

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Executive Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Executive Member for Policy and Resources
Date of Decision:	10 June 2010
Decision Title:	Procurement Initiatives, Performance Assessment and Building Cost Trends
Decision Reference:	1618 (linked to 1423)
Report From:	Director of Property, Business and Regulatory Services

Contact name: Steve Clow

Tel: 01962 847858

Email: steve.clow@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision: To consider the following recommendations of the Buildings, Land and Procurement Panel:

- a) That the selection and appointment of a contractor through the Improvement and Efficiency South East (IESE) Tier 2 Framework to provide pre-construction services to a maximum value of £25,000 for the improvement and refurbishment works at Hampshire House in Eastleigh be approved.
- b) That the selection and appointment of a contractor through the Improvement and Efficiency South East (IESE) Tier 2 Framework to provide pre-construction services to a maximum value of £150,000 for the formation of Cranford Park Primary School in Yateley be approved.
- c) That the proposal to create a new framework for Asbestos Repair, Management and Minor Removal commencing with expressions of interest be approved.
- d) That the procurement of a regional ICT managed services framework on behalf of the Regional Education Partnership subject to approval of the Department for Education be approved.
- e) That the additions and amendments to the NDS Programme and other miscellaneous approvals as set out in Appendix 3 be approved.
- f) That the summary of the performance analysis for construction projects completed in 2009/10, be noted.

2. Reason(s) for the decision:

2.1. The completion of projects through framework arrangements, which involves closer working and collaboration with the construction industry, ensures that schemes are delivered in a cost-effective way and provides continuous improvement in the County Council's services and enhances the quality of place in Hampshire

3. Conflicts of interest:

3.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker: None

3.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted: None

4. Other options considered and rejected: None

5. Dispensation granted by the Standards Committee: None

6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: Not applicable.

7. Statement from the Decision Maker:

Approved by:

Date:

10 June 2010

**Executive Member for Policy and Resources
Councillor T K Thornber**