

## Making Life Safer

We are committed to making life safer for the communities we serve. To achieve this service wide aim we deliver our activities through three overarching priorities:

- **Responding to incidents**
- **Creating safer communities**
- **Building resilience**

Under each of these priorities we deliver a host of activities and are always looking to improve. To measure our success in making life safer we have several key performance indicators:

- **Fire related fatalities**
- **Fire related casualties**
- **People rescued from other special service incidents**
- **Building fires confined to room of origin**

We collect and report our annual performance by financial year (April to March) from the National Fire Statistics monitor by Communities and Local Government. This is to allow us to benchmark with other Fire & Rescue Services ensuring a consistent quality criterion. For monthly breakdowns we use local collection methods from our incident reports. These show the number of incidents over the last 12 months from December to November compared with previous year. The 12 month rolling averages show each month's average number of incidents over the previous 12 months. Using this measurement we are able to identify trends in incident levels without seasonal variance. These also give us a good indication of the direction of travel in which the performance is heading.

### Performance Rating


There are different variables to consider when rating performance depending on the many comparators. We take a holistic approach, reviewing our current position against our short term and long term direction of travel combined with a view of our position within Family Group 4 and the National spectrum. Each measure is given one of the following ratings:

1. **GOOD** – Performing well
2. **AVERAGE** – Performing within a tolerable level
3. **ATTENTION REQUIRED** – Need to take action to improve
4. **NOT RATED** – No measure for performance

Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Fire Fatalities

### By financial year per 100,000 of the population



### Performance summary – NOT RATED

This measure is not rated for its performance. Recognising that some factors that lead to fire fatalities are outside of our control, we know we can positively influence other factors to mitigate or manage the risk for many people who are at increased risk of dying, or becoming seriously injured in a fire. Our aim is to have no fatalities at all rather than looking for improvement against a comparator.

So far this financial year, April 2015 to March 2016, we have seen 7 fire related fatalities. 4 of which occurred from a plane crash in Yateley. The others occurred in a house fire, car fire and a shed. From these 3 fatalities, 2 have been confirmed as 'accidental death - fire related' and 1 remains pending the coroners verdict.

Despite the diverse locations, the causal factors relating to all three fire fatalities accord with our understanding of the risk factors that lead to most of our fire fatalities. Our move to the focused 'Safe and Well' visit and our work with Health and Social Care is based on this understanding and we will be continuing with this important activity.


Our understanding of those most at risk from fire and our ability to access those people is continually improving. We are now identifying a distinction between groups who are most at risk of having a fire in the home and the characteristics of an individual who is more likely to die in such a fire.

As part of our fire investigation work, we assist in the inquests of fatalities helping to identify the cause and secure conviction with the police where suspected deliberate fires result in death.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
 Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

**Fire Casualties (excluding precautionary checks and first aid given at scene)**


**By financial year per 100,000 of the population**



**By month**



**By 12 month rolling average**



**Performance summary – GOOD**


Fire related casualties have fluctuated over the years, however, Hampshire remains significantly under the national average. We had a significant spike in February 2015 compared with the previous year. However, a consistent reduction from March 2015 to November 2015 has brought our monthly rolling average down to an exceptionally low level.

To keep our prevention activities focussed, we like to concentrate our efforts on the injuries from fire resulting in individuals being taken to hospital. Therefore, our performance indicator excludes precautionary checks and first aid given at scene. This latter group tend towards those more likely to have an accidental fire but who were unlikely to be a high risk of becoming a fire fatality. This is because they are more able to remove themselves from the fire.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## People Rescued from Other Special Service Incidents


### By financial year



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average




### Performance summary - **GOOD**

People rescued from other special service incidents (excluding rescues from fire and road traffic collisions) dropped significantly in December 2014 to February 2015 compared to the previous year. As a result we saw an encouraging improvement in the 2014 to 2015 financial year performance. When we look at the recent performance there are yet further encouraging signs that these not only remain low but are also continuing to reduce with a significant drop in October 2015. From April 2015 to November 2015 we have seen a 14% reduction in people rescued from other special service calls compared to the previous year.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Building Fires Confined to Room of Origin


### By financial year



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



### Performance summary – Average

Fires confined to the room of origin are slightly below the performance compared with this time last year. Between each financial year there has only been a slight variance of just 2%, with the highest percentage occurring in 2012/13 and the lowest in 2014/15. Whilst we have seen a positive reduction in the actual number of building fires year on year since 2010/11 the number of more severe fires that have spread beyond the room of origin (where the fire started) has remained pretty consistent at around 200 per year since 2012/13 to 2014/15.

We are continuing to monitor this and are examining how to produce more qualitative data to assist us in our performance improvement.

### Breakdown

IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>Building fires total</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>1,429</b>	<b>1,353</b>	<b>1,262</b>	<b>1,181</b>
Building fires confined to room of origin	1,255	1,198	1,152	1,062	981
Building fires not confined to room of origin	245	231	201	200	200

## Responding to Incidents

Our response activity is broken down into four main call categories:

- **Fires**
- **False Alarms**
- **Special Service Calls**
- **Co-Responder Calls**

These four categories are the high-level classifications given to any incident we send a fire service vehicle to attend. As part of increasing our capabilities at incidents we also monitor the following:

- **Medical Interventions**

In commitment to making life safer, should an incident occur, we feel it is important to ensure we respond as quickly as we can with the appropriate resources.


Therefore, we measure our response using the following response standards:

- **Critical (8 minutes 80 percent of the time)**
- **Non-critical (15 minutes 100 percent of the time)**
- **Other (60 minutes 100 percent of the time)**


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

All Fires


By financial year per 10,000 of the population



By month



By 12 month rolling average



Performance summary - AVERAGE

From January 2015 to June 2015 the number of fires has been consistently higher than the previous year. This has since reduced with the most significant reduction in September. As a result the 12 month rolling average had been steadily decreasing since June 2015 and is expected to reduce further through the winter months.

Breakdown


IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>Fires</b>	<b>6,096</b>	<b>5,766</b>	<b>3,833</b>	<b>4,160</b>	<b>3,706</b>
Primary	2,487	2,438	2,051	1,982	1,936
Secondary	3,254	3,041	1,455	1,924	1,593
Chimney	355	287	327	254	177

**Note: Fires** are made up of three main types: **Primary fire** - something of value (usually a building or vehicle), a fire where someone is injured or dies or, where five or more fire engines attend the incident. **Secondary fire** - Secondary fires cover most outdoor fires, including grassland or rubbish, unless five or more fire engines attend, someone is injured or needs to be rescued, or property is damaged. **Chimney fire** - Chimney fires are classified as their own category because they occur within buildings but are often contained to that one location.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## False Alarms


### By financial year per 10,000 properties



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



## Performance summary - GOOD

False alarms attended have fallen by 4.9% since 2010/11. The number of false alarms under each category has fluctuated over the years with the exception of 'malicious' false alarms which has been steadily reducing since 2010/11. We operate a robust call challenge system where appropriate to reduce the number of these that we attend. Looking at the data over the last 12 months (December 2014 to November 2015) we can see there are signs that this is on a reducing trend. Particularly in April 2015 where we have had a significant drop compared with the previous year and again a considerable drop in September 2015.

## Breakdown


IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>False Alarms</b>	<b>5,972</b>	<b>5,959</b>	<b>5,918</b>	<b>6,180</b>	<b>5,679</b>
Good Intent	1,746	1,867	1,785	1,792	1,710
Malicious	238	217	201	191	169
Unwanted fire signal	3,988	3,875	3,932	4,197	3,800

**Note:** Good intent false alarm calls are those discovered and reported by human intervention, such as visual signs of smoke. Malicious calls are those deliberately made by people, knowing there is no fire present. The 'unwanted fire signal' category encompasses automatic fire detectors that have been set off by various means, such as a faulty detector or water intrusion in domestic and non-domestic properties.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

Special Service Calls


By financial year per 10,000 of the population



By month



By 12 month rolling average



Performance summary - **GOOD**

The rise in 2013/14 was in reaction to the adverse weather leading to an increase in rescues and making scenes safe. In 2014/15 we saw a more stable decrease in the number of calls on a monthly basis with much of the reduction occurring during the winter months. This has continued to reduce into the new financial year (2015/16), particularly with a significant reduction in April 2015. This monthly reduction has continued up to August 2015 but has since started to very slightly increase. On average road traffic collisions represent 32% of our special service calls.

Breakdown


IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>Special service calls</b>	<b>2,885</b>	<b>2,842</b>	<b>3,108</b>	<b>3,365</b>	<b>2,707</b>
Road traffic collision	983	909	1,038	952	867
Other	1,902	1,933	2,070	2,413	1,840

**Note: Special service calls** are the non fire related incidents we attend. These consist of **road traffic collisions**, water rescues, assisting other agencies, animal rescues...etc


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Co-Responder Calls


### By financial year



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



## Performance summary – NOT RATED


The annual increase in our call levels has come as more retained fire stations have undertaken some level of co-responder capability. We do not attend all occurrences of category 1 medical incidents so these trends are based on the calls we have been sent to by South Central Ambulance Service when needed. Each co-responder fire station has a co-responder vehicle in which they attend these incidents. An ambulance always complements our attendance to these incidents but this initial response assists in a positive outcome for patients.

**Note: Co-responder** calls are incidents we attend in a successful partnership with the Ambulance Service to provide immediate medical care to members of our communities suffering a life threatening injury or illness. This has become a large part of our activity over the years and is funded by the Ambulance Service.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Medical Interventions


### By financial year



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



## Performance summary – NOT RATED

We attend many different types of incidents where our crews often have to administer medical interventions to people who have been harmed. This includes providing oxygen and delivering basic first aid. We are currently working on further developing our medical capabilities and we may find that the provision of medical interventions will increase over the next few years which will support a positive outcome from those we are assisting. This measure is currently not rated but we will keep this under review.

### Breakdown

IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>Medical interventions</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>534</b>
Medical intervention at fire	88	102	74	82	81
Medical intervention at road traffic collision	240	178	245	272	232
Medical intervention at special service call	196	142	215	209	221


## Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016 Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

### Response Standards


HFRS Incident recording system

Response Standards	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Critical (8/80)	68%	69%	67%	65%	67%
Non critical (15/100)	95%	97%	96%	96%	95%
Other (60/100)	93%	97%	97%	95%	96%

#### Critical response by month



#### By 12 month rolling average



### Performance summary – AVERAGE

Whilst our response to critical incidents within 8 minutes remains outside of our 80% target our average response time to these incidents has improved in 2014/15 giving as an average response of 7 minutes and 44 seconds. The reducing number of incidents we attend and their location has an impact on our response standards. We have targeted resources to reduce the calls in our highest risk areas, which have been centred in our major towns and cities and are where we have our 'wholtime' fire stations. These stations are able to achieve a quick response time due to there being operational personnel permanently on station. Reducing calls in these more densely populated areas has meant that, of the incidents we now attend, higher proportions are in the areas of our 'retained' stations. This is where staff are 'on-call' (or retained) and only respond to the station if there is an incident. Because these personnel are on-call, the time it takes for us to respond is usually higher. Some of our proposals through the Risk Review will support our ability to improve our response standards over time and particularly in more rural areas.

### Breakdown

IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
Critical average response time	00:07:19	00:07:25	00:07:40	00:08:04	00:07:44
Non critical average response time	00:06:52	00:07:02	00:06:56	00:07:26	00:07:41
Other average response time	00:11:57	00:13:40	00:13:34	00:15:54	00:12:29

**Note: Critical response (8/80)** - This response standard has been created to ensure that an appliance will be in attendance within 8 minutes, 80% of the time, where there is risk to life or property. **Non-critical response (15/100)** - Non-critical incidents are those where there is no apparent threat to life or major risk to property. We aspire to reach 100% of these incidents within 15 minutes. **Other response (60/100)** - Other calls are often advice related. These are usually attended by a single officer to give expertise on a situation that may require further fire service intervention. We aim to attend 100% of these incidents within 60 minutes.

## Creating Safer Communities

Our Community Safety activity is aimed at reducing incidents that cause a significant impact on our communities. We have a clear end state and a delivery plan that covers our intended community safety delivery activity and the required improvements.

In summary our plan is to reduce risk across Hampshire by creating pioneering partnerships that target the most vulnerable people and places. Our plan is based around four core principles:

- **An Intelligence Led Approach**
- **Partnership Building**
- **Innovation and Income**
- **A Professional Approach: Building Community Safety skills**

We already deliver a number of successful and important initiatives such as:

- **Home safety (safe and well) visits,**
- **Fire investigations,**
- **Firesetters intervention schemes,**
- **Arson and risk reduction**
- **Schools education**
- **Business fire safety inspections**
- **Contributing to local Safety Advisory Groups**

These are just some of the services we deliver to help drive down incidents in our local areas. We continue to build on these successful initiatives whilst also supporting our fire stations in their own local activities that address local risks and provide community reassurance.


To help measure and evaluate the success of our community safety activity we are reviewing our indicator set. This report is based on our historical indicators of:

- **Accidental Dwelling Fires**
- **Deliberate Primary Fires (Arson)**
- **Deliberate Secondary Fires (Arson)**
- **Fires in non domestic properties**


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Accidental Dwelling Fires


### By financial year per 10,000 dwellings



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



### Performance summary – AVERAGE


Accidental dwelling fires have been gradually reducing since 2010/11 at a much slower rate than other indicators. In addition to this, whilst we are always below the national average we are consistently marginally above the family group 4 average. Since 2010/11 accidental dwelling fires has reduced by 14%.

We are now identifying a distinction between groups who are most at risk of having a fire in the home and the characteristics of an individual who is more likely to die in such a fire. The latter group is the focus of our developing Safe and Well work and, as such, we recognise that this program will have little impact on overall dwelling fire numbers, as it is focused on preventing the small number of fires which lead to fatalities and significant injuries. Reducing accidental dwelling fires in our new plan now sits under a different work stream of reducing our demand where we will undertake focused activity to reduce accidental dwelling fires.


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Deliberate Primary Fires


### By financial year per 10,000 of the population



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



## Performance summary – AVERAGE

In 2012/13 we saw a significant drop in deliberate primary fires, which has since maintained at a fairly consistent rate through to 2014/15. This drop predominantly came from a fall in deliberate primary vehicle fires. By month there appears to be little seasonal variance. However, we had significant spike in May 2015 to June 2015 which has pushed the rolling average slightly up to 32.3 a month. This was then followed by a significant spike in vehicle fires, mainly in Southampton and Basingstoke and Dean, in October 2015. With the low numbers of deliberate fires a small increase in actual numbers can lead to a high increase as a percentage. Nonetheless, any change to our overall downward trend is a cause for concern. We have no hard evidence for what may be causing any increase though there may be a correlation between economic factors and rates of deliberate fires – such as the reducing price of steel and the impact this can have on numbers of car fires as scrap dealers will no longer pay for cars no longer serviceable. We continue to maintain our high conviction rate through our fire investigation capability. We will monitor the current trends and, if needed, resurrect previous successful initiatives to address vehicle fires.

### Breakdown


IRS data 2014/15

	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>Deliberate primary fires</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>356</b>
Vehicles	315	312	164	171	161
Other	280	302	186	178	195


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

**Deliberate Secondary Fires**


By financial year per 10,000 of the population



By month



By 12 month rolling average



**Performance summary – GOOD**

Our Environmental Visual Audits enable crews to identify premises that are vulnerable from arson, combustible items discarded in the streets, or any items that would allow us to provide the local community with fire safety advice. Identifying areas that could be susceptible to arson attacks enables us to intervene at an early stage and either encourages homeowners to remove these risks or, where on public land, contact the local authority and arrange removal of these items. Numbers of these fires showed a steady increase from January 2015 to June 2015 compared to the same period the previous year. This may have been that the previous had particularly low figures as there had been significant periods of wet weather. Since August 15 we have seen a reducing trend once again.


**Breakdown**

IRS data 2014/15	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
<b>Deliberate secondary fires</b>	<b>1,999</b>	<b>1,895</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>800</b>
Derelict building	32	26	14	14	8
Derelict vehicle	41	29	11	18	16
Grass	807	704	232	376	241
Outdoor structure	4	8	5	10	5
Refuse	1040	1073	574	516	472
Straw & Stubble	75	55	39	53	58


Performance Review and Scrutiny Committee 24 January 2016  
Performance Report – December 2014 to November 2015

## Fires in non-domestic properties


### By financial year per 1,000 non domestic properties



### By month



### By 12 month rolling average



## Performance summary – GOOD

We continue to see a positive decrease in the number of primary fires in these types of properties with a significant reduction in 2014/15. There was a large drop in March 2015 and April 2015 compared with the previous year. This reduction has lowered our monthly rolling average and has begun to stabilise with a rise in May 2015 and again in July 2015. This increase has meant that we are currently 3% higher from April 2015 to November 2015 compared to the previous year.

Within our new community safety plan we now distinguish between the actions we take to educate building owners and occupiers, to ensure they manage their buildings safely, and our work with architects, developers and builders, to ensure new buildings and alterations are designed to be safe from fire. This use of our specialist skills supports continued performance in this area.

## Building Resilience

Our Resilience activity is aimed at two key areas, enabling our communities to cope effectively during wide spread incidents but also to strengthen our own Service resilience to ensure we can support our communities under exceptional circumstances. Our activity features the following:

- **Community emergency action plans**
- **Local resilience forum**
- **Service resilience plans**

### Community emergency action plans

Currently we have helped develop 43 community emergency action plans with local communities. We hope to work on increasing the number of these plans to ensure that communities are prepared to face events that may pose significant risk to their homes and businesses.

### Local resilience forum (LRF)

We belong to the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Local Resilience Forum. This forum is made of local Emergency Service Responders (Police, Fire and Ambulance), Local Authorities, associated businesses, organisations and voluntary sector representatives. Through the forum, these organisations work together to prepare for, respond to, and recover from emergencies. This is achieved through several LRF sub-groups dedicated to various emergency management actions from Risk Assessment of the HLOW area, to local community resilience practices. Each risk is captured in a central Community Risk Register with their associated local community resilience practice and assigned appropriate ownership. Our aim within this priority is to ensure we maintain up to date risk assessments contained within the Community Risk Register (CRR) allocated to HFRS.

### Service resilience plans

Service resilience is essential to ensure we are able to respond and support our communities during difficult circumstances. To ensure business continuity, each function has a set of Service Resilience Plans. This priority seeks to ensure that these plans cover a wide range of controls to potential threats. The plans must be regularly reviewed and appropriately tested to ensure effectiveness.