

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL**Executive Decision Record**

Decision Maker:	Executive Member – Environment and Transport
Date:	6 March 2012
Title:	Marchwood Former Incinerator Site – Ground Conditions Cost Settlement
Reference:	3568
Report From:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

Contact name: Sam Horne

Tel: 01962 832268

Email: sam.horne@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

- a) That the payment of the final settlement of the costs associated with the aborted Waste Transfer Station development and demolition of the Marchwood former incinerator site be approved.

2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. The decision is required to gain approval for the final payment associated with the aborted waste transfer station redevelopment and enable the redevelopment of the site to progress.
- 2.2. On 11 September 2008 the Executive Member for Policy and Resources approved a report that recommended that £1.6 million be made available from the Household Waste Recycling Centre (HWRC) Capital budget to deliver an engineered solution to improve the ground conditions at the Marchwood former incinerator site to enable development to proceed.
- 2.3. The intention at that point was to deliver a new Waste Transfer Station (WTS) that would have the ability to shred material and pre-process others for bulking up prior to haulage.
- 2.4. The need for this scheme became redundant in February 2010 as the business case for the extended WTS was no longer valid due to a reduction in domestic waste flows and development of a nearby merchant facility.
- 2.5. The final account has undergone a full review by HCC quantity surveyors and an appropriate figure reached that represents value for money for the ground works undertaken and evidenced.
- 2.6. It is proposed to redevelop the existing HWRC, to provide a new split level facility for Marchwood residents, and resolve the temporary nature of the Waste Transfer Operation over the next two years.

3. Other options considered and rejected:

- 3.1. The option to pay the full amount originally presented by the contractor was considered and rejected as it was not fully justified by the evidence provided and did not represent value for money to Hampshire County Council.
- 3.2. The option to fully reject the amount presented by the contractor was considered and rejected as a proportion of the work undertaken and invoiced for was considered necessary to enable key decisions about the redevelopment proposals to be taken.

4. Conflicts of interest:

- 4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None.
- 4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: None.

5. Dispensation granted by the Standards Committee: none.

6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.

7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by: -----	Date: 6 March 2012
Executive Member for Environment and Transport Councillor M J Kendal	