

**At a meeting of the HAMPSHIRE FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY  
PERFORMANCE REVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE held at Hampshire Fire  
and Rescue Service Headquarters, Eastleigh  
on 19 April 2016**

The Chairman announced that the press and members of the public were permitted to film and broadcast the meeting. Those remaining at the meeting were consenting to being filmed and recorded, and to the possible use of those images and recording for broadcasting purposes.

**PRESENT:**

Councillors:

p	Ann Briggs	a	Chris Lagdon
a	Adam Carew	p	Frank Pearce (Vice Chairman)
p	Keith Chapman (Chairman)	a	David Simpson
p	Mark Cooper	p	John Wall
a	Jane Frankum		

**84. APOLOGIES**

Apologies were received from Cllr Adam Carew, Cllr Jane Frankum, Cllr Chris Lagdon and Cllr David Simpson.

**85. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Members were mindful of their duty to disclose at any meeting any disclosable pecuniary interest they had in any matter on the agenda for the meeting, where that interest was not already entered in the Authority's register of interests, and their ability to disclose any other personal interests in any such matter that they might have wished to disclose.

No interests were declared under this item.

**86. MINUTES AND MATTERS ARISING**

The Minutes of the previous meeting held on 27 October 2015 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman. There were no matters arising.

**87. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

There were no Chairman's announcements.

**88. DEPUTATIONS**

No deputation requests had been received for this meeting.

## **89. RISK REVIEW QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSESSMENT**

The Committee considered a report from the Chief Officer (Item 6 in the Minute Book), which set out the feedback received from the Consultation Institute.

The officer summarised the report and introduced Nick Duffin, who was an external Advisor during the consultation process. Mr Duffin explained the process and various stages of it to the Committee, emphasising the importance of good pre-consultation project planning. HFRS had shown good initiative in realising that the equalities feedback was not as much as hoped and it was agreed in the later stages that extra work would be done to encourage a wider range of opinions, with the help of a voluntary sector representative. Mr Duffin confirmed that the process HFRS was one of the smoothest consultations undertaken and highlighted that the of Best Practice awarded was attached to the papers.

The Committee discussed the choice of the title of the consultation and Mr Duffin talked of the importance of creating a balance to ensure that the cost cutting element was not taken out of context.

RESOLVED:

The Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee considered and approved and findings of the quality assurance assessment of the risk review process.

## **90. PERFORMANCE INDICATORS MONITORING REPORT**

The Committee considered a report of the Chief Officer (Item 7 in the Minute Book) providing an update on how the Fire Service performed against its key performance indicators in the financial year 2014/15 (April to March) as set out in Appendix A to the report.

Trends were generally very positive, although it was noted that on Page 12 of the appendix that the Medical Interventions was currently not rated, but may be in future as capabilities expanded.

RESOLVED:

The Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee reviewed the performance report (Appendix A) and the strategies to make improvements.

## **91. LGA PEER CHALLENGE REVIEW 2015**

The Committee considered the report of the Chief Officer (Item 8 in the Minute Book) regarding HFRA's response to a Peer Challenge Review and how it will ensure that the findings are acknowledged and implemented.

It was confirmed that there were eight areas being looked at going forward and that Member involvement would be a key part.

RESOLVED:

It was proposed, seconded and carried that that the Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee **RECOMMEND** to the Full Authority that it receive the LGA Peer Challenge Review report and approve the proposed approach to addressing the areas identified for improvement by the Peer Review team.