

LOCAL GOVERNMENT SELECT COMMITTEES - Progress Report: 2010 - 2011

SELECT COMMITTEE: Safe and Healthy People

DETAIL OF SELECT COMMITTEE WORK			
Select Committee role	Type of activity and date	Outcome, including action/s	Impact/Influence
<p>Scrutinising the work of the Executive and the County Council as an organisation</p>	<p>Outcome monitoring:</p> <p>a) How mainstream public services in Hampshire are being age-proofed to promote independence in later life</p> <p>b) How Hampshire county council and its partners engage with older people</p> <p>Identification and initiation of in-depth scrutiny review, which is due to begin in 2011/12:</p> <p>c) Fuel Poverty in Hampshire</p>	<p>a) b) Final report of both reviews and recommendations agreed by Select Committee in June 2010. The recommendations centred on a central 'intelligence' function, which would collate and share feedback received by the council, and publish the lessons learnt from consultation and engagement.</p> <p>c) Review to be scoped for 2011/12 municipal year.</p>	<p>a) b) The Executive Member for Policy & Resources asked for the recommendations to be worked into a business case and presented to him for consideration. This is ongoing, with a monitoring update to be presented to the select committee in May 2011.</p> <p>c) n/a</p>
<p>Questioning and exploring areas of interest and concern</p>	<p>Consideration at quarterly Select Committee meetings of the following issues:</p> <p>a) Safeguarding Adults</p> <p>b) Fuel Poverty in Hampshire</p> <p>c) Self Directed Support</p> <p>d) Community Innovations</p> <p>e) Carers</p> <p>f) Universal Offer</p> <p>g) Free Crisis Care</p> <p>h) Service User Involvement</p> <p>i) Extra Care Housing</p>	<p>Various:</p> <p>a) Requested biannual overview of adult safeguarding within the Council.</p> <p>b) Real time scrutiny took place, Committee agreed to scope review for possible in-depth scrutiny.</p> <p>c) Overview of the roll out of self</p>	<p>Various:</p> <p>a) Members assured of strategies and practices in place to support Adult Safeguarding. Regular monitoring of topic allows Members to make recommendations to key officers and the Executive Member on issues of concern.</p> <p>b) Scrutiny to take place in 2011/12</p>

		<p>directed support across Hampshire. No further action.</p> <p>d) Overview of the community innovations teams operating throughout Hampshire. No further action.</p> <p>e) Overview of the support offered to carers in Hampshire, one of the transformation of adult services workstreams. No further action.</p> <p>f) Overview of the universal offer. No further action.</p> <p>g) Overview of free crisis care, one of the transformation of adult services workstreams. No further action.</p> <p>h) Presentation and overview from a service user and adult services on the different service user groups contributing to workstreams in the department, and how their feedback is taken forward.</p> <p>i) Members conducted a real time scrutiny of the extra care housing programme agreed in 2009/10.</p>	<p>c) Members fully appraised of changes to personal budgets for those who wished to adopt them.</p> <p>d) Members fully appraised of work ongoing in early prevention and joint working with NHS,</p> <p>e) Members fully appraised of services available for carers.</p> <p>f) Members fully appraised of the universal offer, and how local people can gain information on adult social care services.</p> <p>g) Members fully appraised of free crisis care.</p> <p>h) Members fully appraised of service user involvement, and how this engagement shapes services.</p> <p>i) Members agreed to visit an extra care facility, in order to gain a better understanding of the programme.</p>
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>Monitoring the strategic management of performance</p>	<p>Monitoring of the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) LAA Indicator performance for Adult Services b) Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards c) Budget and efficiency workstreams 	<p>Various:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * clarification of issues and further in-depth information where this was needed * encouragement to officers, where appropriate 	<p>Various:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * ability to make recommendations in relation to efficiency and budget proposals *challenge and dialogue about issues affecting people in Hampshire * highlighting of issues where progress is required * action by the committee to influence partner agencies to strengthen improvement * Members assured about improvements over time as recorded through Adult Services performance *linking of departmental performance issues to budget issues
<p>Pre-scrutinising recommendations from the Decision Programme</p>	<p>Decision lists relating to Adult Services and Regulatory Services considered prior to each quarterly meeting.</p> <p>Chairman attendance at and participation in Adult Services Executive Member meetings.</p> <p>Certain proposals for decisions have been scrutinised by the</p>	<p>Exercise of Committee's duty to pre-scrutinise decisions</p>	<p>Challenge to decisions made through real-time scrutiny</p>

	<p>Committee: eg.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Capital Programme and Revenue budget (mid year 2009/10 and proposals for 2010/11) b) Mental Health Joint Commissioning Strategy 		
<p>Monitoring impact of external agencies on the people of Hampshire</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Integral to the scrutiny review process eg. through the age proofing mainstream public services and engaging with older people reviews (where stakeholders included the NHS, Older People’s Forums, etc). b) Carried out through the questioning and exploring of areas of interest eg. Crime & Disorder (Police), Fuel Poverty (voluntary sector organisation). c) Scrutiny of LAA Indicators allows scrutiny to look at partnership working between Adult Services and external agencies (e.g voluntary & community sector). d) Monitoring the impact of central government policy decisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Invitation to external agencies to comment on review subject and possible recommendations, and request for co-operation in monitoring outcomes during the year. b) Highlighting of, and response to, issues affecting the people of Hampshire. Also, further exploration of issues from other agencies' perspective. c) Invitation to external agencies where appropriate provide additional assurances about service coverage and development). d) This enables members to be fully briefed on how changes will affect their local population. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Outcome monitoring of review recommendations relating to external agencies and partnership during the year keeps issues and progress transparent. b) Questioning and further exploration of an issue allows Members to decide whether scrutiny is appropriate, and whether to make recommendations to external agencies. c) Other agency co-operation with Members role as critical friend. d) Members are able to understand how the decisions made externally in central government affect the services provided within Hampshire.