to focus on the management of commercial non-hazardous wastes. This is required as the volumes of this type of waste</p>	

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>currently landfilled are larger and the potential impacts from landfilling is much more significant than that of inert waste. Therefore a range of new commercial facilities will be required if the drive to divert more non-hazardous waste from landfill is to be successful. In future, it is expected that more sophisticated technologies will be required to manage wastes, especially as the Plan's long term aim is to divert all waste from landfill, and new technological options will be supported in order to achieve this outcome.</p> <p>Provision for <i>[text continues as before]</i> ...</p>	
DC 122	80	Paragraph 5.115  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional text to be added to the end of paragraph 5.115 to clarify about the selected growth rate.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added to the end of paragraph 5.115:</b> This is to avoid a scenario of 'under provision' of waste management capacity.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification the higher growth rate is selected to avoid a scenario of 'under provision'.</li> </ul>
DC 123	80	Paragraph 5.116  (What waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add first part of sentence from paragraph 5.117 to last sentence of paragraph 5.116.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> In addition to this projected waste growth, the proportion of waste from which we recover value should increase, and the proportion of waste sent to landfill should decrease. This is</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consolidation and clarity of similar content.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		capacity is required?)		required by European and national policies. The UK's landfill tax escalator has been successful in creating a need for increased capacity in alternative management methods (to landfill) by making the cost competitive. Although the use of landfill has continued to decrease and the landfill tax escalator will continue to at least 2014, the opinion <i>[text continues as before]</i> ...	
DC 124	80	Paragraph 5.117  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete first part of the first sentence of paragraph 5.117 on landfill tax escalator and combine text from paragraphs and rephrase for clarification.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> To further increase the diversion of non-hazardous waste from landfill, new investment in waste management facilities will be required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 125	80	Paragraph 5.118  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove references to 'scenarios' in paragraph 5.118.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Deletion of paragraph 5.118:</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Covered in evidence base.</li> </ul>
DC 126	80	Paragraph 5.119  (What	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add statements relating to diversion from landfill in Hampshire at the beginning of paragraph 5.119.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The baseline figure for the estimated diversion of waste from landfill in Hampshire is 82%<sup>33</sup>. To</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		waste management capacity is required?)		address the <i>[text continues as before]</i> ....  <b>Footnote:</b> 33) The estimated diversion of non-hazardous waste from landfill in Hampshire in 2009 was 82% (Assessment of Need for Waste Management Facilities in Hampshire – Landfill & Surcharging Report (Hampshire Authorities, 2012))	
DC 127	81	Paragraph 5.120  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete text relating to diversion rate in the second sentence of paragraph 5.120.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> To divert 95% of non-hazardous waste from landfill, Hampshire's recycling and recovery rates need to increase to 60% <i>[text continues as before]</i> ....	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 128	81	Paragraph 5.121  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revise paragraph 5.121 to introduce table 5.5.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The estimated waste arisings and permitted capacity at the end of 2010 were used as the baseline to assess the need for waste management facilities in the Plan period. Using the estimated growth figures for waste arisings, the potential waste arisings in 2030 were calculated. The key criteria used to assess need are shown in Table 6.5 in million tonnes per annum (mtpa). <i>[text continues as before]</i> ...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To improve the description for the table (5.5).</li> </ul>
DC	81	Table	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete rogue number</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to Table</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
129		5.5  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<p>'1' in total current arisings – should say 4.81mt in Table 5.5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Table 5.5 to include a footnote explaining the reference to a range (0.25-0.5%).</li> <li>Add footnote to Table 5.5 describe how the 3.38mt figure is reached.</li> <li>Add source of the data.</li> </ul>	<b>5.5:</b> <i>(see revised table which follows this table)</i>	<p>correct summing error.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify why the table has a different figure compared to paragraph 5.115.</li> <li>To clarify the capacity supplied by exempt sites.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 130	81	Paragraph 5.122  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Insert text about capacity from landfill into paragraph 5.122.</li> <li>Remove references to 'Scenario B' in paragraph 5.122.</li> <li>Additional text to be added describing Table 5.5 above paragraph 5.122.</li> <li>Signpost the CDE and hazardous waste sections in paragraph 5.122.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The estimated arisings in 2030 identified a potential shortfall when compared with existing non-hazardous waste management capacity of about 0.5 million tonnes. However, it should be noted this potential capacity shortfall includes a set annual amount for landfill, which in reality does not exist (subject to planning permission). To further increase the diversion of non-hazardous waste from landfill and achieve this by 2020, the actual need for recycling and recovery facilities increases to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>Unnecessary reference to evidence base.</li> <li>Emphasise there is no forecasted need for inert and hazardous capacity overall, however there</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>about 0.7mt <b>(138)</b>.</p> <p>In terms of inert and hazardous wastes, the estimated arisings in 2030 did not exceed the current waste management capacity and thus no requirement was identified. However, it is acknowledged that some specific issues have been identified. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inert capacity for the provision of high quality recycled and secondary aggregates could be increased with investment.</li> <li>• Hazardous capacity for the landfill of asbestos waste is limited.</li> </ul> <p>For further information on these issues, please see the sections on <u>'Construction, demolition and excavation waste'</u> and <u>'Specialist waste management'</u>.</p> <p>The breakdown for the non-hazardous recycling, recovery and disposal (landfill void) capacity requirements for the Plan period is shown in Table 6.6. <i>[text continues as before]...</i></p>	<p>is a need for hazardous landfill.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification that CDE and hazardous waste capacity issues are dealt with later in the Plan.</li> </ul>
DC 131	81	Table 5.6 (What waste mana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add the words 'subject to planning permission' after the word 'higher' in first table footnote.</li> <li>• Add the word 'requirement' after</li> </ul>	<b>Revision to Table 5.6:</b> <i>(see revised table which follows this table)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To clarify that annual landfill disposal can</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		gement capacity is required?)	<p>'capacity' in footnote 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove the word 'fate' from columns 4 and 5 in Table 5.6.</li> <li>Add source of the data.</li> </ul>		<p>vary.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification reasons.</li> <li>To remove any confusion on what the term means.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 132	82	After paragraph 5.123 – table 5.7  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revise Table 5.7.</li> <li>Revise table content showing Hampshire requirements vs. capacity assuming average depletion rate in a table showing 2011- 2015; 2016-2020; 2020-2030.</li> <li>Add source of the data.</li> </ul>	<b>Revised table title and contents of 5.7:</b> <i>(see revised table which follows this table).</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>To clarify the capacity requirements in the short term.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 133	82	Paragraph 5.124  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explain the per annum need for new capacity in paragraph 5.124.</li> <li>Replace and rephrase last sentence of paragraph 5.124.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The need for additional recycling capacity is, on average, about 22,000tpa over the 2011-2030 period. For recovery capacity, the need is about 52,000tpa between 2011-2015, decreasing to about 18,000tpa between 2016-2020. The need for additional non-hazardous landfill overall is estimated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To include and expand upon the text moved from paragraph 5.142.</li> <li>To remove any</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				to be 1.8 million cubic metres, sufficient for approximately 1.4mt <b>(139)</b> . The requirement for additional capacity of 132,000 tonnes is required between 2016-2020 and 1.28 mt from 2021-2030. However, it is possible that not all of this capacity will be required to manage Hampshire's waste due to market forces and developments in the way waste is managed in future.	confusion on the requirement / provision required for landfill.
DC 134	82	Paragraph 5.125  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete the word 'requirements' in reference to the policy in paragraph 5.125.</li> <li>Update policy numbering in paragraph 5.125.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b></p> <p>As these capacity requirement figures by 2020 are based upon a planned estimate of growth in waste arisings, the capacity requirement will be monitored in line with the waste arisings over the plan period. The additional capacity figures identified in <i>Policy 27 (Capacity for waste management development)</i> will be regarded as a minimum requirement, consistent with such provision meeting <i>Policy 25 (Sustainable waste management development)</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to changes in policy wording.</li> <li>Due to changes in numbering.</li> </ul>
DC 135	83	Policy 26 (now Policy 27)  (Capacity for waste mana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove the word 'requirements' from the title of the policy.</li> <li>Revise introductory text for criteria in the policy.</li> <li>Add the word 'which' to criterion 'b' ii of the policy.</li> <li>Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 27: Capacity for waste management development</b></p> <p><b>In order to reach the objectives of the Plan and to deal with arisings by 2030 of:</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Word not required.</li> <li>To better emphasise policy support.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		gement development)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2.62 mtpa of non-hazardous waste;</li> <li>• 2.49 mtpa of inert waste;</li> <li>• 0.16 mtpa of hazardous waste.</li> </ul> <p>The following minimum amounts of additional waste infrastructure capacity are estimated to be required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0.29 mtpa of non-hazardous recycling capacity;</li> <li>• 0.39 mtpa of non-hazardous recovery capacity;</li> <li>• 1.4 mt of non-hazardous landfill void.</li> </ul> <p>Proposals will be supported where they maintain and provide additional capacity for non-hazardous waste recycling and recovery through:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. the use of existing waste management sites;</li> <li>b. extensions to suitable sites: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. that are ancillary to the operation of the existing site and improve current operating standards,</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>where applicable, or provide for the co-location of compatible waste activities; and;</p> <p>ii. which do not result in inappropriate permanent development of a temporary facility and proposals for ancillary plant, buildings and additional developments that do not extend the timescale for completion of the development, or</p> <p>c. extension of time to current temporary planning permissions where it would not result in inappropriate development; or</p> <p>d. new sites to provide additional capacity (see Policy 29 – Locations and sites for waste management).</p>	
DC 136	83	Paragraph 5.129	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to the policy to be moved from the</li> </ul>	<p><b>Text to be added before paragraph 5.129:</b> Where new waste</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(What waste management capacity is required?)	Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy before paragraph 5.129.	management development is proposed on an existing waste management site or adjacent to an existing site, it will be necessary to take into account the cumulative impacts of the development itself and the effects of several developments in the same locality. Applicants will also be required to indicate how proposals will enhance operating standards or reduce the amount of waste sent for landfill.  Proposals to <i>[text continues as before]</i> ...	the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for clarification.
DC 1137	83	Paragraph 5.130  (What waste management capacity is required?)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional text to be added to signpost 'hybrid' waste management developments which incorporate more than one waste management activity, and that the Plan is supportive in principle of such operations. This should be added at the end paragraph 5.130.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Recycling facilities typically refer to waste transfer/ recycling stations, material recovery facilities and composting sites. Recovery facilities refer mainly to energy recovery facilities such as anaerobic digestion, energy from waste or other thermal treatment facilities. There are also 'hybrid' waste management developments which incorporate more than one waste management activity, such as waste transfer/ recycling with recovery which may involve both material recovery and energy recovery.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify the hybrid nature of planning applications.</li> </ul>
DC 138	83	Paragraph 5.131	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete last sentence of paragraph 5.131.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The capacity of the waste management</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Repetition.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(What waste management capacity is required?)		infrastructure will be monitored against waste arisings over the plan period to review progress. If the growth in waste arisings is higher and more sustained than estimated in the Plan, provision of additional capacity will be supported.	
DC 139	84	Policy 27 (now Policy 28)  (Energy recovery development)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove the words 'from waste' from first line of the policy.</li> <li>Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 28: Energy recovery development</b></p> <p><b>Energy recovery development should:</b></p> <p>a) be used to divert waste from landfill and where other waste treatment options further up the waste hierarchy have been discounted; and</p> <p>b) wherever practicable, provide combined heat and power (CHP) but as a minimum the scheme to recover energy through electricity production and the plant to be designed to have the capability to deliver heat in the future; and</p> <p>c) provide sustainable management arrangements for waste treatment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistency with title.</li> <li>Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<b>residues arising from the facility.</b>	
DC 140	84	Paragraph 5.134  (Energy recovery development)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Replace 'Waste Planning' with 'Hampshire' in paragraph 5.134.</li> <li>• Amendments to existing text.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Proposals will be judged against all policies in the Plan. The Hampshire Authorities support the national aim of delivering a substantial increase in energy from waste through anaerobic digestion (AD) in the UK. AD uses waste for biogas production, which can be used to produce heat or electricity or cleaned to produce biomethane. This can either be injected directly into the national gas grid or used for transport fuels. AD also recovers valuable nutrients (in the form of 'digestate') for returning back to land. It is expected that AD facilities will generally be located in rural areas because of potential impacts arising from the process and proximity for disposal of residues to land.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> <li>• As above.</li> </ul>
DC 141	84	Paragraph 5.135  (Energy recovery development)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Text relevant to the policy to be moved and revised from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy in paragraph 5.135.</li> <li>• Revise reference to infrastructure planning in last sentence of paragraph 5.135.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Proposals for sustainable management of waste residues from energy generation should minimise, so far as possible, the amounts of waste going to landfill. Where deposits to landfill are necessary, the most sustainable location should be the preferred location. Applicants will indicate how proposals</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To clarify that policy requirements are in the main Plan text.</li> <li>• To reflect updated national</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				will provide low-carbon energy generation or reduce the amount of waste sent for landfill. It is expected that all proposals will comply with other policies. Any nationally significant infrastructure projects <b>(143)</b> as defined by the Planning Act 2008 <b>(144)</b> will be dealt with by the Planning Inspectorate.	planning .
Post March hearing change - DC 280	88	Paragraph 5.156  (Construction, demolition and excavation waste)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text moved from end of paragraph 5.157 to 5.156.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add text to end of paragraph 5.156:</b> The objective in Hampshire is to reuse, recycle and recover as much as possible of the estimated 2.35mt of construction, demolition and excavation (CDE) waste that is generated in Hampshire each year. CDE waste is mostly made up of inert material such as concrete, rubble or soils. Approximately 4% of CDE arisings are non-inert wastes such as wood and plastics that are dealt with in non-hazardous waste management facilities(176).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text sits better in this location .</li> </ul>
Post March hearing change - DC 281  DC 240	88	Paragraph 5.157  (Construction, demolition and excavation waste)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add description of inert material which is unsuitable for recycling but suitable for restoration into paragraph 5.157.</li> <li>Insert text into paragraph 5.157 to clarify that this policy is not referring to landfill mining.</li> <li>Update reference to Policy 8 (now Policy 9) in paragraph 5.157.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> As CDE consists of a range of materials it can be used in a variety of ways. The harder inert materials can be recovered on development sites (using mobile crushers and screeners) or at existing permitted waste sites that recycle aggregates for use in development</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify what materials go to landfill for restoration.</li> <li>To clarify that Policy 29 does</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 145			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add link to EA guidance on defining waste recovery.</li> </ul>	<p>elsewhere, or stockpiled for use at a later date. The softer inert CDE materials such as soils, chalk and clays can also be recycled or recovered on development sites, taken to sites requiring landscaping, fill material or bunds such as golf courses, race tracks or similar (158). Inert CDE material can also be directed to mineral workings (quarries) for agreed restoration schemes and this is considered in more detail in the section on <u>'Restoration of quarries and waste developments'</u>. Because these softer inert wastes are used beneficially and not discarded, this Plan considers this use as 'recovery' rather than Landfill. Use of inert wastes in this way does not relate to landfill mining which is normally in reference to non-hazardous landfills that are mined, in general, for non-hazardous wastes such as metals, whose value can make the extraction worthwhile.</p>	<p>not refer to landfill mining as the beneficial use is the recovery of inert wastes only.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to changes in policy number</li> <li>• Following responses received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 146	89	Paragraph 5.158  (Construction, demolition and excav	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revisions to 2nd sentence of paragraph 5.158 to clarify washed aggregates is an example of high quality aggregates.</li> <li>• Removal of reference to 'part b' in paragraph 5.158.</li> <li>• Consolidate existing text relating to the</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Aggregate recycling facilities accept hard inert material and crush and then 'screen' (or filter) the output to produce recycled and secondary aggregates of various grades. However, there is a need to increase the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High quality aggregates are defined by the amount of processing/refinement</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		ation waste s)	policies.	investment in infrastructure to produce more high quality (e.g. washed) recycled and secondary aggregates which can replace primary aggregates such as sand and gravel, to meet the aggregate supply scenario as set out in <i>Policies 17 (Aggregate supply – capacity and source), 18 (Recycled and secondary aggregates) and 30 (Construction, demolition and excavation waste)</i> . These policies seek to encourage such investment, primarily with suitable existing CDE recycling sites, particularly those safeguarded under <i>Policies 16 (Safeguarding – minerals infrastructure) and 26 (Safeguarding – waste infrastructure)</i> . Such investment could alternatively be in new sites <b>(160)</b> meeting criteria in <i>Policy 29 (Location and sites for waste management)</i> . Many of the facilities are co-located [text continues as before]...	to meet BS standards. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not necessary.</li> <li>• Formatting.</li> </ul>
DC 147	89	Paragraph 5.159  (Construction, demolition and excavation waste s)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of reference to 'part b' in the 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 5.159.</li> <li>• Update reference to Policy 28 (now Policy 29).</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b>  The Hampshire Authorities encourage the use of Incinerator Bottom Ash Aggregate (IBAA) <b>(161)</b> for beneficial uses such as in road construction. It will be necessary to make permanent provision for the treatment of IBAA within the plan period. Applications for such</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not necessary.</li> <li>• Changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				development will be considered against all policies in the Plan, especially <i>Policy 29 (Location and sites for waste management)</i> .	
Post March hearing change - DC 282	89	Paragraph 5.160  (Construction, demolition and excavation waste)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend wording to ensure consistency with paragraph 5.157.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amend supporting text:</b> It is estimated that there are sufficient development opportunities requiring inert CDE waste in Hampshire to recover this material for beneficial uses over the Plan period. These locations include either previously or currently exempt sites (162), existing and planned mineral voids that require restoration as well as non-hazardous landfills where inert waste is used for daily cover and/or engineering purposes. Inert restoration of existing mineral voids is estimated to require 3mt and planned quarries (as set out in <i>Policy 20 (Local land-won aggregate)</i>) are estimated to require an additional 9mt of inert wastes. If this 12mt of 'void' is filled at a rate of about 0.275mt a year(163), it is evident that there is sufficient void capacity at existing quarries which are active or under restoration to last well beyond 2030(164). As there are sufficient opportunities for beneficial uses of inert material in Hampshire, dedicated landfill provision for inert is not required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following discussions post hearings .</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 148	89	Paragraph 5.161  (Construction, demolition and excavation wastes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update section reference.</li> <li>Add the word 'development' after aggregates in the last sentence (in policy title) of paragraph 5.161.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Capacity to produce high quality recycled aggregates(165) is supported, in order to encourage better use of (hard) inert waste to produce secondary and recycled aggregates which can be used in construction and road maintenance, and reduce its use as 'fill' material or disposal to land. The production of recycled and secondary aggregates is covered in the section '<u>Recycled and secondary aggregates</u>'.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>Typo.</li> </ul>
DC 149	90	Paragraph 5.162  (Construction, demolition and excavation wastes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revisions to text in paragraph 5.162 to explain the capacity linkages with production of 1mtpa.</li> <li>Correct footnote reference number 169 to Chapter 4.1.1 of the Minerals in Hampshire: Background Study.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The current estimate of inert waste management recycling and recovery capacity of over 3 million tonnes per annum (mtpa) exceeds the projected increase in inert waste arisings in 2030 of 2.49mtpa(166). The capacity of facilities capable of producing recycled and secondary aggregates, is estimated at 1.66 mtpa. Of this, approximately 1mtpa is at sites producing regular or mixed specification which are considered capable of producing high quality recycled and secondary aggregates (168). This assumption is based upon the surveyed capacity and sales of recycled and secondary aggregate from static sites in 2010 when sales reached 0.79mt<sup>36</sup></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clearly show how the current estimate of recycled and secondary aggregates capacity and sales are related.</li> <li>Correction.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>with about approximately 50% of sales producing a regular or mixed size of specification.</p> <p>Although sufficient capacity [<i>text continues as before</i>]...</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 36) Minerals in Hampshire: Background Study Chapter 4.1.1</p>	
DC 151	90	<p>Before paragraph 5.163 (after Policy 29 (now Policy 30))</p> <p>(Construction, demolition and excavation wastes)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insert new text immediately after Policy 29 (now Policy 30) and before paragraph 5.163 on CDE waste to encourage sustainable construction practices.</li> <li>• Delete paragraph 5.163.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Additional text to be added before paragraph 5.163:</b> It is to be expected that local plans in Hampshire will include policies which promote the use of sustainable construction practices and encourage the use of recycled and secondary aggregates in development projects. This will support the Hampshire Authorities long-term aspiration of reducing the growth in the annual consumption of primary aggregates.</p> <p>Mobile plant on development sites can contribute to the re-use and recovery of CDE waste and therefore will be supported. Where this falls outside 'permitted development rights' appropriate permission and non-planning consents (e.g. environmental permitting) will be required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To encourage sustainable construction practices and mobile plant at construction sites.</li> <li>• Superseded by new text.</li> </ul>
DC 241	90	After Paragraph 5.163	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Further clarification about the need to set aside inert waste material suitable for</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text:</b> The production of recycled aggregates for use in high</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Following responses</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		(Construction, demolition and excavation waste)	high quality recycled aggregates (and add footnote of current BS Standard).	<p>end products such as concrete requires the removal of fines and organic matter from inert waste material, which is generally achieved by washing the recycled material. A British Standard<sup>1</sup> specifies the basic requirements for producers of concrete from primary or secondary (i.e. recycled materials) sources. To increase the management of inert waste further up the waste hierarchy, all inert waste elements capable of producing high quality (washed) recycled aggregate material should therefore be removed for recycling.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 1) BS 8500 Part 2 - Concrete Complementary British Standard to BS EN 206-1 — Part 2: Specification for constituent materials and concrete (British Standards Institute, 2006)</p>	received as part of the consultation and for clarification.
DC 152	91	Section title before paragraph 5.164 and paragraph 5.166  (Liquid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revise the title of the section.</li> <li>• Revised text in paragraph 5.166 to clarify respective roles of waste and local planning authorities.</li> <li>• Add new paragraph after paragraph 5.166 explaining the regulatory constraints related with spreading co-digestates.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Rename section:</b> Liquid waste and waste water management</p> <p><b>Amendments to the existing text in paragraph 5.166 as follows, as well as the inclusion of new text:</b> The forecast long term increase in population and housing will lead to a growth in demand for wastewater treatment in Hampshire. The provision</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To make the title consistent with the changes to the policy.</li> <li>• To emphasise how district authorities can</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		waste and waste water management)		<p>of sewage treatment works are a Waste Planning Authority responsibility as set out in the Town and County Planning (Prescription of County Matters) Regulations 2003 – referenced in Annex A of PPS10. However, it is acknowledged that in two-tier authorities, the district authorities can effectively lead on the planning of this form of waste which is then determined by the County. The majority of local planning authorities in Hampshire have commissioned studies to assess the level of future requirements and the relevant authorities will work closely with wastewater companies in order to identify, appraise and provide sufficient capacity as and when it is required, in the most appropriate locations taking in all planning considerations. The long term need for waste water treatment has been assessed <b>(173)</b> and it is understood that the majority of existing capacity is considered to be sufficient by the water companies which manage them. The requirement for facilities in rural communities and in areas of planned development needs to be kept under review throughout the Plan period.</p>	<p>effectively lead on the planning for waste water infrastructure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To explain the regulatory constraints related with spreading co-digestates.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>In the waste water industry anaerobic digestion technology is commonly used to treat sewage sludge. The treated sludge biosolids can be spread according to the Sludge (Use in Agriculture) Regulations<sup>1</sup>. Opportunities to co-treat sewage sludge with other organic waste (such as food waste) are encouraged as this can produce both renewable energy and a biofertiliser. It is recognised however, that currently technology requirements and regulation becomes more complex when accepting other feedstocks, as does the potential for spreading the treated sludge or digestate.</p> <p><b>Footnotes</b> 1) Sludge (Use in Agriculture) Regulations 1989 (as amended 1990)</p>	
DC 242  DC 153	91	Policy 30 (now Policy 31)  (Liquid waste and waste water management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Replace the word 'if' with 'where' in criterion 'c' in the policy.</li> <li>• Rephrase criterion 'c' in the policy.</li> <li>• Change policy title.</li> <li>• Update policy number.</li> <li>• Text to be added to criterion 'b' (insert 'either'),</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to policy wording:</b></p> <p><b>Policy 31: Liquid waste and waste water management</b></p> <p><b>Proposals for liquid waste management will be supported, in the case of waste water / sewage treatment plants where:</b></p> <p><b>a) there is a clearly demonstrated need to provide additional capacity via</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For grammatical reasons.</li> <li>• Amendments have been made to avoid the need for an applicant to prove that co-location is</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>extensions or upgrades for waste water treatment, particularly in planned areas of major new development; and</p> <p>b) they do not breach either relevant 'no deterioration' objectives or environmental quality standards; and</p> <p>c) where possible (subject to relevant regulations), they make provision for the beneficial co-treatment of sewage with other wastes and biogas is recovered for use as an energy source in accordance with Policy 28 (Energy recovery development);</p> <p>and in the case of other liquid waste treatment plants:</p> <p>d) they contribute to the treatment and disposal of oil and oil/water mixes and leachate as near as possible to its source, where applicable.</p>	<p>possible</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify that this policy also deals with wastewater.</li> <li>Due to changes in policy numbering,</li> <li>Following responses received as part of the consultation and for clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 154	91	Paragraph 5.169 (Liquid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rephrase text in paragraph 5.169 relating to planning permission.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b></p> <p>Permission for such proposals will not be granted unless it is</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		d waste and waste water management)		demonstrated that development will not cause an unacceptable [text continues as before]...	
DC 155	91	Paragraph 5.170  (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification of first sentence of paragraph 5.170.</li> <li>• Replacing word 'lowest' in last sentence of paragraph 5.170.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The disposal of waste to land to fill a void is commonly known as landfill. Historically, this method of waste management used to be the most common form of waste management before significant increase in recycling and recovery that occurs now. It was and still is, the least preferable type of waste management as it provides very little benefit apart from the disposal of waste.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification.</li> <li>• Better phrasing.</li> </ul>
DC 156	92	Paragraph 5.171  (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to first sentence of paragraph 5.171.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text of paragraph 5.171 as follows:</b> Landfill in Hampshire is considered as 'disposal' except if the waste is inert and has a significant beneficial use. Inert [text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarifying the use of the term 'landfill' in the Plan.</li> </ul>
DC 157	92	Paragraph 5.172  (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move first sentence of paragraph 5.175 to the beginning of paragraph 5.172.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text of paragraph 5.172 as follows:</b> Hampshire is the best performing area for 'diverting' household waste from landfill. Although waste [text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better in revised location.</li> </ul>
DC 158	92	Paragraph	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move paragraph 5.173 and 5.174 to after</li> </ul>	<p><b>Move paragraphs 5.173 and 5.174 and deletion</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sits better</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		5.173 - 5.174 (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	5.175. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deletion of last sentence of paragraph 5.174 from the Plan.</li> </ul>	<i>of last sentence of paragraph 5.174.</i>	in revised location <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To delete reference to the categories of waste used in the evidence base (to avoid unnecessary complexity).</li> </ul>
DC 159	92	Paragraph 5.175 (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraph 5.175 to before paragraph 5.172. replacing the wording 'county authority' with 'area'.</li> <li>Additional sentence to introduce new table below the paragraph.</li> <li>Replace table 5.8 with a new table showing Hampshire's landfill requirements for the periods 2011-2015, 2016-2020, and 2020+.</li> </ul>	<b><i>Move paragraph 5.175 to before paragraph 5.172:</i></b>  <b><i>Amendments to the existing text of paragraph 5.172 as follows:</i></b> About 90% of household waste is currently diverted (recycled or recovered) which means only a very limited amount of Hampshire's household waste (which cannot be reused, recycled or recovered) is disposed of at landfill sites <b>(176)</b> . Whilst the remaining amount of household waste still landfilled is relatively small, this 'residual' amount represents the most difficult challenge, and its future treatment away from landfill may rely on	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sits better in revised position</li> <li>To introduce new table on landfill requirements.</li> <li>To describe the capacity requirements better and breakdown the need into smaller time</li> </ul>

Ref .	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>technological solutions that are delivered over the long term. Sufficient landfill capacity must be provided to landfill both the small amount of municipal wastes and the larger quantities of non-municipal wastes in the near future, and for waste that cannot practicably be recovered. The requirement for landfill over the plan period is shown in Table 6.8.</p> <p><b>Replace Table 5.8 with new table below paragraph 5.175:</b> (see revised table which follow this table)</p>	<p>frames.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As above.</li> </ul>
Additional	92	<p>Before paragraph 5.176</p> <p>(Non-hazardous waste landfill)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraphs 5.173 and amended 5.174 to before paragraph 5.176</li> <li>Deletion of last sentence of paragraph 5.174 from the Plan.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b></p> <p>Some existing landfill sites can also be extended or surcharged which can help avoid the need to open new landfill sites. Both can create extra void capacity, by increasing the site area horizontally (extension) or vertically (surcharging). Any proposal should be sustainable and operationally satisfactory, avoiding significant environmental and community impacts. Restoration of landfill sites can assist in delivering other environmental objectives, such as habitat re-establishment and biodiversity targets, new woodland and the provision of public amenity and recreational</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sits better in revised location</li> <li>To delete reference to the categories of waste used in the evidence base (to avoid unnecessary complexity).</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				space. There are strict guidelines in place which ensure that landfills do not impact on the environment, communities or public safety and this limits the potential location of landfill sites in Hampshire.	
DC 162	93	Paragraph 5.178  (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update references to policy in paragraph 5.178.</li> <li>Delete the phrase 'part b' in the 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 5.178.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Apart from the specific development identified in <i>Policy 32 (Non hazardous waste landfill)</i>, the Hampshire Authorities do not expect new non-hazardous landfills to be proposed in Hampshire over the Plan period. This is due to the move away from this form of waste management, the environmental constraints (178); and associated lack of suitable land (179). The use of remaining capacity(180) at existing sites does not imply support for any further development, except where the site is included in <i>Policy 32 (Non-hazardous waste landfill)</i>. Impacts on [Text continues as before]...</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> <li>Unnecessary reference to criterion in policy.</li> </ul>
DC 164	95	Paragraph 5.179  (Non-hazardous waste landfill)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text relevant to Policy 31 (now Policy 32) to be moved from the Implementation Plan back into the supporting text for the policy. This should be added in a new paragraph after paragraph 5.179</li> <li>First sentence should refer to the policy 'above', not 'below'.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The landfill site allocations identified within the Plan include development considerations. These are set out in '<u>Appendix A– Site allocations</u>'. The development considerations should be addressed at the planning application stage along with the other policies of</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Text lifted from the Implementation Plan and added to supporting text for</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delete last sentence of paragraph 5.179.</li> <li>• New text about landraising to be added before paragraph 5.179.</li> </ul>	<p>the Plan. The identification of sites <i>Policy 32 (Non hazardous waste landfill)</i> follows significant site appraisal of the potential deliverability as well as environmental, amenity and economic impacts of the sites and/or opportunities. This also includes the results of the Integrated Sustainability Appraisal of landfill proposals <b>(181)</b>, the Habitats Regulation Assessment <b>(182) (183)</b> and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment <b>(184)</b> as well as the outcomes of public consultation exercises.</p> <p><i>Policy 32 (Non hazardous waste landfill)</i> provides criteria for considering the potential for additional landfill capacity at other suitable land. This is limited to an existing landfill or un-restored mineral void because land raising<sup>1</sup> is not supported. Due to the landscape issues created by land raising, the constraints that are present in Hampshire and the limited benefits through restoration of unspoiled land, it is not considered a suitable form of waste management for Hampshire.</p> <p>It is expected that the cross boundary movement of waste to and from neighbouring</p>	<p>clarification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Error in reference to the relevant policy.</li> <li>• Not required as any application will be assessed by all relevant policies in the Plan.</li> <li>• To clarify the position on landraising.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>waste planning authorities for non-hazardous landfill will continue to occur, due to market forces and the limited landfill opportunities as the overall number of operational sites continues to fall. Waste may also move to and from waste planning authorities further afield but in all cases Hampshire will continue to support the movement of waste which is in accordance with <i>Policy 25 (Sustainable waste management)</i>.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 1) Land raising; waste disposed mainly above pre-existing ground levels to create raised areas</p>	
DC 165	95	Paragraph 5.180  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revise title in text from 'Hazardous waste landfill' to 'Specialist waste management'.</li> </ul>	<b>Rename section:</b> 'Specialist waste management'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title does not accurately reflect the content of the following paragraphs.</li> </ul>
DC 166	95	Paragraph 5.181  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove 2nd sentence of paragraph 5.181.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Some types of waste are classed as hazardous because they have unique characteristics and often require specialist treatment technologies. One of the largest sources of waste arisings in Hampshire requiring specialist waste	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unnecessary as mostly repeats information in Paragraph 5.180.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				management is that from oils or oil/water mixes such as machine, engine gear, heating, sludge, hydraulics and-oily sludges. <i>[text continues as before]...</i>	
DC 167	96	Paragraph 5.185  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add new sentence at end of paragraph 5.185 relating to low level radioactive wastes.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Other hazardous waste produced in Hampshire includes asbestos waste which can be deposited in dedicated hazardous cells within non-hazardous landfill. In 2009, Hampshire's arisings were estimated at about 7,900 tonnes and some of this was landfilled at the Pound Bottom landfill Site <b>(188)</b>. Industrial residues such as those from drilling mud's which are produced in oil and gas extraction in Hampshire are produced in minor amounts, most of which can be dewatered and the remaining sludge disposed at hazardous landfill. It is estimated there are very small amounts (circa 50 tonnes) of low level radioactive waste (LLW) arising in Hampshire per annum. There is no Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) or High Level Waste (HLW) arising in Hampshire.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify the situation on ILW and HLW (radioactive waste) as per the response document.</li> </ul>
DC 168	96	Paragraph 5.186  (Specialist waste mana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraph 5.186 to before paragraph 5.192.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Move paragraph 5.186 to before paragraph 5.192</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To consolidate all text on LLW, to separate</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		gement)			clearly from hazardous waste.
DC 169	96	Paragraphs 5.188 – 5.189  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consolidate the paragraphs 5.188-5.189.</li> <li>• Delete 3rd sentence of paragraph 5.188.</li> <li>• Update reference to Policy 28 (now Policy 29) title.</li> <li>• Amend to make clear reference to Policy 26 and Policy 28 (not 'or').</li> <li>• Add figures on the hazardous waste arising in Hampshire for landfill.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> All forms of hazardous waste should be treated as far as possible up the waste hierarchy and as close as possible to the source of the waste arising. Specialist facilities for recycling, recovery or treatment of hazardous waste should be located where they meet other Plan policies and in particular the criteria set out in <i>Policies 27 (Capacity for waste management development)</i> and <i>29 (Locations and sites for waste management)</i>.</p> <p>During the Plan period, existing or future non-hazardous landfill sites may apply to receive other types of waste, including some specific hazardous wastes. The amount of hazardous waste produced in Hampshire and requiring landfill is approximately 9,000 tonnes and is mostly asbestos. This declining quantity of hazardous waste is forecast to be insufficient to justify allocating a site. From about 2016, it is estimated Hampshire's current capacity to landfill hazardous waste will no longer be available. There is no known void space in</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarity and to avoid repeating the point about the market demand for hazardous landfill.</li> <li>• To remove the unintentional suggestion that there are already suitable operational facilities located elsewhere which can meet this regional need (i.e. reliance on other sites).</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				Hampshire which could be delivered in time to accommodate this need. In addition it is unlikely that the market would take up an allocated site for a new hazardous landfill, even if a suitable site could be identified because of the low quantities involved.	
DC 170	97	Paragraph 5.190  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add new text to beginning of paragraph 5.190.</li> <li>• Remove final sentence of paragraph 5.190.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> There are a number of facilities outside Hampshire which deal with Hampshire's hazardous waste. Some of these are nationally or regionally significant facilities. There is no evidence to suggest that this provision will not be available in the short-medium term. The availability of this provision including the limited opportunities for landfilling, will be monitored regularly<sup>37</sup>.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 37) Including the planning permission end date or other limit on its continued use, or any geographic restriction of waste inputs</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To explain where Hampshire's hazardous waste is exported to and the security of these arrangements.</li> <li>• Referring to Policy 32 (now Policy 33) (below text) is unnecessary.</li> </ul>
DC 171	97	Policy 32 (now Policy 33)  (Hazardous and low level)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rename Policy 32 (now Policy 33).</li> <li>• Revise wording of the policy to include hazardous recovery treatment here.</li> <li>• Revise criteria to remove duplication.</li> <li>• Remove the word 'limited' from criterion 'c' as not possible to</li> </ul>	<p><b>Policy 33: Hazardous and low level radioactive waste</b></p> <p><b>Developments to provide sufficient capacity necessary to deal with hazardous and low level radioactive waste will be supported, subject</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> <li>• To align title with changes to</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
		radio active waste )	<p>monitor or quantify a suitable limit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update policy number.</li> </ul>	<p>to:</p> <p>a) <b>no acceptable alternative form of waste management further up the waste hierarchy can be made available, or is being planned closer to the source of the residues; or</b></p> <p>b) <b>in the case of landfill, it will be for material that is a proven unavoidable residue from a waste management activity further up the waste hierarchy; and</b></p> <p>c) <b>it will contribute to the management of hazardous or radioactive waste that arises in Hampshire (accepting cross-boundary flows)</b></p>	<p>policy content</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify that Hampshire plans to provide sufficient capacity for its hazardous waste arisings.</li> <li>Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>
DC 172	97	Before paragraph 5.191 (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New text to be added immediately after policy relating to hazardous waste where disposal is the only option.</li> </ul>	<p><b><i>New paragraph to be added before paragraph 5.191:</i></b> There are some forms of hazardous waste whereby there are no acceptable or alternative forms of treatment further up the waste hierarchy, and therefore disposal (in the form of landfill) is the only viable option.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To clarify that landfill is sometimes the only option. To consolidate all text on LLW, to</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
					separate clearly from hazardous waste.
DC 173	97	Paragraph 5.191  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deletion of the last sentence from paragraph 5.191.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> Where waste management authorisations for disposal to existing facilities are sought, the operator should seek advice from the relevant Hampshire Authority on whether planning permission might also be required. This should be considered on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the original permissions and conditions for operation of the site. Where no condition has been imposed then the question of whether or not planning permission is required will depend on the degree to which the proposal varies from the existing permission and how material such changes are.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Covered elsewhere in the Plan.</li> </ul>
DC 174	97	Before paragraph 5.192  (Specialist waste management)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move paragraph 5.186 to before paragraph 5.192.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add the text from paragraph 5.186 to before paragraph 5.192:</b> Radioactive wastes are not generally classified as hazardous wastes as they do not come under the EU Waste Framework Directive. The lowest level of radioactive waste, Low-level radioactive waste, commonly shortened to Low Level Waste (LLW), consists</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sits better in the revised location.</li> </ul>

Ref	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				largely of paper, plastics and scrap metal items that have been used in hospitals, research establishments and the nuclear industry. In future, there is likely to be more LLW requiring special disposal in the UK as nuclear plants are decommissioned. Landfill companies and nuclear operators have to apply to the Environment Agency for authorisations to dispose of LLW. Although there are no nuclear power stations in or near to Hampshire, the Government expects all waste planning authorities to consider the management of LLW as opportunities to dispose of this waste are limited. The relatively small volumes of this waste mean that its management has to rely on facilities provided for other conventional wastes, rather than bespoke facilities for LLW.	

**Proposed change DC129:**

**Table 5.5 Key waste arisings, capacity and growth figures for Hampshire**

Waste Properties	Current Arisings (2010)	Waste Properties	Estimated growth (per annum)	Estimated Arisings (2030)
Non-hazardous	2.41	2.11	0.25-0.5% <sup>1</sup>	2.62
Inert	2.26	3.38 <sup>2</sup>	0.50%	2.49
Hazardous	0.14	0.26	0.50%	0.16
	<b>4.81mt</b>	As before	As before	As before

**Note:**

**1** The estimated growth range for non-hazardous waste is based upon 0.25% for MSW and 0.5% for C&I wastes.

**2** The total inert capacity includes an estimate of 1.1mtpa which is material dealt with at sites exempt from an Environmental Permit.

**Source:** Assessment of need for waste management facilities in Hampshire - Waste Data Summary Report

**Proposed change DC131:****Table 5.6 Treatment of non-hazardous waste in Hampshire**

<b>Waste properties</b>	<b>As before....</b>	<b>As before....</b>	<b>Estimated current treatment method</b>	<b>Required treatment method</b>	<b>As before ....</b>
As before....					
As before....					
As before....					
As before....					
1) Annual disposal capacity can be higher (subject to planning permission) but this shows indicative annual capacity.					
2) This is the overall capacity requirement for the Plan period (not an annual processing amount).					
<i>Source:</i> Assessment of need for waste management facilities in Hampshire - Waste Data Summary Report					

**Proposed change DC132:****Table 5.7: Non-hazardous waste management capacity**

<b>Waste treatment method</b>	<b>2011-2015</b>	<b>2016-2020</b>	<b>2021-2030</b>	<b>Total need (2011-2030)</b>
<b>Recycling</b>	108,693	114,693	64,215	<b>287,000</b>
<b>Recovery</b>	260,904	89,904	37,459	<b>388,000</b>
<b>Landfill</b>	0	132,135	1,280,587	<b>1,413,000</b>
<i>Source:</i> Assessment of need for waste management facilities in Hampshire - Waste Data Summary Report				

**Proposed change DC159:****Table 5.8 Landfill capacity requirements over the plan period**

	<b>2011-2015</b>	<b>2016-2020</b>	<b>2021-2030</b>	<b>Total</b>
Void Capacity (current and estimated)	2,500,000	0	267,865	
Projected shortfall	0	132,135	1,280,157	<b>1,412,292</b>
Surplus/deficit	+2,500,000	-132,135	+1,012,285	

New Provision (estimated)	0	+400,000*	+>1,000,000**	<b>1,400,000</b>
<b>NOTE:</b> New provision at Squabb Wood(*) and Purple Haze(**)				
<i>Source: Waste Data Summary Report - Assessment of Need for Waste Management Facilities in Hampshire</i>				

**Proposed change DC221:**

Aggregate type	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	10-year average
Land-won sand and gravel	[text continues as before]										
Land - won: soft sand	0.50	0.38	0.31	0.36	0.31	0.19	0.18	0.29	0.11	0.14***	0.28***
Land - won sub total	[text continues as before]										
Rail: imports of crushed rock**	0.73	0.61	0.57	0.52	0.42	0.45	0.54	0.59	0.35	0.36	0.51
Sea: imports of crushed rock**	0.33	0.44	0.39	0.36	0.36	0.31	0	0	0	c	0.22
Marine-won sand and gravel	[text continues as before]										
Recycled and secondary aggregate	[text continues as before]										
<b>Total</b>	[text continues as before]										
*: Estimated figure in the absence of data											
**: Figure excludes imports of hard rock by road. However, in 2009 hard rock imports by road in Hampshire are known to be 0.39 million tonnes (AM2009 and BGS correspondence)											
***: The soft sand figures include reserves recorded for Kingsley and Frith End a proportion of which are now considered to be silica sand.											
Source: AM2010											

**Proposed change DC272 / DC228:****Table 6.3 Local land-won requirement up to 2030**

	Sharp sand and gravel	Soft sand	Total
Hampshire Apportionment	1.28 pa	0.28 pa	1.56 pa
Requirement to 2030 (Apportionment x plan period (19.25 yrs))	24.67	5.33	30.00
Existing reserves	14.22	1.70	15.36
Sites in Plan	7.55	4.03	11.57

<b>Unallocated-(minimum)</b>	<b>2.91 (0.15 pa)</b>	<b>0.16 (0.1 pa)</b>	<b>3.08 (0.16 pa)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>24.67</b>	<b>5.73</b>	<b>30.00</b>
<i>*Numbers in table may not sum due to rounding. [Source: AM2010]</i>			

## Plan review and long-term safeguarding

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 175	98	Section	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rename section 'Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure'.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Rename section:</b> Safeguarding minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification.</li> </ul>

## Implementation

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 179	101	Section title	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rename section 'Implementation, Monitoring and Plan Review'.</li> </ul>	<b>New title to the section:</b> Implementation, Monitoring and Plan Review.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To give better linkages between implementation and monitoring.</li> </ul>
DC 245 DC 180 DC 15	101	Paragraphs 7.1-7.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add new introductory text to introduce new combined Implementation and Monitoring Plan.</li> <li>Additional introductory text about the key implementation and monitoring issues such as the landbank and aggregate supply capacity, etc.</li> <li>Add relevant section from long term safeguarding on plan review into revised text. This allows for the deletion of text relating to key drivers.</li> <li>Proposed additional text on monitoring of minerals and waste planning permissions (as per text included under proposed change DC15) to be added to the chapter for clarification.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing text as follows:</b> The Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan is required by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)<sup>39</sup> and Planning Policy Statement 10 (PPS10)<sup>40</sup> to be deliverable and subject to monitoring and review. This is to ensure the Plan's strategic priorities are being implemented and the policies are having the desired effect and to identify whether there are concerns or issues that need to be addressed.</p> <p>The policies and proposals in the Plan will be implemented primarily through the development-management process. The Hampshire Authorities will be guided by the Plan, or the NPPF where the Plan is silent, in its totality in considering whether to grant or refuse permission, in deciding what conditions should be attached to any permission and to determine whether a legal agreement is required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To give better linkages between implementation and monitoring.</li> <li>To emphasize the key parameters that require monitoring</li> <li>Text from long term safeguarding section is considered to sit better in revised Implementation, Monitoring and Plan Review section. Text on drivers is</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>Monitoring is an important element in the delivery of sustainable minerals and waste developments. Hampshire County Council will monitor all minerals and waste developments granted by the authority proportionate to the type and nature of the development. The Hampshire Authorities will use appropriate compliance measures, if these are required, to ensure compliance with minerals and waste permissions granted. This may include enforcement action.</p> <p>The Plan's strategic priorities arise from the key sustainable development themes of protecting Hampshire's environment, maintaining Hampshire's communities and supporting Hampshire's economy and in turn the Vision. The Implementation and Monitoring Plan is designed to monitor the policies against the Plan's strategic priorities.</p> <p>In preparing this plan, a number of issues for sustaining aggregate supply and managing waste have been raised. Although these issues are not currently considered to be relevant to this Plan up to 2030, they these will need to be</p>	<p>not required as it repeats the text moved into the section.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification on monitoring.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>considered through monitoring and as part of any review of the plan as they may have an impact on aggregate supply and the management of waste within or beyond the end of the plan period. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• limited viable indigenous and accessible sand and gravel resources;</li> <li>• major constraints that affect possible sites in north and south Hampshire;</li> <li>• two National Parks, AONBs and other nature conservation designations that restrict opportunities for future mineral and waste development;</li> <li>• the majority of Hampshire 's wharves are located in the cities of Southampton and Portsmouth and can offer important regeneration opportunities (this is an on-going issue and regeneration would be facilitated should a suitable opportunity arise to relocate current wharf</li> </ul>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>sites);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• extensive existing built-up areas create land-use conflicts with mineral and waste development;</li> <li>• as the green economy develops this is likely to create an associated demand for infrastructure that supports more sustainable modes of transport such as rail and shipping; and</li> <li>• Hampshire's influence over wider economies.</li> </ul> <p>Monitoring of these issues throughout the plan period as part of the monitoring of the policies included in the Plan will allow for an assessment of their potential impact on the delivery of the Plan's strategic priorities. Options for addressing the above issues should form part of any review of the Plan that will take place (programmed for approximately five years' time subject to monitoring).</p> <p>Future minerals and waste development and the review of the plan will involve a large number of interests. The Hampshire Authorities will seek to develop long-term options for minerals and</p>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>waste development and will work with the following stakeholders in the development of these options through the review of the Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hampshire Authorities (including the Highway Authorities) and local planning authorities;</li> <li>• government and relevant government agencies such as the Environment Agency and Natural England;</li> <li>• relevant non-governmental organisations;</li> <li>• the minerals and waste industry;</li> <li>• other related businesses;</li> <li>• the transport industry (including port authorities and network rail); and</li> <li>• the local community.</li> </ul> <p>The Implementation and Monitoring Plan will be used to monitor the delivery of the Plan. This is considered in more detail in '<a href="#">Appendix C – Implementation and Monitoring Plan</a>'.</p> <p><b>Footnotes:</b> 39) In relation to monitoring, Paragraph 153 refers to the Local Plan that should be</p>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>‘.reviewed in whole or in part to respond flexibly to changing circumstances. Also, Paragraph 182 refers to ‘... the plan should be deliverable over its period ...’ as part of the ‘effective’ soundness test.</p> <p>40) In relation to monitoring, Paragraphs 39 - 41 refer “Effective monitoring and review is essential to securing sustainable waste management”.</p>	

### Monitoring

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 181	102	Paragraphs 8.1 – 8.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deletion section 8 of the Plan.</li> </ul>	<b>Delete section 8:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No longer require. Revised structure gives better linkages between implementation and monitoring.</li> </ul>

### Glossary

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown in)	Justification for the modification
DC 182	108	Glossary and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add new definition on major development definition.</li> </ul>	<b>Add new definition: Major development (except for Policy 4 –</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown in)	Justification for the modification
		abbreviations		<b>Protection of the designated landscape):</b> All mineral extractions, landfill and hazardous/low level radioactive facilities, as well as developments occupying at least a hectare of land and/or have a throughput of 50,000 tpa.	
DC 183	111	Glossary and abbreviations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add new definition on sensitive receptors definition.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add definition:</b> <b>Sensitive receptors:</b> The aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the development, including in particular population, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climatic factors, material assets, including the architectural and archaeological heritage, landscape and the inter-relationship between these factors.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification following new guidance on this issue.</li> </ul>
DC 184	113	Glossary and abbreviations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add new definition on treatment.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add new definition:</b> <b>Treatment<sup>41</sup>:</b> This is a broad term which refers to recovery or disposal operations, including preparation prior to recovery or disposal. This includes the physical, thermal, chemical or biological processes, including sorting (e.g. waste transfer), that change the characteristics of the waste in order to reduce its volumes or hazardous nature, facilitate its handling or enhance recovery.</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 41) Reference mostly taken from Preparing a Waste Management Plan - A methodological</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>



Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown in)	Justification for the modification
				guidance note (2012) - <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/plans/pdf/2012_guidance_note.pdf">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/plans/pdf/2012_guidance_note.pdf</a>	
Post March hearing change - DC 289  DC 246	103-113	Glossary and abbreviations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add definitions of 'disposal', 'other recovery', 'preparing for re-use', 'prevention', 'recycling', 're-use', 'recovery', 'regeneration of waste oils' and 'Nature Improvement Areas'.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add definitions of the following:</b></p> <p><b>'Disposal:</b> Any operation which is not recovery even where the operation has as a secondary consequence the reclamation of substances or energy.</p> <p><b>Nature Improvement Area (NIA):</b> Large, discrete areas that will deliver a step change in nature conservation, where a local partnership has a shared vision for their natural environment. The partnership will plan and deliver significant improvements for wildlife and other people through the sustainable use of natural resources, restoring and creating wildlife habitats, connecting local sites and joining up local action.</p> <p><b>Other recovery:</b> Any operation meeting the definition for 'recovery' but failing to comply with the specific requirements for preparation for re-use or for recycling e.g. Incineration where the principal use of the waste is as a fuel or other means to generate energy.</p> <p><b>Preparing for re-use:</b> Checking, cleaning or repairing recovery</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarification of terms.</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown in)	Justification for the modification
				<p>operations, by which products or components of products that have become waste are prepared so that they can be re-used without any other pre-processing.</p> <p><b>Prevention:</b> Measures taken before a substance, material or product has become waste, that reduce:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the quantity of waste, including through the re-use of products or the extension of the life span of products;</li> <li>(b) the adverse impacts of the generated waste on the environment and human health; or</li> <li>(c) the content of harmful substances in materials and products.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recycling:</b> The series of activities by which discarded materials are collected, sorted, processed and converted into raw materials and used in the production of new products. Any recovery operation by which waste materials are reprocessed into products, materials or substances whether for the original or other purposes. It includes the reprocessing of organic material but does not include energy recovery and the reprocessing into materials that are to be used as fuels or for backfilling operations.</p>	

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown in)	Justification for the modification
				<p><b>Re-use:</b> Any operation by which products or components that are not waste are used again for the same purpose for which they were conceived.</p> <p><b>Recovery:</b> Any operation the principal result of which is waste serving a useful purpose by replacing other materials which would otherwise have been used to fulfil a particular function, or waste being prepared to fulfil that function, in the plant or in the wider economy.</p> <p><b>Regeneration of waste oils:</b> Any recycling operation whereby base oils can be produced by refining waste oils, in particular by removing the contaminants, the oxidation products and the additives contained in such oils.</p>	
Post March hearing change - DC 287	104	Glossary and abbreviations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add definition of borrow pits.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Add definition as follows:</b>  <b>Borrow Pit:</b> Where minerals are required for a particular major local construction project, temporary borrow pits can sometimes be developed to obtain very local sources of sand, gravel, chalk or clay. Production from borrow pits is normally limited to use for a specific project, and usually has direct access from the pit to the construction site.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification.</li> </ul>
Post	107	Gloss	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include definition on</li> </ul>	<b>Add definition as</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For</li> </ul>

Ref.	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown in)	Justification for the modification
March hearing change - DC 288		ary and abbreviations	waste residues.	<b>follows:</b> <b>Waste Residue:</b> Material that remains following treatment of waste.	clarification.
Post March hearing change - DC 290	110 & 11	Glossary and abbreviations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend definition RSS.</li> </ul>	<b>Amend text:</b> <b>Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS):</b> Prepared by the regional body, the RSS previously sets out policies in relation to the development and use of land in the region. The South East Plan was adopted in 2007 but was revoked in 2013. Policy NRM6 in relation to the Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area has been saved following the revocation and is relevant to the plan area although this relates to housing developments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following revocation of the RSS.</li> </ul>

## Appendix A – Site allocations

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC 185	115	Paragraph 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slight amendments to the wording to say sites rather than areas.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing wording as follows:</b> Although the proposed mineral (sand and gravel and brick-making clay), landfill and rail depot sites have been assessed to be the most acceptable options for meeting the requirements identified in the Plan, it is inevitable that their operations will have an impact. [text continues as before]....</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarification.</li> </ul>
DC 186	115	Paragraph 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update to reference on national planning guidance.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendments to the existing wording as follows:</b> There is national planning guidance<sup>42</sup> which considers the potential impacts of minerals working. This [text continues as before]....</p> <p><b>Footnote:</b> 42) National Planning Policy Framework (DCLG, 2012)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update to national planning policy means that MPS2 is no longer extant.</li> </ul>
DC 247 DC 187	115	Paragraph 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sort out the positioning of the legend – remove random paragraph number.</li> <li>Legend to be supported by a short note to define an "active site" (blue hatch), explain the origin of the boundary.</li> <li>Amend legend so that safeguarded sites are shown in a different annotation to the allocations.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amended legend:</b></p> <p><b>Legend for Inset Maps</b></p>  <p><b>Mineral Safeguarding Area</b></p> <p><b>Mineral</b></p>  <p><b>Add the following text following the legend:</b> Safeguarded sites are</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Formatting.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>As above.</li> </ul>

Typ e	Pag e	Polic y / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justificati on for the modificati on
				<p>annotated with a green hatch and shows sites / areas identified for safeguarding through the plan.</p> <p>Active (permitted) minerals and waste sites are annotated with a blue hatch. The boundaries of these sites have been determined through planning permissions granted for development.</p>	
DC 188	116-142		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ALL SITES - Remove bold on the location.</li> </ul>	<b>Formatting change:</b> This change will only be shown in the final version of the Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Formatt ing.</li> </ul>
DC 189	120	Bram shill quarry extension	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend development consideration relating to areas of higher conservation value.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendment to development consideration:</b> Site contains areas of higher nature conservation value, including the Hartfordbridge Flats management area which requires exclusion and buffering from extraction and associated operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarificati on.</li> </ul>
DC 190	122	Cutty Brow	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend development consideration relating to the SINC's and include reference to Cowdown SINC.</li> </ul>	<b>Amendment to development consideration:</b> The impact on Harewood Forest and Cowdown Copse SINC which lie adjacent to the northern and easterly boundaries of the site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For clarificati on</li> </ul>
DC 248 DC 191	124	Forest Lodge Home Farm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change name of the site to Forest Lodge Home Farm.</li> <li>Revise inset map to show the revised name.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Forest Lodge Home Farm</b>  <b>Location:</b> Buttsash, south of Hythe  <b>Grid reference:</b> SU 428 057  <b>Minerals and Waste Planning Authority:</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At request of landowner.</li> <li>At request of</li> </ul>



Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>Hampshire County Council</p> <p><b>District Authority:</b> New Forest District Council</p> <p><b>Parish Authority:</b> Hythe and Dibden Parish Council</p> <p><b>Area:</b> 5.6 hectares</p> <p><b>Existing land use:</b> Agriculture</p> <p><b>Proposed land use:</b> Extraction of soft sand and, sharp sand and gravel</p> <p><b>Total mineral resource:</b> 0.4 million tonnes of soft sand and 0.17 million tonnes of sharp sand and gravel</p> <p><b>Restoration:</b> Restoration of the site to original levels, using inert fill. Combination of grazing and nature conservation interests / restored for informal daily recreation.</p> <p><b>Reason for allocation:</b> The site is considered to be the best option for continuing a local supply of soft sand and sharp sand and gravel from this part of south Hampshire. <i>[text continues as before]...</i></p> <p><b>Revised Inset Map:</b> (see map which follows this table)</p>	landowner.
DC 249  DC 192	128	Michele dever	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendment to development consideration relating to access, to include provision of a new access.</li> <li>Amendment to the name of the Inset Map</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendment to development consideration:</b> Safe and satisfactory egress onto the local highway, through the provision of a new vehicular access.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following discussions at the hearing.</li> <li>For consistency</li> </ul>

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
			to make it consistent with the name of the site.	<b>Amendment to Inset Map:</b> (see map which follows this table)	
DC 251  DC 194	135	Roes hot	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments to development considerations to include reference to pipelines, the processing of mineral.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Amendment to development considerations:</b></p> <p><b>Development considerations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protection of the Avon Valley SPA and Ramsar site, the River Avon SAC, the New Forest SAC, SPA and Ramsar*.</li> <li>The impact on the offsite foraging and breeding areas of the qualifying bird species of nearby SPA/Ramsars*.</li> <li>Protection of Burton Common SSSI.</li> <li>The impact on the openness of the South West Hampshire Green Belt and landscape character of the adjacent New Forest National Park.</li> <li>Safeguarding public rights of way (byways nos. 736, 737, 734a).</li> <li>Protection of the amenity of nearby residential properties.</li> <li>Protection of the water quality and recharge of the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To improve the development considerations.</li> </ul>

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<p>underlying aquifers and the surface water including Donkey Bottom and the River Mude*.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The haul road from the access with the A35 should be upgraded to an appropriate standard and should be designed so as not to compromise the objectives of the New Forest National Park.</li> <li>• Safe and satisfactory access onto the A35.</li> <li>• Traffic issues.</li> <li>• The processing of aggregate extracted from the site should only take place within the boundaries of the site shown on Inset Map 11.</li> <li>• Protection of pipelines located within the allocated site.</li> </ul>	
Post March hearing change – DC 292  DC	141	Whitehill & Bordon – Inset Map 5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change annotation to indicate that the site is a safeguarded site and not an allocation on the Inset Map.</li> <li>• Amend site description criteria on the reasons for allocation.</li> </ul>	<p><b><i>Amend site description criteria and Inset Map:</i></b></p> <p><b>Mineral Safeguarding Area – Whitehill &amp; Bordon</b></p> <p><b>Location:</b> East Hampshire, within the footprint of the proposed Eco-town</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification of the site.</li> <li>• To make it clear that this is not an allocation and is only identified</li> </ul>

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
252  DC 195				<p><b>Grid reference:</b> SU 790 360</p> <p><b>Minerals and Waste Planning Authority:</b> Hampshire County Council</p> <p><b>District Authority:</b> East Hampshire District Council</p> <p><b>Parish Authority:</b> Whitehill Town Council</p> <p><b>Area:</b> Up to 250 hectares - though highly dependent on the level and location of prior extraction</p> <p><b>Existing land use:</b> Ministry of Defence land (Bordon Garrison and Prince Philip Barracks)</p> <p><b>Proposed land use:</b> Prior extraction of soft sand</p> <p><b>Total mineral resource:</b> Unknown - would depend on level of prior extraction</p> <p><b>Restoration:</b> Development of the proposed Eco-town would be incorporated into these plans</p> <p><b>Reason for safeguarding:</b> Safeguarding of important soft sand reserves to prevent their sterilisation before developing the planned Eco-town</p> <p>Development considerations are not appropriate</p>	for safeguarding

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
				<b>Revised Inset Map:</b> (see map which follows this table)	



## Appendix B – List of safeguarded minerals and waste sites

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC196	143		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add text emphasizing that the safeguarded list is only correct at publication and will be updated regularly.</li> <li>• Updates to policy numbering.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Revisions to the introduction of Appendix B as follows:</b> The following table sets out the minerals and waste infrastructure safeguarded within the plan area, under <i>Policies 15 (Safeguarding – mineral resources), 16 (Safeguarding - mineral infrastructure), 25 (Safeguarding - waste infrastructure) and 34 (Safeguarding potential minerals and waste wharf and rail depot infrastructure)</i>. It must be noted that the list shown below is only correct at time of publication and the list will be updated regularly (at least annually) through annual monitoring. All minerals and waste development granted planning permission following the adoption of this plan and fitting the criteria will be safeguarded.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For clarification over the infrastructure safeguarded through the policies in the Plan.</li> <li>• Due to changes in policy numbering.</li> </ul>

## Appendix C – Implementation Plan

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC198	154	Appendix C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Combine Implementation Plan with Monitoring Plan.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Rename appendix:</b> Implementation and Monitoring Plan</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To give better linkages between implementation and monitoring.</li> </ul>

## Appendix D – Monitoring Plan

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Suggested the draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
DC200	182	Appendix D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Delete the Appendix.</li> </ul>	<b>Delete the Appendix</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required following changes to the Implementation and Monitoring Plan.</li> </ul>

## Appendix E – Relationship between old and new policies

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification
Post March hearing change - DC294 DC201	183	Appendix E	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rename Appendix D.</li> <li>Correct spacing in 'New Forest National Park' in the table title.</li> <li>Change 'new' plan policy to Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan.</li> <li>Update references to the policies.</li> <li>Amend the supporting text for the Appendix to reflect the South East Plan.</li> <li>Add new table entitled 'Relationship between policies and saved South East Plan policies' and add references to saved policy on Thames Basin Heaths from the SEPlan.</li> <li>Where existing tables refer to 'section' change this to 'policy'.</li> </ul> <p><b>Links to proposed</b></p>	<p><b>Change to number of the Appendix.</b></p> <p>Changes as noted.</p> <p><b>Amend the supporting text for the appendix as follows:</b></p> <p>The following tables highlight the relationship between the policies of the Minerals and Waste Plan and the previously adopted Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (2007) and the Hampshire, Portsmouth and Southampton Minerals and Waste Local Plan (1998). Once adopted the Minerals and Waste Plan policies will replace all the previous Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy policies and the saved Hampshire, Portsmouth and Southampton Minerals and Waste Local</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following deletion of Appendix D in the submission version of the Plan.</li> <li>Typo.</li> <li>For clarification.</li> <li>Due to change in policy numbering.</li> <li>Due to revocation of South East Plan and the saving of a policy relevant to the Thames Basin Heaths.</li> <li>As above.</li> <li>Typo.</li> </ul>

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification												
			changes DC201	<p>Plan (1998) policies.</p> <p><b>Amend existing tables:</b></p> <p><b>Relationship between policies and Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy Core Strategy (2007) policies</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><i>Policy in Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (2007)</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th>No.</th> <th>Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2">As before</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>Relationship between policies and saved Hampshire, Portsmouth and Southampton Minerals and Waste Local Plan (1998) policies</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"><i>Policy in Hampshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan (1998)</i></th> </tr> <tr> <th>No.</th> <th>Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2">As before</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Policy in Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (2007)</i>		No.	Title	As before		<i>Policy in Hampshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan (1998)</i>		No.	Title	As before		
<i>Policy in Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (2007)</i>																	
No.	Title																
As before																	
<i>Policy in Hampshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan (1998)</i>																	
No.	Title																
As before																	

## Appendix F – Supporting Documents

Type	Page	Policy / Paragraph	Description of draft modification	Draft modification (Post-submission change shown)	Justification for the modification	Suggested through
DC202255	186-187	Appendix F	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rename Appendix E</li> <li>• Update the list with any changes, as required</li> </ul>	<p><b>Change to number of the Appendix</b></p> <p>Update the list with any changes, as required</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not required following changes to the Implementation and Monitoring Plan.</li> <li>• Only if required.</li> </ul>	HMWP200